BOARD MEETING
October 25, 2016
Tucson, Arizona

Reported by: ANTHONY C. GARCIA, RDR, CR Certified Reporter No. 50218

KATHY FINK \& ASSOCIATES, INC. 2819 East 22nd Street
Tucson, Arizona 85713
(520) 624-8644 Fax (520) 624-9336

APPEARANCES:
BOARD MEMBERS:
Fletcher McCusker, Chairman
Chris Sheafe
Mark Irvin
Jannie Cox
Cody Ritchie
Edmund Marquez
ALSO PRESENT:
Mark Collins, Board Counsel
Michele Bettini, Operations Administrator

BE IT REMEMBERED that the Meeting of the Board of Directors of the Rio Nuevo Multipurpose Facilities District was held at the Arizona State Building, 400 West Congress Street, Suite 222, in the City of Tucson, State of Arizona, before ANTHONY C. GARCIA, RDR, CR, Certified Reporter No. 50218, on the 25 th day of October 2016, commencing at the hour of 1:00 o'clock p.m.
P R O C E E D I N G S

MS. BETTINI: Call this meeting to order. Mr. Sheafe, lead us in the pledge. (Pledge of Allegiance lead by Chris Sheafe). MR. COLLINS: Michele, call the roll. MS. BETTINI: Edmund Marquez. MR. MARQUEZ: Here.

MS. BETTINI: Jannie Cox.
MS. COX: Here.
MS. BETTINI: Mark Irvin.
MR. IRVIN: Here.
MS. BETTINI: Fletcher McCusker.
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Here.
MS. BETTINI: Chris Sheafe.
MR. SHEAFE: Here.
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: And I understand Cody Ritchie is on his way, and Mr. Hill is excused; so we have a quorum.

You have the transcriptions from the September 27 th meeting. Any changes, comments, questions, concerns?

If not, need a motion to approve.
(Motion moved and seconded.)
CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: All in favor say aye.
(Board responds aye; motion passed
unanimously.)
This is the time we set aside for Executive

Session.

We need a motion to recess.
(Motion moved and seconded.)

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: All in favor say aye.
(Board responds aye; motion passed
unanimously.)
CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: See you here in 44 minutes.
(Recess.)
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Make a motion to reconvene.
(Motion moved, seconded and passed unanimously.)

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Thank you everyone.

We're 15 minutes behind schedule. As you can tell from the agenda, we have a lot going on, and a lot of it requires advice from our legal counsel, so I'll keep my remarks brief so we can move quickly through the agenda.

As the agenda indicates, this is probably the most productive time ever for Rio Nuevo. We are thrilled to have the auditors from the state Auditor

1 General audit here today to discuss their extraordinary continues to be good news for this District.

And as you can tell from the agenda, we're moving forward rapidly with Caterpillar and a number of our other projects that create huge economic upside for our downtown. So welcome aboard and hang on.

In that regard, Dan, you want to give us financial update?

MR. MEYERS: I'm Dan Meyers, CFO of Rio Nuevo. Try to make this pretty short as well.

As you can see, we currently have at the end of September about $\$ 16$ million in cash. Our commitments are approximately 63 million, one of which is 50 million into Caterpillar for the building. We're hoping to get some of that financed to the tune of 55 to $\$ 60$ million.

Our TIF revenue that we received in October for July was $\$ 937,000$, just a touch under our budget of a million. I think this is the first time in the last six or seven months or so that it's been under budget, and I don't expect that trend to continue.

As Fletcher mentioned, we got our annual audit and performance audit completed. You will hear about that later. I would like to publicly thank

Michele Bettini for all the work she did in helping make those happen. She's such a big part of getting these audits completed.

Thanks Michele. Appreciate it.
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Accept that in lieu of a raise.

MR. MEYERS: So some projects we're working right now, we're trying to continue to work on the merchant list, reviewing our monthly bills of information from Arizona Department of Revenue and trying to track down people that are not filing properly. That's an ongoing move.

I'm also in the process of just starting to do a five-year cash flow projection. I met with some representatives of Compass Bank. They are trying to put together some kind of a possible financial plan for us to invest our money, so they've asked me to provide them with a five-year projection, which $I$ think there's a lot of these -- I think the performance auditors have requested such a form as well. So that's my new up-and-coming project.

Any questions?
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Questions for Dan?
Great job, Dan. Keep it up. Thank you for your help.

We all agree with how hard Michele's working for us. She's the brains of the outfit.

Beach is here. Lydia, I think I saw you out in the audience. Do you want to present our annual audit? For those people that don't know, we ask for an independent audit annually. I think this is the fourth audit of the District.

MS. HUNTER: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, my name is Lydia Hunter. I'm the Senior Manager with Beach Fleischman, and I'm here to present the results of our financial audit.

Michele just handed out to you two documents, but before I go through those, I do want to just say the good news is --

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Are there some, Michele, for anybody in the audience that wants one?

MS. BETTINI: There's a couple of drafts.
CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: Go ahead. Sorry.
MS. HUNTER: So I do want to just start with the good news; that is, we are issuing a clean opinion again this year. So $I$ just want to point that out in the beginning.

So the first handout you have in front of you is a letter. This is just a standard letter. I'm only going to highlight a couple of quick things on the

1 letter. The first one is that there was a significant transaction during the year, and that was the new bonds that were issued that resulted in an advance refunding of the existing bonds. So, actually, I'll mention that again in a minute. So that's pointed out in this letter.

We are also pleased to report that there were no difficulties encountered during the audit and that there were no disagreements with management throughout the audit. Otherwise, I'm going to let you read that letter on your own time, and I'm going to focus the rest of the presentation on the draft financial statements itself.

So, if you would flip to page one of the draft, you will see this is our independent auditor's report. So it talks about management's responsibilities, our responsibilities, and the last paragraph on that first page is our opinion paragraph. So that's where you will see that it says that we believe these financial statements are fairly presented in all material respects. So that is the clean opinion that you are looking for.

So, with that, I would like to go through and just highlight a couple of other pages in the draft. If you will turn to page three, which is your statement

1 of net position, which in the for-profit world you would refer to as a balance sheet. So your total assets are 88 million. And, again, this is all as June 30, 2016, which is about an increase of 2 million from the prior year.

There is a new line on this year, deferred outflows of resources. That relates specifically to that significant transaction $I$ was referring to, the advance refunding of the bond. Basically, it's GASB full-accrual requirement. You had to put about 71 million aside to pay down that existing bond, and that deferred outflow is the future interest payment that you will be making to retire that bond. And it's going to sit, unfortunately, on your balance sheet till 2025 we're going to amortize it to interest expense over the original life of that bond. So that's new this year, just wanted to point out that. It's purely just a GASB requirement in terms of how we account for that advance refunding.

Another thing to note, the net position. The District does have a positive net position overall. The unrestricted net position is negative, but it's significantly better than the prior year, so it's going in the correct direction there.

If you turn to page four, this is the

1 statement of activity. So, in the for-profit world, this would be your $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{~L}$ or your income statement. So just want to highlight a couple of key things here. The sales taxes line, which is about halfway down, it presents expenses first and then revenues. But the sales taxes, which is your TIF revenue, actually increased about 2.6 million from the prior year. I know you can't see prior year on here, which is why I'm pointing that out. So kudos to Michele and Dan for all their efforts in improving collections there.

Another key revenue items you will see this year is the gain on sales capital assets. That specifically relates to the arena lot. And there's a footnote four which explains that in more detail and talks about the actual cash proceeds you received. This is the GASB calculated gain on the sale.

Then in terms of expenses, expenses are about \$1.1 million higher than the prior year, but please note that 480,000 of that is the bond issuance cost that came as a result of that. So here your expenses are not significantly different from the prior year. And your change in net position, which is your net income, is very healthy. And even if you were to remove that one-time gain on sales, you still have a very healthy net income for the year on a full-accrual

1 basis.

If you turn the next few pages, pages five through eight are presented on a modified accrual, which is required by GASB. That's basically the resources-available method. I'm not going to focus on that. The District's internal books are on a full accrual, and I'm just showing you the two full-accrual statements, but those are there and they are required by GASB, which is why we include them.

Page nine through 13 is just the general footnote explaining the District's accounting policy. And if you do want more information on the modified accrual, that would be the first place $I$ would look. It details and explains that difference.

Then just two other things to note. If you look at page 18 of the financial statement, footnote nine, those first two paragraphs talk about the advance refunding of the bonds. And you can see that it created an economic gain of about 6.5 million, which is the difference in the net present value of the payments on the two bonds. So that's explained in detail in that footnote.

Then, finally, the last footnote I want to bring your attention to is on page 19, and that's footnote 11, and that's where we explain the District's

1 commitments. So it's a very important footnote for the District, because you guys are committing to a lot of projects and you're not necessarily funding them immediately. So this footnote explains everything the District has committed funds to and also kind of explains how much funds has been extended on those projects and how much is remaining.

So, with that, I would like to thank Michele and Dan for all their help getting everything we need for the audit, and I'll entertain any questions.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Questions for Lydia?
Mr. Collins, I think we're obliged to accept and approve this.

MR. SHEAFE: So move.
MR. MARQUEZ: Second.
MR. COLLINS: I believe I you need to consider it. I wouldn't suggest that you approve it, but --

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Mr. Sheafe made a motion to accept and approve and Mr. Marquez seconded.

Any questions for Lydia? We only get to see her once a year, so great job. Thank you very much.

If you just think back a few years ago, I believe 2012, we were issued qualified opinions. So it's really nice to see the work paying off.

Need to vote. Yeah, motion and second to accept and approve the audit as presented. All in favor say aye.
(Board responds aye; motion passed unanimously.)

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Thank you very much.
We're blessed to have from Chicago with us today Charlie Johnson. His company has been retained by the Arizona Auditor General to do the tri-annual performance audit of the District. That has been posted on their website and our website.

