## In The Matter Of:

Rio Nuevo Board Meeting

6/24/25 June 24, 2025

Fink & Associates 6095 E Grant Road Tucson, AZ 85712

Original File 062425 Rio Nuevo.txt

Min-U-Script®

Page 3 RIO NUEVO MULTIPURPOSE FACILITIES DISTRICT 1 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: I'm going to call the 2 meeting to order. Happy June, everyone. This is the 24th Rio 3 4 Nuevo meeting. Brandi, if you can launch our virtual flag. 5 (Pledge of Allegiance) 6 7 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Brandi, will you call the 8 roll, please. BOARD MEETING VIA ZOOM 9 MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Corky Poster. Tucson, Arizona MR. POSTER: I'm here. 10 June 24,. 2025 MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Jannie Cox. 11 1:00 p.m. 12 MS. COX: Here. MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Richard Oseran. 13 MR. OSERAN: Here. 14 MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Chris Sheafe. 15 MR. SHEAFE: Here. 16 MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Edmund Marquez. 17 MR. MAROUEZ: Here. 18 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: And, Brandi, Huna, I see 19 20 Shay in the attendee side. REPORTED BY: MR. HAMMOND: I'll move her over. 21 Thomas A. Woppert, RPR AZ CCR No. 50476 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: And Taunya is vacationing, 22 Mike is vacationing. 23 FINK & ASSOCIATES 6095 East Grant Road Tucson, Arizona 85712 There's Shay. 24 25 **MR. SHEAFE:** Add Shay. She's here now. (520)624-8644 Page 2 Page 4 1 BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Yeah. 1 Fletcher McCusker, Chair All right. This is for attendees. We have a Edmund Marquez, Vice Chair 3 dozen or so on early. We always go into executive session Chris Sheafe, Treasurer first. That typically takes us about an hour, so we'll be 4 Jannie Cox 5 back in the public session promptly at 2:00. You can stay 6 Shay Jimenez here and leave and come back or you can log off and log 7 Richard Oseran 7 back in. Corky Poster So having said that, I need a motion to recess 8 8 9 9 to exec. MS. COX: So moved. **ALSO PRESENT:** 10 MR. SHEAFE: Second. 11 Ms. Brandi Haga-Blackman, Administrative Director 11 Mr. Timothy Medcoff, Board Counsel CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: All in favor say aye. 12 12 Mr. Dan Meyers, CFO (Motion made, seconded and passed unanimously). 13 13 \* \* CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Okay. For members, click 14 14 15 15 out of this meeting, click on the executive session link. And, attendees, we'll see you here in 50 BE IT REMEMBERED that a meeting of the Board of 16 17 Directors of the Rio Nuevo Multipurpose Facilities 17 minutes. District was held via ZOOM, in the City of Tucson, State 18 (Board in Executive Session) of Arizona, before THOMAS A. WOPPERT, RPR, Certified 19 **CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** I need a motion to Reporter No. 50476, on the 24th day of Junne 2025, 20 reconvene. commencing at the hour of 1:00 p.m. **MS. COX:** So moved. 21 21 22 22 **CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** Second that, please. 23 23 MR. MARQUEZ: Second. **CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** Who was that. 24 24 MR. MARQUEZ: Edmund. 25 25

Page 5

1 **CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** All in favor say aye. 2 (Motion made, seconded and passed unanimously).

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: We've got an echo coming 3

from somewhere.

5 Chris, you -- you were the last one to log on.

It seems to be gone now. 6

7 Edmund, say something.

MR. MARQUEZ: Hello. 8

9 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Okay. Sounds good.

Thank you, everyone. Thanks for your patience 10 during exec. We're pretty much on time. 11

12 There's a lot to cover in today's meeting.

Some things that we've covered we're going to re-cover as 13

we continue to educate many new Rio Nuevo followers about

how we operate, what our statutory mandate is, moreover,

what our statutory limits are. 16

I think we're a better board because of it. 17

You'll see our agendas are a little more descriptive about

what we're thinking about, what we might do. We've also

built now into permanent policy at least a two-week lag 20

between presentation of an item and approval of an item.

