

RFQ Log RN2016-10-28 - Caterpillar Surface Mining and Technology Office

RFQ Inquiries				
#	Date Submitted	Question	Response	Answer Date
1	10/29	<p>page 15, 17. SELECTION CRITERIA,2.a Architectural Firm(s) Experience on similar projects - 75 points List completed similar projects for which your firm was the architect of record.</p> <p>This category represents 50% of the scoring points and, unfortunately, disadvantages local teams that are partnering with other firms. Is the architect of record the only entity who may submit qualified projects? If not, will other team member's professional experience be scored equally to the architect of record?</p>	<p>Selection Criteria 2 is requesting experience completed by the architectural firms submitting. Work completed by team members while with another firm should be included in Selection Criteria 3.</p>	11/2
2	10/29	<p>page 16, 3.b.12 . I.T. AV, Special Systems (Security, access control, network video)</p> <p>Please clarify the scope of the I.T. requirement and special security systems? Will Caterpillar provide a detailed program and technical requirements for the IT design?</p>	<p>Anticipated I.T. and special systems include AV, wireless network, security, access control, and network video. Caterpillar will provide their program requirements.</p>	11/2
3	10/29	<p>Will the new road (Avenida Del Convento), re-located sewer line and total remediation of the site and soil (environmental and archaeology) be outside of the current project budget?</p>	<p>The road, sewer relocation, and landfill remediation will not be in the project budget.</p>	11/2
4	11/1	<p>Is structured parking (above or below grade) being considered? Is it included in the \$39M? Will part of the selected team's responsibility and would parking structure consultant be beneficial?</p>	<p>Options for below grade, surface, and above grade parking must be considered as part of the design solution. The construction cost will be included within the \$39 million construction budget. It is the design team's responsibility to determine the consultants necessary to analyze and implement the solutions.</p>	11/2
5	11/1	<p>Page 5. Item 2.3.7.2 Will the preliminary programming and site feasibility studies be provided to shortlisted firms?</p>	<p>The preliminary programming and relevant site information will be provided to the short listed firms.</p>	11/2
6	11/1	<p>Page 7 Item 2.4.5.5 Regarding the preparation of opinion of probable construction costs, the item indicates assisting the CM@R. Do you see that as assisting by providing information and input to the CM@R or is a design team cost consultant required? Will the District be providing any third party opinion of construction costs?</p>	<p>A design team cost consultant is not required. The District is not anticipating providing a third party opinion of construction costs.</p>	11/2
7	11/1	<p>Page 16 Item 4.j: Do the FM Global standards refer to internal Caterpillar facility standards or to FM Global property insurance company standards and certifications?</p>	<p>This refers to FM Global property insurance standards.</p>	11/2
8	11/1	<p>We did not see any indication of page limits. Please confirm there is not a specific page limit.</p>	<p>There is no page limit, though efficiency is appreciated.</p>	11/2