And, Charlie, thank you for making the trip.
MR. JOHNSON: You're very welcome. Good afternoon, a pleasure being here. And just want to say that we really enjoyed working with your organization and your staff. They've been outstanding. Michele and Dan have been just nothing but helpful for us to get everything done.

Our work is not a rubber stamp. The State is very serious about these audits that we do, and we have to have an accounting firm along with us, our firm, which is unique to Convention Center and Urban Redevelopment, to do the work that we did. And as we went through this work -- this happens to be the second time that we performed the work, so we had the benefit

1 of knowing the outcome of the prior report, and we were able to compare the analysis this time to the analysis that we did last time. And last time, three years ago, there were several deficiencies. The website, there were some issues, but, you know, each step the organization has improved. This year we found no -absolutely no omissions whatsoever. The only issue is the Board seat that has not been filled by the Governor. And, you know, that's, you know, probably going to be filled after the election or whatever the case maybe. That's not just a check box that we have to have. It is one of the criteria.

Other than that, our auditor did compliance work and found that the checks that were written were in compliance with, you know, the invoices received. And, also, the website is very transparent with respect to the information that is provided. That was something that wasn't as available last time as it is now. Now everything is just open book, and I think that that has done nothing but improve our ability to understand what's going on and give us a comfort that the compliance is there.

We also noticed that there were other types of improvements, activities that have been performed. We compared the -- I think the greatest stride, one of

1 the greatest strides, is the professionalism. So the primary asset Rio Nuevo that we have to consider is the Convention Center. The other activities are beneficiaries of Rio Nuevo, but they are really intended to help make the downtown environment better and make the Convention Center better. So there was an improvement plan that was executed for the arena, and I think, most importantly, professional management has been obtained for the TCC, and I think that they have done nothing but make improvements to the operation there.

Also, the bond refinancing was, $I$ think, a momentous event. It gave you a lot more flexibility on the hotel. Caterpillar, Greyhound and all the other types of activities that you've done are going to help make the Convention Center a stronger asset over time, and the respect of what needs to get done for the TCC to be a better entity and a better asset to the community, that you've taken some steps. In our judgment, there are a couple of other areas that we would like concentration on. When we compared you to other venues throughout the country that are of similar markets, Albuquerque, Palm Springs, several others, you know, they're ramping up their efforts too. And so, we just have put a precaution in there that the upgrades

1 that you did are, you know, just a continuing process and needs to be ongoing for you all.

You still have deficiencies in your hotel supply. AC helps, but the headquarters hotel matter is a matter of concern, and I think that the proposed plan for La Placita redevelopment is something that's very consistent with making the TCC a better asset.

We also mentioned that we think that perhaps you're still at risk, because funding for the TCC is still subject to the vagaries of the City. And so, right now you have a very good relationship with the City of Tucson, but administrations change. This asset could be affected. So that's a caution we put there. We also gave a couple models in our report of alternative ways to structure the ownership situation for the TCC and may give you some opportunity.

Also, we felt that, you know, with the refinancing of the bonds, it would be helpful if Rio Nuevo had -- you mentioned to Dan about the long-term financial planning. It would also be helpful if there was some targets set from a real estate development standpoint that kind of coincide with the financial plan; and so, master planning effort $I$ think would be apropos for the District. It doesn't necessarily cite exactly what's going to be built, but sets targets and

1 ambitions that allow you to project the long-term future of the revenue collections that will be available.

I think that the last quote we'd like to make is the fact that Rio Nuevo does have a end date. And, you know, the good news that you have had over the last two audit cycles, hopefully, there will be a basis for you as an organization to last into -- past 2027. And so, I think now we've presented two relatively clean -this one's perfectly clean. The prior one gave you steps, you've addressed that. I think you've engendered good will in the community and, ideally, you will be in a position to be able to sustain and continue your operation.

So, that is really our report, and we've issued our final report to both the State and I believe you've been provided copies with that as well. So I will be pleased to answer any questions that you all may have, any highlights you think I need to address further.

CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: While you're pondering your questions, just so you can soak it in, words that are not typically associated with Rio Nuevo, 100 percent compliant, an open book, transparent, perfectly clean, it's really historical.

And, Charlie, we know it wasn't a rubber stamp. We know how difficult this is. We've worked really hard over the last several years to comply. We take your recommendations very seriously. We're pleased to have you helping us move along. I am optimistic that on the heels of this and some of the other things we've done, like Caterpillar, Roadrunners, Greyhound, that we have a case now to take to the Legislature, hopefully, where they can see the continued benefits of the Rio Nuevo TIF.

You want to talk a little bit about your long-term financial planning recommendations, kind of what you think that should look like and where we might go with that?

MR. JOHNSON: I don't know if Rio Nuevo is the vehicle for it, whether the City of Tucson creates an asset manager that spans, and they have a department head that oversees the relationship with the TCC, but something stronger.

And we gave a couple of examples in our report, and I would like to talk about the one in Erie, Pennsylvania. Small market, probably a little smaller and more remote and less attractive than you. But under their jurisdiction is an arena, a minor league ballpark, their new Convention Center and five acres of

1 real estate, as well as they own one hotel and they have a land lease for a second hotel. And that whole system has performed extraordinarily well. It has not suffered from any City -- because they are a separate authority with some dedicated hotel revenues within their organization, they have been able to leverage the real estate and associated income from that real estate to make the whole puzzle fund itself. They did get a big grant from the State to redevelop their arena. But other than that, that they have redeveloped a performing arts center, a minor league ballpark, tore down a parking garage and added a park very similar to the park that you have in between La Placita and the Convention Center into a festival ground, and then they've caused those two hotels to be built.

And that automatic pilot system is something that's outside of City government, but it has the ability to have very good stewardship that thinks nothing but about the performance and well-being of those facilities. And that's kind of something I think would be aspirational for this situation here. I think, as you know, we've laid out how it's structured and its funding resources, et cetera, in our report.

But, you know, as it is today, I think you're in good shape, but we would caution you that, you know,

Cities' administrations change and relationships change, and this is something of a hedge to kind of give you some permanency to that kind of operational format for this building, which is the primary asset for Rio Nuevo.

CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: In your report you, I think congratulated us on our ability to leverage State dollars with partnering with private sector. We have two more similar items on our agenda today.

Do you see that in other tax incentive District's or Stadium District's where they've taken advantage of private sector and private lenders, private underwriters, private equity? Any advice for us in that regard?

MR. JOHNSON: Well, we do see leverage, but the ratio that you all have achieved between your corpus and the amount of investment you have on top of that, $I$ think, is at the higher upper quartile for sure. Sometimes the dollars are spent just for infrastructure, and they haven't been able to cause the next set of development, and that's -- so it takes time for those to mature. And I think you guys have been at this for a while, so if you look at it long time maybe you're about right. But over the last three years what's happened is, you've really seen the fruit of
investments that have been made over the last six years or so. So your leverage has been high, there's no question.

MR. SHEAFE: About nine to one.
MR. JOHNSON: About nine to 10 to one.
MR. SHEAFE: Just curious. Again, your remarks and your comment about Erie, Pennsylvania, as a Past Chairman of our airport we operate as a separate authority, this airport. So it's really a private entity that's managed privately --

MR. JOHNSON: Same concept.
MR. SHEAFE: -- from the political process.
And you're bringing that up, do you think that's a critical component to these kinds of entities where you kind of divest it from the daily politicization that goes on, and is that something we should be thinking about looking forward?

MR. JOHNSON: That's really what we said in our report, absolutely. We said it last time as well.

I have, you know, watched the operation of the Convention Center over the last 20 years. About 20 years ago $I$ was retained by the City to do an operational audit. And, you know, the services they had requested, requisition from the City, maintenance and, you know, light repairs and things of that nature

1 was inconsistent with -- you know, it shouldn't look like operating a hotel. There was a great gulf between that. I think today that gulf is narrowed, but we wouldn't like to see a gulf at all. I think that that's a hedge, is trying to create some form of mechanism that takes it out of the political sphere, has sufficient revenues dedicated to its operation so it can have capital improvements and expansion plans, just be a business just like a hotel would do. That's how it ought to be run. But today things are fine; tomorrow they may not be. That's our caution to you. And I've been through a couple not so goods in this community.

MR. SHEAFE: By the way, we're happy you came out here. Obviously, you couldn't get Cubs tickets.

MR. JOHNSON: Going right back. We're so excited in Chicago, I tell you. You know, grandmother, great-grandmother, kids, never seen it. It's extraordinary. I'm going to be watching the game tonight.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Any questions for Charlie?

MR. IRVIN: Charlie, thank you.
MS. COX: Thank you, Charlie.
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: And the audit is on our

1 website. It's on the Auditor General website as well.

2

So we've got four update items, a lot of stuff going on that we're just going to update you all on. The first of which, Jannie, is our State of Downtown event, our first inaugural event called Downtown Now. We're partnering with the Downtown Tucson Partnership. And the response has been overwhelming.

So, Jannie, you want to give us a quick update on the event?

MS. COX: Yes. This will be our State of Downtown event. It is November 3rd at 5:00 p.m. in the TCC Tucson Convention Ballroom.

We reached out to several sponsors in the beginning. This has kind of been driven by Edmund and Fletcher and Brandi Haga-Blackman from Downtown Tucson Partnership. We reached out to several sponsors, and we were really, really pleased with the response that we have. Our sponsors include El Rio, Tucson Medical Center, Gust Rosenfeld, Bourn Companies, Peach Properties, Norville Investments, 5 North Fifth, Swaim and Associates, Concord Construction, BBVA Compass, Alliance, Core Construction, Halualoa Company and Gadsden. So it's widespread support from our downtown community and some outside of downtown.