We're also developing a way for the public to comment

23 between meetings so you don't have to just limit yourself

24 to three minutes in a public meeting. And all that will

25 come together probably starting with our new fiscal year,

1 giving away tax handouts to people that don't deserve it.

Page 7

Page 8

2 So if we wanted to, we couldn't do that, because there are

3 too many restraints and too many accountable measures on

4 us that would prevent us from doing that. Chief among

those is the fact that none of us have any term limits, so

if the legislature thinks we are running astray, they can

fire us this afternoon.

One thing that we don't talk a lot about is the obligation for us -- we're going to talk about the Moxy again today. We've had a lot of commentary about the 11 Moxy, you know, why would you give so much money to 12 somebody who obviously could afford to do the deal by themselves. One of the things we're required to do is to have a third-party opinion about the tax benefits from any

transaction we do. That includes the government lease.

That opinion has to demonstrate to the state that the taxpayer has a greater benefit from any deal that we do

than the developer does, and that's especially true of hotel transactions.

If you look at the money we've invested in 21 hotels, it's a lot of money, but it's a very small

22 percentage of the overall cost of building a hotel. And

23 what you'll hear from Mr. Stiteler today and Obie when

24 they get ready to discuss their hotel is the gap that we

25 fill is the gap that finances the project. Lenders want t

Page 6

20

1 see some improvement in debt coverage ratios, particularly

2 in blighted downtowns. We're still considered blighted.

3 So in order to attract a commercial lender, we obviously

4 put some skin in the game along with the developer to

provide for that exercise.

We could not do that and we could not do that today for the Moxy unless we can prove through a third-party evaluation that the benefit to the taxpayer is greater than the benefit to the developer.

10 You can thank Senator Vince Leach for most of 11 this legislation, which was implemented in 2011, 2012 when 12 the state seized the Rio Nuevo District from the city. We still are bound by those laws today and you're going to 14 hear from us today on some ideas we have to better communicate all of that so that all of you can be assured

we're not just pissing away your state tax dollars. I probably can't say that in a public meeting, 18 but I did.

Dan, let's launch your financial report, and 19 then we're going to move to the budget.

MR. MEYERS: Okay. This is Dan Meyers. I'm 21 22 the CFO here at Rio Nuevo.

At the end of May, we had about \$10.5 million 24 in our operating accounts that were available for 25 spending. 731,000 of that is restricted to make the debt

1 July 1st.

This is also our official budget day. Just 3 like the city and the county and the state, we go into a 4 budgetary hearing. We go through every line item in our 5 budget, discuss, debate that as a board. The public have 6 the opportunity to comment on that. Then ultimately we're 7 obliged to approve a budget so we can disseminate that to 8 the state.

Let me remind everyone that we are a state 10 agency. We receive a portion of sales tax, TPT tax, to 11 reinvest in our projects, so we are appointed by the 12 speaker, the president and the governor. We report to the joint legislative budget committee. Any project we do 14 over \$500,000 in fact has to be approved by the legislature, so we don't get to just run amuck here.

All these laws were put in place in 2011, 2012 16 before most of us were appointed to the board to rein in 17 the former Rio Nuevo, which was indeed a boondoggle. But we're audited by the auditor general. We have to submit an independent audit every day. All of those things are available on our website. 21

Moreover, we post every check we write and 22 23 every agreement that we write to the website. We're not 24 very good about communicating that, obviously, because 25 many of the concerns raised recently are about us just

9

10

11

Page 9

1 service payment on June 1st leaving about \$9.8 million 2 available.

We were unable to get some rebates transferred 4 at the end of May, so we subtracted \$125,000 from that, 5 and then we pretty much protect a cash flow of \$350,000 a 6 month. And since these -- this report is based on a year 7 out, that's \$4.2 million giving us projected cash

8 available of about 13.9 million. On the second page, you'll see our projects, 10 18.7 committed, about 7.2 million already paid leaving a 11 balance of about 11.5 million. Again, since we're going 12 months out, some of our commitments, estimated to be about 1.5 million, will be outside of that one year, so when comparing \$10 million worth of projected cash outflow for our committed projects as opposed to \$13.9 million available, we've got a balance of close to \$4 million for

future projects. 17 Our April TIF revenue was 1.726 million. For our next year's budget, we're projecting 1.6, so when we get to the budget thing, I think this pretty much confirms 20 that we're budget conservative and, you know, we hope these grow as more of our projects come on board. 22

Our fiscal year to date average for TIF revenue 24 is 1.623 million, so, again, that -- I think that 25 validates our 1.6 million for next year's budget.