RFQ Log RN2016-10-28 - Caterpillar Surface Mining and Technology Office

#	Date Submitted	Question	Response	Answer Date
9	11/3	Page 14, section 16.A Is there a more detailed Evaluation Form that you can release with sub-criteria and associated points?	The evaluation criteria and screening points are detailed as shown.	11/7
10	11/3	Page 3, 1.2.1 Clarify "Displays by Caterpillar" – does Caterpillar have an in-house Visual Communications / Environmental Graphics team or pre-selected consultant? Graphics is listed as a desired service / discipline on page 16, 3.b.11.	Caterpillar has in-house graphics/display staff that will create those elements of the project. The design team's graphic design services will include signage, and will help develop the overall concept approach for the graphics and displays.	11/7
11	11/3	Page 6 2.4.6 Can you clarify the inclusion of Geotech on the team? Page 6, 2.4.6 states so, but page 11, 3.2.1.7 states that the district will provide materials and environmental testing including provisions for soils.	The District will provide the geotechnical investigations as well as the materials and environmental testing.	11/7
12	11/3	Page 1, 2 We understand that \$39m is the estimated construction cost. Do you have a target overall project cost that you can share? We are assuming that soft costs are not included in the \$39m but that FFE and interior design is included. Is this correct?	The project budget is \$50 million. FFE is not in the \$39 million construction budget, but interior design is.	11/7
13	11/3	Page 15, 2.a-c are Under "Architectural Firm Experience on Similar Projects" are items a, b and c separate lists in which we would include all the projects completed by the firm that are a)similar, b) CMAR and c) Sustainable/LEED? Or do a-c refer only to the 3 – 5 highlighted projects?	Items a, b and c are in addition to the 3-5 highlighted projects.	11/7
14	11/3	Page 27 Please clarify if the signed offer form should be included in the primary submittal due Nov 18, or prior to submittal as a confirmation of intent to respond.	Include the signed offer form with your submittal.	11/7
15	11/7	After reviewing the RFQ and associated documents, I understand that the District will engage the Cx Authority (per Article 3.2.1.9). However, on page 16, commissioning is listed as a team member of the A/E. Please clarify whether the CxA will be procured by the District or as part of the A/E team for this RFQ..	Commissioning will be included in the design team scope of services.	11/8
16	11/7	Is there an initial property investigation?	An ALTA survey, Phase 1 Environmental Assessment, and Archaeology Survey are underway. A zoning review indicates that we will need to go through the Infill Incentive District process. The City of Tucson is analyzing the landfill. Efforts are ongoing to relocate the on-site sewer line.	11/8
17	11/10	General – Does the District have an expectation of tenant participation in this process?	Yes, the tenant, Caterpillar will be very involved in the process.	11/14
18	11/10	Selection Criteria Items 3 – Please clarify request to "identify team experience on similar projects and extent of team involvement including time commitment." Is this asking for similar projects that different team members have done together? Is the time commitment the entire time for the project effort, or per phase or task?	Provide experience on similar projects of the individual team members of the architectural firms as well as the consultants. Provide the time commitment of these team members per phase.	11/14

RFQ Log RN2016-10-28 - Caterpillar Surface Mining and Technology Office

#	Date Submitted	Question	Response	Answer Date
19	11/10	Selection Criteria Items 3a – Does “years working together” refer to the consulting firm only or the amount of time the consulting firm has worked with the architect?	Years the consulting firm has worked with the architect.	11/14
20	11/10	1.6.1 – review to include zoning and other legal requirements. Will legal assessment be provided by the District?	The District is conducting an ALTA Survey, Phase 1 Environmental Assessment, and an Archaeology Survey and Clearance, which will be provided. The architectural team shall be responsible for code, zoning and other legal requirements.	11/14
21	11/10	2.1.5 – Confirm that Design Team is responsible for “record design documents” and CM at Risk will be responsible for “as-built documentation”.	The Design Team will be responsible to provide final construction documents coordinating and integrating “as-built” conditions from the CM@ Risk.	11/14
22	11/10	2.2.1.5 – Confirm that Design Team shall provide information to CM at Risk who will develop a Construction Schedule.	Confirmed	11/14
23	11/10	2.4.3.3 – Please clarify if the “three public meetings” are Rio Nuevo meeting or community outreach/presentation meetings.	The consultant shall participate in Rio Nuevo, community and public meetings as necessary for the project.	11/14
24	11/10	Selection Criteria, #2 – Please clarify request for similar work. Is this asking for a minimum of 3 and maximum of 5 projects with scopes of work that are similar to the Caterpillar Surfacing Mining and Technology Office as well as then separate lists for a, b and c? Please also clarify the following statement from the first paragraph under this criterion “show how the experience relates to the categories outlined”, what categories should be referenced?	3-5 projects plus lists. Show how the experience relates to the project based on your current understanding.	11/14
25	11/10	Selection Criteria, #2, c. – This item refers to projects designed by the “team”. Items 2.a and 2.b refer to projects the “firm” has worked on. We intend to list sustainable projects for the firms included in the proposal. Please confirm this is acceptable.	This is acceptable.	11/14
26	11/14	Selection Criteria 2.a asks for a list of “completed similar projects for which your firm was architect of record”. Please confirm the term “architect of record” references any project where our firm delivered the project including the stamped and signed documents and not just projects where there was a separate design architect and our firm acted as AOR.	“Architect of record” refers to any project completed by your firm. Clarify your roll and relationship if you teamed with another architectural firm on the project.	11/15