Because of that support, we were able to offer the first 300 -- actually, it's ended up being more than that -- 300 reservations for free. And the response has been marvelous. And the other nice thing about the support we've had is that we will not have to spend not one dime of public money on the event. Edmund describes it probably the best. He calls it downtown by fire hose. And that's what's going to happen at 5:00 o'clock on the 3rd.

We have 36 mini speakers, 36. And if you think you can't put 36 speakers up and actually keep people listening, I say we can. Our speakers all have between 12 and 25 seconds to deliver their speech. They are all carefully scripted. They wrote their own speeches. 35 of the 36 have already turned them in, believe it or not, and it's an impressive group of folks. And with Edmund's help we have devised a very creative presentation of the event. And we really needed to be creative, because Fletcher adds a new speaker every few days, which is how we got to 36 . And then he told one of our speakers, Scott Stiteler, that he had three to five minutes. And I had to tell Scott, no, you have 15 seconds. So, thanks a lot, Fletcher. But Scott says he's fine with it, so we're good there. We've collected literally hundreds of photos and videos about everything that's happened downtown in the last two years and what we can see coming over the next year, so it's going to be a very interesting and upbeat event and very fast paced. The chef at the TCC is going to show off his culinary expertise by giving us some demonstrations of how fabulous the TCC can be and will be for the audience, hoping that the audience might like to spend more time at the TCC.

Edmund is our event MC, and in this type presentation even Edmund, as the MC, has less than six minutes. He says he can do it. It's fire hose for sure. But we're going to do the whole thing in 40 minutes. Fletcher will represent Rio Nuevo; Randi Dorman, the Chairwoman of Downtown Tucson Partnership, will represent them. They will open the event and then our 36 speakers will do their thing in three different presentations. We won't do them all in a row.

And as the participants come into the room they will all be given a game card that we devised, so they will have about 30 minutes to fill out their game card showing their knowledge of downtown. So it's kind of fun. Some of it's kind of silly, but we will find out how much people really know about downtown. And then they will be entered in a drawing for five $\$ 100$ downtown gift cards. So the event is going to be lots

1 of fun.

And we set a goal of 400 participants in our first year, and I won't say who in our group said that's impossible, we'll never get more than 250. Well, as of today we have almost 600, and these are reservations that are already made and the press release just went out today.

So we're very excited about being able to show off to our entire community the great things that have happened downtown in the last few years. So I hope you will all be there, and I hope you'll all be there.

This has been a really tough thing because of the open meeting law. I've been dying to tell Mark Irvin what's going on and I wanted to get his advice and get him involved, and we couldn't because we already had three of us, and our attorney says, no, you will not.

MR. IRVIN: By the way, I'm not the one that said you couldn't get three or 400 .

MS. COX: So everything is going really well, and we hope to see all of you there next Thursday at 5:00 o'clock.

JUDGE ISRAEL: Are tickets still available, Jannie?

MS. COX: You get a reservation by going to DowntownTucson.com, and the tickets are $\$ 20$. And we still have room, so come and bring your friends.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Nice job. That's not your father's state of the whatever event.

I think we're done with the hockey renovations. We actually turned the keys over a few days early. Lane is here, I saw Bob Hoffman as well from the Roadrunners. You guys are a few days away from your first game. We just wanted you to give us an update on the current status of the arena and, Bob, you're ability to play hockey in Tucson.

MR. HOFFMAN: Good afternoon, Chairman
McCusker, Board Members.
We're very excited about this historic week for the Tucson Roadrunners, for the City of Tucson and for playing hockey down at the Tucson arena. Everything from the renovations has gone famously from our end of things with the building turned over to us. Practice is taking place on the ice. Our team has gone out and played three games so far on the road and now back for the home opener on the Friday.

I'd also like to extend a personal invitation to all of you to come out to the game on Friday night. I left invites on your areas up there by your mics. So

1 we'd love to see you at the game on Friday night as well. So we appreciate everything that's been done and the support we've received and from many different projects and things going on on the building side of things. Working with Elaine and all of the group has been outstanding.

So, with that, Elaine.
MS. BECKER: Good afternoon. Elaine Becker, Project Manager. Thank you, Bob.

So we're all very excited about the game on
Friday. I have a quick recap of the scheduled budget, then some overall final photographs to show you all.

So, first, just to recap the schedule and the urgency of the project. We met, leading up to Mayor and Council from May 17th, we had basically our approval starting May 17th. The following week we started demolition. We then had just over four months for construction with a contractual obligation of October 1st. We had some significant long lead items. Two Zambonis, a large HVAC unit, dasher boards, acrylic panels, all of those were ordered May 18th.

We met our contractual obligation. We actually exceeded it by three days. We turned over the keys to Bob and the team, and Eric, the equipment manager, on a Friday, exceeding the October 1 deadline.

So it's a real huge accomplishment for the team and for Rio Nuevo, and we're all very proud and happy to be a part of this really successful project.

The budget, when it was presented in early May, the overall project of 3.75 , we have stayed within that budget. We've had no change orders. There is a -- with the GC contingency as well as with the owners contingency, there is some remaining contingency money. Parallel to the hockey renovation, we were also successful with the exterior video board sign permit. And that 10 -foot-by-18-foot video board just next to the ticket office is going up, and it may be complete by now, and it will be in use for Friday's opening game. That's another huge win for the project, for the facility, for your asset to have, you know, a state-of-the-art, high-definition video board.

So, with that, I'm going to walk through some photographs and then $I$ will take any questions. Whoops. Sorry.

So this is the overall arena. The ice is in, the new Roadrunner logo is in.

So this is the brand new corridor entering into the Tucson Roadrunner space, new EMT office, the new tool room skate shop, the new treatment room, two pools, mill work, rubber flooring throughout. Another

1 view of the same room.

This is the visitor treatment room. They don't have tubs. They just have a space. They have to use other equipment.

Now we're entering into the Tucson Roadrunner locker. So you immediately have a skate area for your sticks. This is their lounge. They now have furniture in there. This is their changing room. So they come to the facility in their street clothes, a suit, typically, on game days or shorts for practice. They change at these lockers into their undergarments, then they have the restroom.

And then this is their brand new 27 lockers for the Tucson Roadrunner home team; elevated ceiling, fans, exhaust, heaters when needed to dry out the equipment.

MR. IRVIN: You might touch on the fact that that particular piece is not a piece that was renovated, but was added.

MS. BECKER: Sure. That's a good point.
So the only square footage that was added were the two AHL locker rooms. They were basically square pop-outs that attach to the exterior masonry wall. This is where they have their helmets. They put their skates up on these aluminum hooks to dry out.

1 New laundry facility, state-of-the-art, very expensive washing machines, dryers.

This is the new officials locker room.
Now we're moving into the $U$ of $A$ space. This is their coaches' office. This is the completely new U of A club hockey locker room. I think 30 lockers, per their specifications. New restrooms, showers.

This is the U of A visitor locker room. And then we also provided some new offices for SMG, because we had pushed their offices out of the actual corridor, so we needed to find spaces for them.

And then this is the new press box up on the concourse level right across the way from the sound booth. Elevated, three different areas for radios, for the press, and then the view from the press box of the ice.

And I just want to conclude that the project will be celebrated this next Friday, the day after your downtown event, at the MPA common ground award. The project is being celebrated and recognized for, again, the collaboration, the partnership. We, as a team, it was -- Swaim was integral, Concord was integral, all of the consultants, the subs, we could not have done it without each other. And I wanted to just thank everybody, and thank, of course, Fletcher and Mark and

1 Edmund. They all came to the project, the CA meetings, and were continuous to provide support to the project. Thank you.

MR. RITCHIE: The dashboard's all up with the advertisers?

MS. BECKER: Bob, can you help me with that?
MR. HOFFMAN: The advertisers are actually all printed and they are going on, so they will be up there for Friday night's game. We just took the ice back yesterday afternoon, actually today, this afternoon, from the $P B R$ show.

CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: We should mention that the team's doing a downtown merchant promotion at every game. So we will identify a merchant that, if you take your ticket to, you will get some special discount or -- it's unbelievable, really.

And you think back to those early days, Elaine, May, late April, May, even before, Bob, you were involved, when we first met with the Coyotes they said, you know, this is a tall order to make this AHL ready. You know, they had seen the venue and knew the challenges, and said, you know, we can skate in Prescott. Prescott has a beautiful new arena and it's ready to go and no issues. I think we all in unison said, no, that's not happening.

And to speak just to the collaboration, again, extraordinary difference between -- the cooperation between the District, the City, the contractors, the architect, the team. You know, I think it may have been you that said -- it may have been Phil -- every day was a week really in a normal schedule. So to see this team skate is just really remarkable.

And, Bob, we're thrilled to host the Roadrunners. You have to tell me, are we having a Teddy bear toss? You know, make sure we know what day that is.

MR. HOFFMAN: We absolutely are. November the 19th.

CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: November the 19th.
I mean, this is just unbelievable, really.
You will see this, I think, in the MPA collaboration conversation. City Development Services was going through some real turmoil in May. We were quite concerned about hitting the schedule, so we asked the City Manager if we could use County Development Services, and he agreed. And just that one decision, had he not made that, we were able to expeditiously move this project through Development Services. So you had to hit every mark. Elaine, Phil, there wasn't an

1 opportunity -- there was no margin of error.

I remember a couple of weeks before the exhibition game we had a leak in the plumbing system, and we were going, oh, my God, this can't happen. There's no plan B. And it turned out it was easily identifiable, easily fixed. And that was the only sleepless night I think I had.

We'll all be there Friday. And Cody's bringing 250 of his closest friends. You've got a huge hockey fan now in Cody Ritchie. Thank you.

Any questions for the team?
Mr. Marquez.
MR. MARQUEZ: I just wanted to, again, say thank you. And I was there, I got to see it from the beginning to it now being finished, and I'm so, so impressed.