1 Broadway corridor and we've now added, you know, four or

2 five hotels in there. But you can see some important

Page 11

Page 12

3 projects there like the skate park, the Sosa-Carrillo

4 House, the children's museum that are not necessarily

5 revenue producing; contracts, the amount of money we

6 invest in downtown security, et cetera. So we're much

bigger than just -- our intention is much bigger than just

standing up a bunch of hotels.

Any questions for Dan?

(No oral response)

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: I think it gives you some 12 comfort on the 1.6 number we're going to slow you here in a minute for the budget. The commitments that have been

committed to, we're obligated to fund those. 15 Dan, if you go back and just look at how much 16 money is left over in terms of -- as we think about the new year. 17

18 Bring that last page back up, Brandi.

So you end up at --19

**MR. MEYERS:** Want to go to the first page, 20 21 Brandi?

22 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Yeah. Where does it show 23 the money that's --

MR. MEYERS: \$13.9 million, you know, available 24 25 a year out and about \$10 million of current projects on

Page 10

If you want to flip the page and look at our 2 list of current projects, some of these have been wrapped 3 up and will be falling off the schedule next year.

4 Anything in bold are the projects that are falling outside

5 of the one year timeline. For example, Indoor Football

6 League, we've got two installments remaining on that, so 7 half of that 666 will be the next fiscal year.

Do we want to take time to go through this or do we want to wait until we get the actual budget, which --10

**CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** At the risk of sounding 11 defensive, I do want to point out that I think all but one of those projects are local, small, minority, female-owned 14 businesses, which have always been on your bread and butter, so we take great offense to the, you know,

comments that all we're doing is supporting these big, ultra wealthy, out of state, out of town developers.

There's probably no organization anywhere in the state

19 that's done more for local operators than Rio Nuevo. And

you can tell by these commitments that's the means under

21 which we've more than doubled our tax base, investing in 22 small, local operators.

23 Now, it is true that the substantial majority

24 of these projects are in food and beverage, that, you

25 know, we've added some 45 restaurants to the downtown and

1 the books remain to be paid.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: So the point being we're 3 deficit spending. We're spending money that's been built 4 up this year, we're spending more than we're going to collect next year to fund those projects plus a few more that might come online.

**MR. SHEAFE:** We can show that the easiest way when we look at the budget, Fletcher.

MR. POSTER: Fletcher this is, Corky. There's one comment I wanted to add. You said these are our commitments of the Rio Nuevo board. They are commitments, but they are conditional commitments conditioned upon the 13 actions and behavior and completion of projects by the 14 applicant, so it's not like our money is sitting in their 15 bank account. We're waiting for them to do what they said, and if they do, then we will honor our commitments. I think that's important. 17

18 **CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** It's an important 19 correction, because we are the last money in in any one of these projects. So, you know, we do commit, but you're 21 right, Corky, they're obligated for a whole pile of 22 deliverables.

23 We've never been hard nosed about that. We 24 have some developments that take three years, four years, 25 we have some that are done in six months, nine months.

1 We've never really had a do it or die conversation with a 2 development partner. And we had some challenges in that 3 regard, you know, not the which of least is one of your 4 favorite projects, the Bungalow Block. You know, it's 5 still sitting there undeveloped. You know, could we, 6 should we be more demanding of our development partners? You know, they've always got a great reason that they 8 haven't been able to complete their project. We tend to 9 be super lenient, particularly with local, small

operators. 11 **MR. SHEAFE:** Brandi and Peter on that particular example say they've got six tenants and their holdup is purely processing fees and getting approvals out of the city, so haven't we gone and tested that, but that's the story we've gotten quite recently.

