

					T		
Evaluation Criteria:	Maximum Points Possible	Peach Properties	Nor-Generation	•			
1. Project Description	100	90	90				
2. Consistency with District	200	-/					
Goals for this Site		185	190				
3. Proposer Qualifications	150	150	150				
4. Proposer Business Plan	175	100	100				
5. Proposer Financial	175						
Capacity		100	100				
6. Requested District	100	2	an				
Assistance	1	80	70				
7. Project Schedule	100	90	75/				
TOTALS	1000	795	795				
/ /	1	10	110				

	//			
I hereby attest that the points	award	ed to each Proposer listed above were scored in accordance w	ith the	established RFP evaluation criteria and represent my besi
judgment of the respondents	subm	ittals.	/	
. //			10	
			1 -/	

Committee Member Signature



			2 1 1 1 1	MASILE	_	-	
Evaluation Criteria:	Maximum Points Possible	Peach Properties	Nor-Generation			9	
1. Project Description	100	100	100				
2. Consistency with District	200						
Goals for this Site		180	185				
3. Proposer Qualifications	150	150	150				
4. Proposer Business Plan	175	170	170				
5. Proposer Financial	175						
Capacity		165	175				
6. Requested District	100						
Assistance		90	100				
7. Project Schedule	100	90	85				2
TOTALS	1000	945	965 1				

I hereby attest that the points awarded to each Proposer listed above were scored in accordance with the established RFP evaluation criteria and represent my best judgment of the respondents' submittals.

Committee Member Signature

Date/



		·	\XXXX	MADILE		 ····	~ , ~~~~~	·
Evaluation Criteria:	Maximum Points Possible	Peach Properties	Nor-Generation					
1. Project Description	100	100	100		***************************************		•	
2. Consistency with District Goals for this Site	200	175	150					
3. Proposer Qualifications	150	150	100					
4. Proposer Business Plan	175	150	125					
5. Proposer Financial Capacity	175	150	125	etteren in commente transiera con cipi es si pre misurante anno commente commente commente commente commente c				
6. Requested District Assistance	100	50	50					
7. Project Schedule	100	75	50	***************************************			-	
TOTALS	1000	850	······································					

I hereby attest that the points awarded to each Proposer listed above were scored in accordance with the established RFP evaluation criteria and represent my best judgment of the respondents' submittals.

ommittee Member Signature

7.9.14

Date



			LYIVI	LINA SITE			
Evaluation Criteria:	Maximum Points Possible	Peach Properties	Nor-Generation				
1. Project Description	100	100	100				
2. Consistency with District	200	The second secon					
Goals for this Site		200	200				
3. Proposer Qualifications	150	150	150				
4. Proposer Business Plan	175	100	150				
5. Proposer Financial	175						
Capacity		175	175				
6. Requested District	100	. ~~		1 (AM) 8 11		****	
Assistance		50	100				
7. Project Schedule	100	100	100	- 1			
TOTALS	1000	8751	975	-			

I hereby attest that the points awarded to each Proposer listed above were scored in accordance with the established RFP evaluation criteria and represent my best judgment of the psycondents' submittals.

Committee Menyber Signature

7.9.16

CAS:mma 640058.1 6/24/2014



			ARI	CNA SITE					
Evaluation Criteria:	Maximum Points Possible	Peach Properties	Nor-Generation						
1. Project Description	100	80	70		-				
2. Consistency with District	200					i			
Goals for this Site		180	160						
3. Proposer Qualifications	150	140	140						
4. Proposer Business Plan	175	140	160						
5. Proposer Financial	175		1 2 -						-
Capacity		160	160						
6. Requested District	100	05	7						
Assistance		80	1/3						
7. Project Schedule	100	90	90				-	-	
TOTALS	1000	875.	855						

I hereby attest that the points awarded to each Proposer listed above were scored in accordance with the established RFP evaluation criteria and represent my best judgment of the respondents' submittals.

Committee Member Signature

Date

CAS:mma 640058.1 6/24/2014



			7 1111	MA SITE			
Evaluation Cuitaria	Maximum Points Possible	Peach Properties	Nor-Generation				
Evaluation Criteria: 1. Project Description	100	100	100				
2. Consistency with District	200	100	100				
Goals for this Site		150	200				
3. Proposer Qualifications	150	125	150			2	
4. Proposer Business Plan	175	125	175				
5. Proposer Financial	175	12/	.1				
Capacity		125	175				
6. Requested District	100	75	100				
Assistance		(3	,				
7. Project Schedule	100	15	100				
TOTALS	1000	775	10001				

I hereby attest that the points awarded to each Proposer listed above were scored in accordance with the established RFP evaluation criteria and represent my best judgment of the respondents' submittals.

Committe Member Signature

Date

CAS:mma 640058.1 6/24/2014