But $I$ just got to tell you, from Elaine to Swaim, to Concord, that was flawlessly executed and that was a lot of work. And I got to tell you, the last tour I took through was with the equipment manager for the Roadrunners, and he was like a kid in a candy store. And I've got to tell all of you in the audience, it is NHL quality. It's beautiful. And I'll tell you, just born and raised in Tucson, it was nasty before they got in there. It was nasty. I so feel bad

1 that Mariah Carey went down to that dungeon. It's beautiful now, it is bragable, and we are now competing. And this is for the community, so thank you so much.

MS. BECKER: Thank you all.
MR. RITCHIE: Elaine, $I$ just want to give out shout out to JB. I see him here from Concord. I know that most of us know you and Phil, and you guys did a fantastic job. JB, great job.

MS. BECKER: Yeah, I wanted to mention

## Concord.

MR. RITCHIE: Well, you did mention Concord, but I want to by person. He's a friend of mine, so --

MS. BECKER: Great. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: Thanks. Go Roadrunners.
MR. IRVIN: Beep beep.
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Update on the Greyhound bus terminal. I drive by it every day. I think I'm the official photographer. But they did tell me, Michael, you're actually taking some pictures too, so maybe I can relax. My pictures are not so good.

MR. BECKER: Good afternoon. I'm Michael
Becker. I'm a Project Manager with Swaim Associates. And I've been managing the Greyhound project as we've been going through construction, so just wanted to give

1 you an update of the status. We're about halfway 2 through the construction schedule, so it's good time to give you an update.

We bid the project back on July 29th. We had nine bidders, so we had really good coverage, some local people and some people from Phoenix. Our low bid was about $\$ 800,000$, within about $\$ 7000$ of the second low bid, and we had about a $\$ 150,000$ spread from high to low. So we had, again, good coverage and some good solid numbers.

Kroll Contractors out of Tempe was the low bird and they were awarded the contract. We started construction on August 15 th, and their substantial completion date will be January 15th, so just five months of construction on the terminal. And glad to say right now we are on schedule, so if you've driven by, you can see the progress.

Just to give you an update on sort of the construction activities that are happening now, the sewer connection is complete, the water meters are installed, foundations are in, most of the site concrete's been poured, the building is completely framed, and the sheeting is almost done. We'll be dried in, so basically the building will be weather tight within about a week-and-a-half. They also

1 started the HVAC, plumbing rough-in and the electrical rough-in is starting as well. We're working on our TEP coordination, which can sometimes be one of the challenging things, but we got to do that so we're on track to have power as well.

These are a couple of renderings of the facility in terms of what we're actually providing for Greyhound. Here's more an aerial view of the facility. This is looking down Euclid, and then this street on the left is the access aisle coming into the shopping complex, and Office Max is in the background.

These are just some current construction photos those were taken last week, so there's been more progress since then. You can see the corner element is up, all of the steel framing is complete. These are some interior photos where you can start to see the systems that are going in as well.

So just to give you a brief budget update, we started with a $\$ 1.9$ million budget, and through the process of design permitting we've had some cost savings along the way. So in the just planning and upfront technical costs we've come in about $\$ 10,000$ under budget, and this means we haven't spent our reimbursable allowance.

For the construction portion of the project

1 we're using an online service called Submittal Exchange to manage our construction documentation of submittals and R5s, so we're not having to print or deliver any of these. All this stuff's done electronically, so we're not having any printing expenses during construction.

Under the direct construction costs we bid under by about -- came in about $\$ 34,000$ under budget from where we had planned originally. Some of that was directly we bid under budget from the contractor, and then we also -- our impact fees were also lower than estimated. Some of the added costs were things like signage, IT costs. Those have also come in about $\$ 8000$ under budget.

So our total project budget we had originally put in a $\$ 90,000$ owner's contingency. We are another 52,000 under budget in addition to that. So we currently have about $\$ 140,000$ of the budget that's unencumbered at this point. We do have one change order we released for some field conditions that's about $\$ 8000$, but given where we are in the project, we're out of the ground with, you know, any unknown issues we've discovered at this point, and I think we'll be in good shape to finish the project.

CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: The timing of that, Mike, when do you expect to see a vote?

MS. BECKER: We'll have substantial completion on January 15th. We'll probably have a $C$ of $O$ before then, since that's when Greyhound will be able to move in. Then they will be out of their facility, we'll need to go over -- we do need to give Greyhound some time to get their signage off the old facility. They don't want that stuff showing on eBay or anything. And then we'll need to go in and tear down the old station.

Currently, like I said, we're on schedule and we're under budget. I think it's, again, another successful collaboration.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Any questions for, Mike? Thank you very much.

MR. BECKER: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Mr. Collins, we got a brief approval item on the agenda as it relates to the current Greyhound site. This is just to extend the management agreement with Chapman, correct?

MR. COLLINS: Yes. Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, you have a management agreement with Chapman that expires at the end of this month, it can be extend by mutual agreement of the parties or you could terminate it. They've been handling the property now for 18 months or thereabouts. Prior to that, they were
doing it for the City. It's your pleasure whether as to you want to extend the contract. You've heard from Michael that substantial completion is in January. You might want to consider just extending the contract with them through January or you can take it in house.

MR. SHEAFE: Move we extend the management agreement through January 3st. MR. IRVIN: Second.

CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: Discussion or questions?
All in favor say aye.
(Board responds aye.)
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Any nays?
(Motion passed unanimously.)
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: This is unanimously
approved. We'll let them know.
MR. COLLINS: I will do that.
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Thank you very much. We're going to move into the meat of the meeting. Not that any of that that preceded that was trivial, by any means. It's very important presentations and decisions to be made by this Board over the next agenda items.

A couple of months ago people will remember that we were briefed by Don Bourn and his team about the so-called City Park project, and what we've --

Mr. Sheafe is recusing himself and Mr. Ritchie is recused.

Still have a quorum?
MR. COLLINS: We have a quorum.
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: On some dramatic changes to what we've affectionately called the Thrifty Block, to move that to very exciting retail, entertainment, food type of establishment we made a kind of tentative commitment at the time, but we would be interested in supporting the project based upon some preliminary numbers, and we asked the developer to go put everybody in front of us. The current attitude of this Board is if we're going to assist the private sector, we would like to be last. That means the lender's in place, the equity's in place, the contractor's in place, and we're filling the gaps.

So Don and Toufic are here. You've asked for sometime to update us. So, Don, come on up.

MR. BOURN: Mr. Chairman, Board, my name is Don Bourn. It's nice to be here.

Can you read that from there? I have some handouts.

MS. COX: Can you tip your mic up a little bit.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: There are copies, if

1 anybody wants in the audience wants one.

MR. BOURN: There are copies here.
I don't have a lot prepared. I just have a very brief summary, one-page summary.

As you said, Mr. Chairman, you asked for a number of things to be put in place for us to move forward. We've pretty much done all the things we've talked about, so I'll quickly go through the list.

First requirement was to have a loan in place. We now have the commitment from Bank of Tucson for $\$ 9.5$ million. We've given them a $\$ 50,000$ good-faith deposit and that process is moving forward.

We have required equity for the project, which is five million dollars. That's being held in a bank account in Alliance Bank. We have a 26,000 square foot master lease with an operator. That lease is fully negotiated and ready to be signed upon approval of these items.

Construction plans, they're substantially complete. General contractor selected, hard bids in place, and the construction contract is negotiated and ready to be signed. Expect the building permit to be received, hopefully, early January, first quarter of 2017, with construction to start upon that.

We've been working with Mr. Collins. We've

1 provided -- based on our tentative agreement, we've drafted documentation that allows us to move forward, and that's the key piece that all these other items are essentially contingent upon.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Questions for Don?
MR. IRVIN: So, Don, if I'm not mistaken, I think part of your request, in addition, is asking the District to provide this extension, because October was your pull-the-trigger date. And I commend you seriously on the progress that you've made. You've done everything we've asked. We still have a couple of hurdles to do. I'm okay, personally, with granting a small extension, but you know my background, I'm a real estate guy. Any time I ask for an extension or something, I have to put something up for it. So I would like to see -- anything we do, I would like to talk to you about this as far as publicly. I think we do need a good-faith deposit, the District does, like you've made to the bank to secure that. I would like to know your thoughts on how you can create a little bit more comfort with us to grant what I'm thinking is probably couple of three months extension that you are going to need to pull the trigger. Is that correct?

MR. BOURN: Well, the extension is pretty well laid out in the document, in the agreement, and

1 we -- you've required us to do a number of things,
2 which we've done. So I guess our expectation was that we would be doing a deposit to get something less than a one-year extension. I think we expect to start to break ground in January.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Let's talk to counsel about our options for a minute.

This City Park bears very little resemblance to what we all contemplated a couple years ago when we settled the lawsuit and we entered into an agreement with the City. We built those benchmarks. So we can't extend us ad nauseam. We're basically going to enter into a new development agreement, correct?

MR. COLLINS: That's certainly one of your options. I mean, you can do the extension that Mr . Irvin is talking about.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: The time frame Don's given us is basically a year from January. MR. COLLINS: Right. CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: So we don't want to extend every 30 days or every quarter. And the old agreement really no longer applies, given that we're now an investing partner. So it strikes me what we're doing when Don says awaiting documentation, is entering into an entirely new development agreement based upon

1 these parameters and our commitment to fund, and a 2 closing.

Now, part of what has to occur -- and maybe an extension isn't even a requirement. Maybe we just move into a whole new agreement. We do have to go to the City for their concurrence. That's legislatively required.

MR. COLLINS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: And to the extent we want to abate the excise tax, the City has to agree.

MR. COLLINS: Correct.
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: And that could take how long?

MR. COLLINS: It's roughly 60 days from the -- once the economic study is finalized, it goes out, and within 60 days after that the City can have it on its agenda and either approve or disapprove of the abatement.

CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: There's no reason for us to be sitting around while -- we fully expect the City, given what you've presented and the economic indicators that we have for this project, I would be flabbergasted if the City do anything other than approve it.

Can we be working simultaneously on closing this so that when the City approves we can sign the
documents?
MR. COLLINS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. In fact, as Mr. Bourn just mentioned to you, his office and their attorneys have been drafting some documents. We got a ways to go yet, but there's been a lot of effort put in by both Don's office and mine to make progress so we can keep going on two fronts.

To Mr. Irvin's comment, I hadn't thought about more skin in the game, if you will, but one of the things -- the current agreement that we have says that you have until tomorrow, actually, to respond to the extension request. Your response to the extension request has to say what it is that you don't think has happened, and then Don's company has 15 days to respond to that.

This is a three-party agreement. I can tell you that if you decided that you were going to extend it for a month, three months or whatever, so that we could do the abatement process, based on my conversations with the City -- nobody has a crystal ball, but based on my conversations, the City will go along with it, based upon my review of the draft that's been done on the analysis. I think the City will go along with it.

I think that -- speaking only for myself, and

1 I don't have a vote, but I spent more time with Don Bourn and Toufic Abi-Aad than I care to spend. Both nice guys, but $I$ spent lot of time with them. So I think you need to address the current agreement, but that's an agreement that can be addressed by the two of you. Like Fletcher says, we've got to have a concurrence.

So, whether you want to do it in a short fuse or a three-month fuse, it's going to have to be a little bit of time, because if the abatement is a component, and I'm sure that Don will tell you that it is, we've got the pieces in place to do that. We can be doing that while we're putting the rest of the pieces together, the rest of the documents together.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Walk us through the documents that would be required to close. We did almost an almost identical deal with Gadsden.

MR. COLLINS: Right.
CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: So there's several components to this that have to be drafted. So tick those off.

MR. COLLINS: Let me get my notes.
The property would come back to the District. Hang on here. Title would be vested in the District, it would then be leased to the development entity, and

1 that would all happen at the same time. You would loan \$2.6 million, that will go into the project after the 9.5 that Don was talking to you about from Bank of Tucson. There's going to be an enter-creditor agreement that's going to have to set that out. But that will all be in one -- my concept is, like we did in Gadsden, all in one escrow. So all the money would be there for Don's use on building the project.

The abatement, if that's what we end up doing, once that gets approved, got to wait 60 days. We can actually close this, don't you think? MR. BOURN: I hope so.

MR. COLLINS: I mean, it's documents and jumping through the hoops of the abatement. As I may of mentioned to at least some of you, there's also exemptions that are available, but it's my recommendation that you go forward with the abatement approach.

MR. IRVIN: So, Don, what happens, in your mind, if the City says no on the abatement issue? MR. BOURN: Well, that's a material part of what we've discussed. I think there may be other alternatives outside of that, but we've been told that that's the most direct route.

MR. IRVIN: My issue is, you know, we've

1 kicked the can down the road a whole bunch of times. This is all part of the settlement that came from the Kronko suit and all that stuff. We've been -- as you know, I felt that anybody that's tried to do anything in this community, much less downtown the last eight years, should probably get a mulligan. So I'm sympathetic to all those kinds of things.

I'm also probably pressured on an least a weekly basis from somebody saying, when's that thing going to happen, and how about that this, how about that. So I want to help you set this thing up to be successful, not to be a deterrence on that, but at the same time I'm saying to myself there's probably one or two things: A, come up with a time that you say, hey, I need three months, and we agree what three months looks like, or we just do Board meeting to Board meeting, a 30-day extension. You know either, one of those would be acceptable to me.

Again, I go back to -- I'm not trying to burden the project, but, trust me, the District's got -- as you can appreciate, Mr. Collins doesn't work for free, so we've got our fair share of building this deal as well. It seems to me that if you don't go forward, in addition to a land, there should be some sort of -- there is no earnest money, as you know, so

1 there should be some sort of payment that would occur if none of this stuff happens, if nothing else, to at least cover our attorney's costs.

MR. BOURN: Just as a point of context, you get our reports every quarter, so you know how much money we're spending on this project.

So one other point I just wanted to make real quickly, is that the agreement said we needed to build 20,000-square-foot building that wasn't a --

CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: Quik Mart.
MR. BOURN: -- Quik Mart. So that was the bar that was set by all of us. So that would been the easy approach. That would have been super easy. Could have done that a long time ago and been done with this, and maybe everybody wishes we would have.

We're building a 50,000-square-foot project, we're building at a concrete building with lots of glass, and I hear people talk about wanting to see -out of this current wave of development people wanted to go see some real signature projects that we can be proud of to start to define us as a community. So we've worked real hard to try to do that, in fact, tried to work real closely with this Board to try to do something that was going to generate some sales tax and act as something accretive to downtown as opposed to

1 dilutive to other restaurants and other establishments downtown. So that's what we've tried to do. We've spent a tremendous amount of time and money doing it.

I'm happy to reimburse Rio Nuevo for your attorney's fees if this doesn't deal doesn't go forward for some reason, as long as it's not an arbitrary decision that you decide just not go forward. If it's something beyond your control, I'm happy to pay for all the attorney's fees if the deal doesn't go forward for some reason.

We're extremely confident that we're moving forward come hell or high water, but as we all know in our business, it's a challenging business, lots of details, and we want to make sure we don't put ourselves in a position that's too difficult for everybody.

MR. IRVIN: And I also don't want to you see us as being unreasonable, Don, and set you for up for failure. I don't want to do that at all. But, you know, your acquisition of that site precedes all of us on this Board, and so, it's just not -- and I drive by it dang near every day, and there's probably not a week that goes by where somebody doesn't say something to me about it. So, you know, I'm just a little bit concerned with that.

I would like to make a motion. Before I do, I'm sure Edmund and Jannie will probably have some questions and thoughts as well.

MS. COX: I'm good.
MR. MARQUEZ: I think it's an amazing project. I think it's beautiful. I like the fact that it generates TIF income. I just think there's a lot of -- as we all know, there's a lot baggage with this, there's a lot of history, and I would love to see us get past it. I mean, if this was a deal that just came up to us fresh and we're able to say, this sounds fantastic, let's put together a public/private partnership, we move forward. This one does have the weight of the past on us.

So I'm interested to hear what your motion is. And I agree there should be some skin in the game at this point. Not trying to be disrespectful or difficult, but we're here as stewards of public money. And that's where I come from.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: You know, I understand Mark's sensitivity, since I negotiated the extensions. I would like to get out of the extension business. I think what we're talking about is a totally new deal. It's us as a partner, it's us as now the landowner, we're last to the process that we made it incredibly

1 challenging for you to deliver on. So I wouldn't favor just an extension for extension sake.

I think we instruct the Executive Officers and counsel to complete this new arrangement, which, if it fails, it's going to fail on your account. Your bank's going to bail or your tenant's going to bail or -- it's not going to be because Rio Nuevo is not ready to write a check.

So, you know, I think we can move the wheel thing along by authorizing the attorneys, both ours and yours, to sit down and document what your one page slide shows. We have the documents from Gadsden. It wouldn't be that hard to draft.

But, you know, we acquire the property, we lease it back to you, we loan you some money, you can retire some of that loan through incremental sales tax, and we set a time period under which to complete that. If all that goes south, we all have some serious problems, you know, so I think you've got to do it. That's why $I$ was trying to drill down with Mark on how long all that would take. But to me, it sounds like it's 60 days, so you're talking about, you know, before the end of this year. You know, we should have an agreement in place that totally replaces all those previous arrangements.

MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, I haven't discussed that concept with anyone other than you folks, but $I$ don't anticipate a problem with the City.

The leading component of this is the abatement process. That's a statutory thing. We have to get out a 60-day lead time to the various taxing authorities of the study. If the study goes out and Don gets to pay for it --

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: So we could authorize you to do the documentation to be prepared to close immediately upon the City granting the abatement.

MR. COLLINS: Shortly thereafter, yes.
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Right. And then everything -- I'm fine, you know, if Don, you know, agreed to, if the project goes south, that he's going to offset our costs. You know, I think he does have skin in the game.

MR. IRVIN: I would agree with that, yeah.
MR. COLLINS: So I would think that the motion would be that you grant an extension to allow for a new agreement to be reached among Don's company, the District and the City, that follows the outline that's been presented to you before and instruct the final negotiation of that by the Executive Officers and

1 execution, and while that's going on, authorize the issuance of the necessary reports and notices to abate the excise tax.

CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: One point of clarification. As we move forward, does the City remain a party to this agreement or is it just between us and the developers?

MR. COLLINS: Ultimately, I think it's going to be you and the developer.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: The City will actually be written out of the new docs, but they have to concur.

MR. COLLINS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: But they will not to be a party to the agreement.

MR. COLLINS: It seems to me that they don't have to be a party. However, I think that some of the existing agreement has language in it that assures the developer that the City won't slow him down. There was a lot of time spent in that part of the deal, because the developer didn't want to have a problem with Development Services. So if we took that component out, then the City wouldn't have to be there. But the City's clearly going to have to concur with the redoing --

CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: But we could make our

1 closing subject to the abatement and the
City concurrence.

MR. COLLINS: Absolutely. Absolutely.
MR. IRVIN: So, Mark, unless you want to restate that motion, I'm fine with that motion, along with the addition of the language relative to if it doesn't happen, then we get reimbursed for the costs that we've outlaid.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Attorney's fees.
MR. IRVIN: So that's my motion.
MR. MARQUEZ: I second that.
CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: So you want to kind of summarize that?

MR. COLLINS: You want to read that back to me?

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: We could do that or maybe let someone who's not a lawyer try to summarize it.

MR. COLLINS: Go ahead.
CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: Okay. So the motion to grant an extension -- did you say the date?

MR. COLLINS: Three months.
CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: Three months.
MR. COLLINS: Let's make it the end of January.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: To grant a three-month

1 extension to allow time for the attorneys to complete the documents related to the new arrangement, subject to the City abatement, subject to the City concurrence.

MR. IRVIN: Subject to reimbursement if it doesn't go forward.

CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: That could be written into the document.

Any further questions, comments?
All right. You're in agreement, Don?
MR. BOURN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: All right. All in favor say aye.
(Board responds aye.)
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Opposed, nay.
(Motion passed unanimously.)
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: All right, Don. There you go. Congratulations. You did everything we asked in spades.

All right. One down. Let's keep building stuff.

We all know Peach Properties and Ron and Patricia Schwabe, the Dabdoud family, Rudy and Marcel. They are relatively new, but significant players in our downtown. Marcel has partnered with the Schwabes and are working on some extraordinary projects, multiple

1 iconic marquee projects, and are going to ask to partner with Rio Nuevo.

So I'll leave it at that, Marcel, Ron, let you guys make that your presentation.

State your name and stuff for the record.
MR. DABDOUD: Marcel Dabdoud.
MR. SCHWABE: Ron Schwabe.
MR. DABDOUD: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, thank you for your time and for your consideration.

Our proposal includes four iconic historic buildings in the downtown corridor, the Arizona Hotel, the Brings Funeral Home, the Chicago Store, and 123 South Stone, all buildings which were, in a sense, phasing out from the previous use. Because of everything that's been going on downtown, the transformation has made some of the prior uses obsolete, and we are basically coming here and taking these shells and bringing them back to life and trying to make a contribution towards the revitalization of the downtown area. As you know, downtown revitalizations are block-by-block efforts, a multi-location approach. It maximizes your leverage in terms of activating the neighborhood because it expands the impact of your capital.

The first of these projects is the Arizona Hotel. And we're going to be going through them in terms of timing completion. The Arizona Hotel we acquired in 2013, and it was a long planning process mostly because of the structural reinforcement that was required. It has now 15 marquee apartments on the second floor, all of them which were fully leased prior to completion.

We have Miss Saigon -- the picture on the left you see the first-floor retail spaces, with Miss Saigon taking the two spaces on the right. The one on the left being just behind the elevator lobby that we created for the apartments.

The third one from the right is available space right now. And the first one, from left to right, is a space with which we're finalizing negotiations with the UPS store, which would be sharing that space with -- with the basement concept, it's called Sweat Shop. That would basically allow us to use up to 3000 square feet of the basement for productive use.

Just to give you some context, Arizona Hotel was built in 1917. Its uses have ranged from a hotel, when it was originally built, to a mental hospital. When we bought it, HUD had pulled its contract because

1 it was in really bad shape even for, you know, 2 low-income housing standards, so they pulled their contract. The previous owner was having a hard time making the payments, so we came in and purchased it. We own the building free and clear. We restored the facade, we replaced the storefronts with new metal storefronts, we had to structurally reinforce the second floor, and we basically have 15 marquee apartments on the second floor, and we prepped the basement for active use.

Let's go on to the next project.
CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: You should mention, just in case there's any confusion, this is not the hotel across the street, which is --

MR. DABDOUD: This is not the Hotel Arizona. CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Right. It's the Arizona Hotel.

MR. DABDOUD: And the name really hasn't been used a lot, but we are keeping that brand. It was not used for a number of years, because it was a hotel when it was originally built, and then it moved on to other uses that none of us are really familiar with.

The next project is the Chicago Store. The Lefkowitz family had made the decision to sell that asset because it wasn't a good fit for its current
operations. They have another location on Speedway, and they also put in a secondary location on Sixth Avenue, just south of our property, to continue their presence in the downtown area. It was built in 1903, became a JC Penney in 1942, Harrison Brothers Department Store 1957, and then the Chicago Music Store has been basically operating there since, I guess, for the past 50 years almost before we purchased it.

We are reactivating all the original window openings. I think you saw from the facade renovation that there was a lot of window openings that were just covered up with concrete. So we're opening those up in order to preserve the historic integrity of the building. We're activating the basements. One of our leases is for a restaurant/bar use in the basement, which is great, again, in terms of generating sales tax revenue. And we are also increasing leasable area by expanding the mezzanine area. Some of the uses -- let me speak to the uses for a second. So the space in the back of the first floor at the basement is a new concept from the creators of Old Body Quickness. It's called Duck and Cover. It's going to be a restaurant/bar that's going to basically cover both of those areas, leaving the front storefront for other prospective tenants.

We've been in discussions with Cultivate. Cultivate is a company that has been creating retail markets, temporary retail markets. So they'll plan these events where they bring a lot of local vendors together and they have a large retail event.

We've always emphasized the importance of bringing retail to downtown Tucson. It's a challenge because of how buildings are owned and how large the spaces are. Ideally, we would see several strong retailers come in at once, but the way the downtown is laid out, it makes that option virtually impossible. But if you bring a lot of retailers in a smaller format so that a retailer that maybe is making its products somewhere else, storing them somewhere else, can just have a presence in a very cool space, then it makes it more viable for a lot of the local retailers to have a presence downtown. And that's what we're trying to create. We're trying to create a synergistic space that has food and retail in a single space, a smaller format space. You've seen a lot of the concepts -you've seen this concept in a lot of other places, and it's an historic building with a lot of character. So you're going to see a custom window from the creators of Cultivate to give you a little flavor as to what they are planning.

Breakout Studios, they are also a local Tucson operator that have a fitness dance operation. They are at Teen Folks Plaza, 4th Avenue. And we have been in discussions with them to do the same concept on the second of the floor of the Chicago Store. So we have leases for -- in place for over a third of the space, and once we finalize our negotiations with Cultivate and Breakout Studios, then we'll pretty much have everything except the storefront, which should be easy to lease after that point.

So Brings Funeral Home was originally built at the turn of the century. It's a two-story home. It served as a funeral home since 1928. And, again, they just closed down that operation. That company continues to operate in Tucson. That location was just not good space for him. It's the most challenging of the four projects, because its layout made it difficult to turn that space into a multi-tenant based use project. It was also the most surprising in terms of how quickly organically it came together.

You are probably familiar with Owls Club. It was a club that existed here in Tucson sometime ago. That's Patricia Schwabe who created that concept here. She's expecting to open the bar mid November. We also have a lease with Exo Coffee that has been looking for

1 a second location downtown. And they would go in the front of the right portion of the building. We have Honeycomb daily bowls, which is a smoothie, daily -you know, healthy nutrition daily bowl concept, Template Kitchen and also a wine bar in the back. So incredible how these diverse concepts come together. On the second floor we have Beta Pride. We also have an escape room. Again, signed leases. So for this building, I think most, if not all of these leases are actually signed. And we have some offices. We have an optical engineering firm taking up some of the offices on the second floor. So really a great mix of tenants.

And the fourth property is 123 South Stone Avenue, built in 1907. It served a variety of purposes, none of which currently generate any sales tax revenue. Our plan is to -- we've been working with Chris Bianco on taking the first floor of 123 South Stone. We've been talking to Mr. Bianco for some time. Originally, the conversation centered on a smaller scale project, just because they didn't want to leave downtown, but at the same time the tenants weren't ready to commit because they just had run into some issues, but now we're talking about doing a larger concept at the first floor of 123 South Stone. Behind them there's a catering concept called Put a Fork In

It, and we've talking to them about doing a catering business on the first floor with a rooftop terrace event space with a view of the cathedral. The view of the cathedral, obviously, makes that property very attractive. And on the second story it would just be a mix of office users that are looking for renovated office space in a historic building.

So we have -- Chris Bianco was planning on being here today for this meeting. He had to change his plans, but he sent a video clip.
(Video played.)
So we've really been pursuing that relationship aggressively, trying to get them to set up a new operation as soon as possible. Very passionate operator, and we really want them to continue to be a part of Tucson.

So, in summary, we have -- we're projecting \$21.7 million in projected State sales tax revenues, you know, 5.6 percent; 17.3 million projected total cost at completion; 19.7 million projected value at completion; and we are requesting a 4.4 million sale lease-back with Rio Nuevo among the four projects.

You know, financing these projects is more challenging for several reasons. First, these are old buildings with issues. As you're going through them

1 something always comes up. We have to deal with the State Historic Preservation Office, which always complicates financing as well. Mixed-use projects are generally more difficult to underwrite. A lot of our tenants are non-national, non-credit tenants, which, again, also makes financing more difficult. So we need your help.

Ron and I are very invested in the downtown area. We are currently working on six other projects in the downtown core, five of which are outside the District, but still within the core. So it's another way in which these projects will allow Rio Nuevo to maximize its leverage in pursuit of its mission to revitalize the downtown area.

We realize the gravity of using Rio Nuevo funds for these projects. We know this is taxpayer money, and we take that very seriously. And we feel very confident that the values there -- we own those buildings free and clear. There's a lien on the Brings, but that would be taken out before we get the support from Rio Nuevo. And the rest of the information is on your handout. You know, be happy to take any questions. Just we want to show a video that has some testimonials about what we're doing.

```
                                    (Video played.)
```

So, again, thank you for your time, and we'd be happy to take any questions.

CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: Do you want to pull the slide back up. When you talk about the economics, you know, who's in front of this? Is it all equity, Marcel? Do you have a lender? Do we have to suborbinate? Kind of walk through the financing plan. MR. DABDOUD: Sure. So let's talk about the Arizona Hotel. There's no lien on the Arizona Hotel whatsoever. But what we do request is the possibility of refinancing the project, but we wouldn't be able to do it at more than 50 percent loan to value unless we would take out Rio Nuevo. So that restriction really puts us in a situation where we would be incentivized to repurchase or at least pay back Rio Nuevo before we can --

CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: Call it the 17 or \$18 million for the project. If we're in for four, where is that other 14 coming from? Is that all equity from you guys?

MR. DABDOUD: That's all equity. And there's one private loan in the amount of $\$ 900,000$ on the Brings.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: So we might be asked to subordinate to a lender down the road, but right now

MR. DABDOUD: That's correct.
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: -- it's all equity?
MR. DABDOUD: The reason we're taking out the Brings loan is because that loan -- well, we would just have to make sure that that stays within the 50 percent parameter. Really, because it's a private loan, we would be looking to get a lower interest commercial loan to replace that, but that loan, again, would be limited by that restriction.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Oh, my God, is all I got to say. Congratulations, guys. I mean, it's remarkable what you've put together. These are projects that would fallen in on themselves except for you. And to bring Chris Bianco, keep him here in town, and to surround that with the stuff you're doing is -Jannie, how they are going to tell that story in 17 seconds?

MS. COX: He's got 70 words. He used them very well. So we'll see how fast he can deliver him. MR. MARQUEZ: Fletcher and I recently toured your upstairs apartments in the Arizona Hotel, and I'm very impressed. I mean, it was a delapidated project, and it looks like you're in Silicon Valley, so hats off. I can only imagine what you're going to do with
these other projects. And thank you for keeping Chris Bianco here. That's a big deal.

CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: I expect these would have to be four separate, even though they presented them as -- we've agendized it as a bundled project, they kind of each stand on their own right. So we would have the same kind of agreements we just talked about with Don for each one of those properties.

MR. COLLINS: That would be my recommendation, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board, because I believe that they are -- we bundled them together on the agenda, but I do think that, based on what I've heard and what we've talked about before, they might be a little bit different, each one of them. So my suggestion would be, if you are so inclined, is for us to do it that way, is to do them separately.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: And we could authorize the funds, authorize the documentation, authorize the Executive Officers to close.

Are you guys looking to abate excise tax too? Do you have to go through the City abatement stuff?

MR. DABDOUD: No, we're not requesting that.
MR. IRVIN: Good.
MS. COX: Really good move.
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: This could move really
quickly.
MR. SHEAFE: Well, really, the structure is -- because you've got it really done at this point.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: It's all equity.
MR. IRVIN: So it's really no legal fees
either. This is perfect. I love it.
MR. COLLINS: Sure.
MR. SHEAFE: There's no money in simplicity. But, really, if the District is putting up, let's say 4 million, just using it as an example, you're, in effect, selling us the project with $\$ 13$ million worth of equity for $\$ 4$ million, with the right to buy it back with a lease-back provision. I mean, in its simplest, is that not what you're representing here?

MR. DABDOUD: That's correct.
MR. SHEAFE: So, really, the risk is rather limited on the District side.

MR. DABDOUD: Very limited.
MR. IRVIN: And then the right to refinance at 50 percent loan to value, they got to come to us first, so if we don't like it they can take us out.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: The other deals, Mark, we've built in options, right, so that they can buy it back at any time and they just lose the value of the property tax really?

MR. COLLINS: Right. I think that's what we did in the hotel as well.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: How quickly could you put this together?

MR. COLLINS: Certainly, I think I could have them together for these guys to look at -- I believe I know the lawyer that they are using, so we could probably do it by the next Board meeting. Certainly free to look at.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Oh, my God. Somebody make a motion.

MR. SHEAFE: The easiest one is the Arizona Hotel because you're the furthest along on that; am I correct?

MR. DABDOUD: The Arizona Hotel is --
MR. SHEAFE: The most mature.
MR. DABDOUD: Exactly. Following the same principal of this being the last money in, the timing would be the Arizona Hotel, Brings, Chicago Store and 123 South Stone.

CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: I would suggest we approve it as a bundle, authorize counsel to prepare individual documents. Because right now we can't really allocate our money to each project, so if we authorize 4.4 and instruct the lawyers, then we just
close each one separately.
MR. SHEAFE: What is the total?
CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: 4.4 million.
MR. SHEAFE: For everything?
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: For everything.
MR. SHEAFE: All four?
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: You want to echo my
comment? Oh, my God.
MR. SHEAFE: Oh, my God.
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Oh, my God. When do you see deals like this?

MR. DABDOUD: My understanding is that the lease payment would be basically market interest rate. So we would be paying Rio Nuevo a market rate on the funds that we're using.

MR. COLLINS: You can do that.
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: We're all stupefied up here, Marcel. Sorry.

MR. SHEAFE: Well, you know, just speaking for myself personally, one of the concerns we want to do is make sure that we build something in here to give you maximum motivation to pay it off as rapidly as you can, convert to a different financing format as you can once you have your income stream established, so that we can take that money and use it to promote another
opportunity. Because this is quite an opportunity to have four of those things moving forward all at the same time.

MR. DABDOUD: That's right. The intention behind the 50 percent restriction is that that, in and of itself, will create incentive to pay you off, pay back Rio Nuevo, you know, before we can get a 75 percent, or we might come back to you say and say, hey, here's the situation, you know, and see what we can do.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Mr. Irvin.
MR. IRVIN: Mr. Collins, so I don't want to pick on anybody, but it's pretty easy. My motions are never long enough; Mr. Sheafe's are always too long.

In order to advance this, what sort of motion do you need to see?

MR. COLLINS: Mr. Secretary, I think what you might want to consider is instructing your lawyer to put together the four agreements that would be necessary to effectuate the desires of the Board, authorize the Executive Officers to work with the lawyer to do that, and then, ultimately, to finalize and execute those various agreements. That's something you might want to consider.

MR. IRVIN: I'll accept that motion and make
it mine. Thank you.
MS. COX: Second.
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Any other conversations comments, questions?

Is this remarkable? We are so blessed to have you guys working with us.

Michele, let's do a roll call vote.
MS. COX: I just want to make -- I am just blown away by the creativity behind this, the people who are involved, the significance of these iconic buildings. It's huge. And thank you for all you're doing. You guys are awesome.

MR. DABDOUD: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Michele.
MS. BETTINI: Edmund Marquez.
MR. MARQUEZ: Aye.
MS. BETTINI: Jannie Cox.
MS. COX: Aye.
MS. BETTINI: Mark Irvin.
MR. IRVIN: Aye.
MS. BETTINI: Chris Sheafe.
MR. SHEAFE: Aye.
MS. BETTINI: Fletcher McCusker.
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Aye.
By our votes of five to zero, we're your
partner.
MR. DABDOUD: Thank you very much. We appreciate the support.

MR. SCHWABE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Thank you very much.
As if we didn't have enough going on, in item number 15 we're going to try and advance Caterpillar's new headquarters. You may of heard Don Booth, the Mining Division CEO, at the Sun Corridor meeting announce to the world that he expects to take occupancy of his building in the spring of 2019, so we're going to have to get on our horse. Basically, a two-year build-out.

So what's contemplated, I think everybody knows as we've shared publicly, is that Rio Nuevo is acquiring the roughly eight acres on the corner of Cushing and the Santa Cruz River. We will -- we've already begun the archeology and Phase I and II ALTA work on that site. We'll contribute that site to the Caterpillar project and we will build to suit their headquarters building, which right now is estimated to house 635 employees. The process under which we would do that would be, one, that we hire an architect. We would solicit what's called a request for qualifications. Once the architect's on board, we

1 would rapidly solicit a general contractor as early as 2 November to hit these schedules.

Phil Swaim is our Project Manager, he actually works directly for us, so therefore, his firm will not be a bidder.

But, Phil, anything you want to say about the process, timing, project? Counsel briefed us in Executive Session regarding the documents. So any questions for Phil or Mr. Collins?

MR. SWAIM: Mr. Chair, Members of the Board Phil Swaim, Swaim Associates architects.

We are eager to proceed quickly. Caterpillar is -- they are moving into 97 East Congress. They are excited to be here. They realize they are going to be running out of space, so they are eager to get this building done as quickly as possible, with the goal of opening in the first quarter of '19. So we've got a little over two years to get this done, so we're eager to get our teammates on board as architects and contractors.

So have you got any questions at this point? CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: On timing, if we approve this today, you release the $R F Q$ for the design team this week?

MR. SWAIM: That's our goal. We have a

1 7:00 a.m. conference call with Caterpillar to be able to refine any other qualifications to the $R F Q$, and the goal would be to have that out on the street this week.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: And responses before Thanksgiving?

MR. SWAIM: That's correct. And have interviews beginning of December. We would then follow up by mid November to get the construction manager a risk contract $R F Q$ out on the street, and they would submit it in mid December, with interviews the first part of January. So we would have both on board and moving full steam ahead in January.

MR. IRVIN: Phil, I noticed you had suggested, I think, a 20-day fuse. It seems a little short for me. Why 20 versus 30? Do we handicap ourself by looking at a shorter fuse in that process? I want to make sure we're inconclusive. MR. SWAIM: 21 days is sort of the minimum by statute. At this point, we're looking to refine the exact schedule. If we can add a few days in there, we'd like to. We want to be able to make sure that we can let the short-listed architects know about their interviews prior to Thanksgiving, so they've got a time to work into early December. If we can get them selected by mid December, we can then start the

1 contract negotiations prior to Christmas and have them

At this point, just the idea of having a 150,000-square-foot corporate headquarters designed and built in a little over two years is a efficient task, so we would rather be efficient up front as opposed to trying to shorten it.

MR. IRVIN: I can appreciate that. I'm just not sure 10 days kills the deal, for whatever it's worth. I just want to make sure that that's a date that allows -- I know we have a lot of architects keyed up, they are chasing this thing, so I know those guys are probably keyed up. If somebody's not keyed up, they probably haven't been paying attention.

But I want to make sure that we aren't going to -- by going 100 miles an hour, which we like to do, we're not going to preclude somebody from being involved that should. So if we're down to 10 days and it's a matter of one of those two things, I would rather us give a little more time.

MR. SWAIM: Mr. Irvin, I appreciate those thoughts. We will fine tune and really analyze the scheduling process. We do have a process as well for the design team to submit questions, and if anything comes up that they feel they need more time, I think

1 that certainly is something we would consider, because I think you're right. We want to be able to make sure this is done properly and don't shortchange something there.

CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: It gets published, right. So the plan would be publish it this week, which then would allow the world to know that we have a project and they can respond to it.

MR. SWAIM: That is correct. And we've been notified by many architects, both locally and nationally, about the project, so they are aware of it. They are looking forward to -- both to the public notice and to your website, and we can make sure that they are aware of where to get the information so they can be efficient about that.

MR. IRVIN: Thanks. I'm comfortable with that.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: So it's a two-prong process.

Mr. Collins, watch me in case I mess this up.
We would move to approve the request for qualifications for the design team and authorize the Executive Officers to complete those documents, and authorize the development of a selection committee to rate those responses.

Can we do that one in one motion or should we do that --

MR. COLLINS: I think you just did. You add that, but you do it in cooperation with your Project Manager.

MR. IRVIN: I'll second that.
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: I don't think I can make a motion. Roberts doesn't allow that.

Go ahead someone.
MR. IRVIN: I think you stated that motion perfect. I'm happy to make that a motion.

MR. MARQUEZ: I'll second it.
CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: The motion is to authorize the release of the RFQ for the design team, authorize the Executive Officers to execute the RFQ and to authorize the appointment of a selection committee to review and rate and award the contract.

All in favor say aye.
(Board responds aye.)
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Opposed, nay.
Motion passes unanimously.
Separately, then, we would authorize the request for qualifications for the contract manager at risk, and, likewise, authorize the appointment of a selection committee to rate, rank and award that
contract, which will include, obviously, the new design team.

I can't make the motion.
MR. IRVIN: I'll make that motion for you. MS. COX: Second.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Any further discussion, conversation?

All in favor say aye.
(Board responds aye.)
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Opposed nay.
(Motion passed unanimously.)
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: So spring of 2019, Phil.
MR. SHEAFE: We'll send you the notes, Phil, in case you didn't take any.

MR. COLLINS: Could you send them to me too?
MR. SHEAFE: We'll send them to you, Mark.
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Item 16. We're down to
two to go.
I don't know if we have an actionable item yet, Mr. Collins. We're working on the financing.

MR. COLLINS: The financing that you've authorized is out and about, and I understand that Compass Bank is involved in taking a look at them. We don't have any answers yet. I had some questions.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: I can just table this?

MR. COLLINS: Yes, you can.
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: All right. Consider 16
tabled.
And 17, this was amended and placed on our agenda because of the timing for our friends at the Foundation for Senior Living. You will remember we previously approved a loan and then a contingent loan to accelerate the Marist project. We authorized counsel to develop those documents in concert with the developer. And I believe the documents are ready for approval, Mr. Collins.

MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, that's correct. You've previously authorized a $\$ 350,000$ purchase lease option agreement. It's not unlike the Gadsden deal. It's where you will purchase a small portion of the property, lease it back to the developer. You're going to have a reliance letter from all -- all of the due diligence been done. You'll reliance letter from the environmental people. So that 350 that you actually authorized almost twice, once you will recall it was 316 and then it became 350. We've got the documents together. My office has worked with counsel for the developer, which happen to be FSL Padre Kino Village, LP, which is an FSL partnership. Those are the folks that you've talked to.

That's set up in a deal that's very reminiscent of the Gadsden deal. You will recall that you've offered to -- the other deal is the million dollar commitment, and that is a commitment that is only to be drawn on if the developer is unable to secure other financing for that. Rather than put that into a complex document, and there's no money in simplicity, as Mr. Sheafe says, but we put it together in a loan commitment letter. It's a commitment by this District to fund a million dollars if, after two more efforts with the taxing authorities, they are unable to get the additional million that they need. Those documents are ready. They've been prepared pursuant to previous motion. What we had not done is authorized their execution, and we still need a legal description quite frankly, for the 350,000 . But that's where we're we are.

To refresh anybody's recollection -CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: And Chris is here if you have any questions of the developer.

MR. COLLINS: Chris is here, yeah.
I'm just showing you the piece that you will own of the property. That's the pink. You've seen this picture before. This is on the agenda, because these folks need to get it executed before our November
meeting.
JUDGE ISRAEL: Any questions for Mr. Collins or the developer?

MR. SHEAFE: I'm just confused on the million. The structure that -- or how does that tie the blue and the yellow here?

MR. COLLINS: I'm sorry. It doesn't have anything to do with --

MR. SHEAFE: The pink is the 350?
MR. COLLINS: Yes, the pink is the 350.
MR. SHEAFE: Let's assume that the financing doesn't work out and now there's a million dollars that the District is putting up, how does the District putting that million into this project be the rules under which we operate?

MR. COLLINS: It's going to have to be non-TIF money and you're going to be secured by a deed of trust.

MR. SHEAFE: So it's just the other money?
MR. COLLINS: It's the other money, right.
MR. MARQUEZ: Another question. What's the timeline? I know, obviously, there was a commitment for the additional million. You were going back to a certain taxing authority in which you've gone to so many other times before, this is the one time that it

1 didn't go through and now you're going to resubmit something more reasonable that you think will get passed. What's the timeline on that?

MR. VERMILLION: First of all, my name is Chris Vermillion. I'm with the Foundation for Senior Living. I'm the Senior Project Manager.

We applied for $\$ 2$ million with the Federal Home Loan Bank in San Francisco. This program is for exactly the type of apartments we're building. We missed by one point in March, last March around, and since then we have -- we are going to resubmit in March, and we would have building permits available at that time, which cost three points. So they assured us that we should reapply.

You have to consider that Steve Hastings, from the Foundation for Senior Living, is a member of the Advisory Board of the Federal Home Loan Bank. So he discussed these matters with his people and we are virtually assured, 99.9 percent, that we'll get it the next round.

We still have another round that we can go in case we don't get it. And this one million dollar fund or line of credit, whatever you want to call it, we are not going to use it until the end if, if we use it, till the end of 2018, which is when the project is
going into service.
CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: What's your timing on groundbreaking?

MR. VERMILLION: We are starting construction
in April. As a matter of fact, I'll give you a schedule. The architects are completing the plans right now. The building permits will be available by the end of February, and by the time we're meeting -we have the last meeting, the general contractor has the last meeting, it'll start first of April and completion for September 2018.

CHAIRMAN MCCUSKER: Anything else?
We need a motion to execute -- to authorize the Executive Officers to execute the documents.

MS. COX: So moved.
MR. MARQUEZ: Second.
MR. VERMILLION: I would like to give you the latest elevations for the project.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: This is the only project in the world that ever was going to save the Marist.

Did somebody make a motion?
MR. IRVIN: We had a motion to second, we said voted.
(Motion moved and seconded.)
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: I have a motion to

1 authorize the Executive Officers to execute the FSL documents.

MR. COLLINS: With a couple of conditions, yes, that's the motion.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: All those in favor say aye.
(Board responds aye.)
CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Opposed, nay.
Motion passes unanimously. Thank you very much.

This is the time set aside for call to the audience.

Karla is here, Karla Van Drunen Littooy.
MS. LITTOOY: Karla Van Drunen Littooy with TCC Today, my practice session for the Downtown Now.

This is a really exciting meeting. How wonderful to be here. Thank you to each of you for the public service that you've given in increasing the opportunity and the excitement of our downtown Tucson. I feel like it's a perfectly timed event for me to be here as a representative of TCC today, because our goals, objectives and accomplishments dovetail so beautifully with what you have been fomenting in the downtown area. Not only do they compliment, but in many cases they are just blocks away. So this is

1 terrific.

I've been invited to speak at your November 16 th meeting. Let me forecast a couple of things about that conversation we will have.

There are mistaken perceptions in our community that Eckbo is a void. And the City and our organization has recently been approached by two different organizations who would like, in particular, to fill the fountains with art installations. And we have a world-class modernist landscape that was given us, but not maintained, and so the restoration of that is a plan that we have in place, there is a rehabilitation plan in place, and we've made incremental progress. So I want to talk with you about some larger ideas so that we can stop this concept that something has to be to be into that poop landscape.

Now, one of the things the City accomplished over the course of the summer -- I'll have a pictures for you in a few weeks -- is that all the colorful tractor seats are gone. And what that gives us is that open, clean vision that Eckbo had for that property. So to now start dropping other things in place, it's a concern for me.

I would also like to comment relative to the very, very favorable report that Johnson Consulting

1 prepared for you. And Mr. Johnson has left, but I've 2 had a chance to speak with him as well as with Ryan. There is an omission in that report. TCC Today's objectives and accomplishments fit very perfectly with the commentary that Johnson made about the success in downtown, and $I$ just want to give a $I$ couple of examples, because this project was not mentioned at all in the report.

So, for example, securing the National
Registered Listing for that Historic District, which is adjacent to the TCC and includes the Eckbo landscape, bringing awareness of an historic -- the history and the design significance of this property, and the fact that as rehabilitation continues TCC and Eckbo Landscape will once again be a community gathering place. With those fountains filled it can be an oasis, with hundreds and hundreds of missing trees replaced. It's an urban forest, it improves the patron experience, it's going to extend the experience of all these people who are going to be living, dining, recreating in these projects that we've talked about today.

So I look forward to being back in a few weeks. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Thank you.
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No other calls? Entertain a motion to adjourn. (Motion moved and seconded.) CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: All in favor say aye. (Motion passed unanimously.)
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