10

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Yeah, we've been super 16 challenged, particularly because we have so many 17 historical properties. They seem to take longer than everything. 19

It's quite impressive how quickly Trader Joe's 20 21 moved along. It was under an NDA, but it was a very 22 fascinating process to watch. Traders Joe's would not sign their lease until they had city permits. Trader 24 Joe's would not sign their lease until the city issued 25 their permits, so that was probably the fastest project 1 and dollars that have been committed to our master 2 planning.

We've never done this before and I'm not sure 4 that I have a plan to do it that's not a little messy, 5 because you might want to --

Well, let me ask the question. Do you want to 6 look at the master plan and dollars committed to that first or do you want to go through line item by line item and then see how --

**MR. SHEAFE:** Actually let me suggest a little 10 11 different. I think what I'd like to see happen is Dan give the global view of what we covered the last time as to where our income projections are coming from, what is our -- and this is how we set the budget format up.

15 The next thing is what are our operating fixed costs and all the things that we deal with operationally.

And then the third item is what does that leave 17 for supporting projects, and then talk about the projects that we currently have in the system, including a bunch of 20 projects.

21 And this sort of answers your question, 22 Fletcher, what projects are in there that make up the 23 million and a half but also what projects don't we show 24 but we know are coming, and then where does that leave us 25 if this budget were to occur as it's laid out at the end

Page 14

Page 16

Page 15

1 we've seen move in the downtown corridor. But, again, 2 this is really developer -- we've never found a way to 3 influenc the process other than to make our money 4 available.

Nothing else for Dan, we'll move to the budget. 5 So this is an actual hearing. I would need a motion to recess the regular meeting and to convene a special hearing on the 25-26 budget.

9 MR. SHEAFE: So moved. MR. POSTER: I'll second it. 10

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Okay. So we're adjourning 11 the regular meeting. I'm going to call the hearing to 12 order on the 2025-26 annual budget. 13

We are a fiscal year government agency just 14 like the state, county and city. We budget from July 1st to June 30th. We have to do this today, because we have to submit our budget to the state so they can finish their 17 budget up there for the current fiscal year.

19 We've got a couple of things for you to look 20 at.

Brandi, we might have to flip between two 21 documents. We have a budget presentation that Dan will 22 walk us through line item by line item, we've also tied 24 the budget to the master plan so we can actually discuss 25 in public the current status of the master plan

1 of the year and what is the global result from that.

And I think if we cover that, then people have 3 a general understanding of what we're looking at for our economics, and then we could entertain questions about any detail in there that gets us to that. But we need to cover the master stuff.

And, again, it's where our income comes from, how much are we using for our overhead, what remains for 9 projects, what are our assumed projects in the budget and where does that leave us at the end of the year. Then be sure and point out that 10 million at the beginning of the year, okay? 12

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: That's a great plan. Let 13 14 me remind the board that what you're seeing today is a draft budget that's prepared by Dan. A number of us have had input into the budget, including executive officers and non-executive members, so Dan's been the keeper of that input and today would be the first time we're seeing 19 it as a group because of the open meeting law constraints. 20 So in the spirit of --

MR. POSTER: Mr. Chairman, Chris's suggestion 21 22 didn't answer your question, because he never put in that sequence of events where the master plan might go. I'm suggesting that we might want to start the conversation 25 with a very brief overview of the topics in our master

14

Page 17

plan so those folks in the audience might -- who I'm
 certain haven't studied it in great detail -- might get a
 sense of what we have laid out in terms of our goals and
 objectives for the coming year.

MR. SHEAFE: Corky, in respect to you, because I know that that was an important issue for that, Dan's going to reference as he goes through those elements issues that are related to the master plan.

9 MR. POSTER: Okay. Thank you.

MR. SHEAFE: We put a number in there for the small business allocation, you'll see it listed in there, and we doubled it. And that was specifically because of the master plan.

MR. POSTER: I guess my point is, Chris, if there's something in the budget -- I understand there's something in the budget that corresponds to an element of the master plan, but if there's something in the budget -- if there's an item in the master plan that doesn't appear in the budget, that doing it that way would never show us that; right?

MR. SHEAFE: (Inaudible) ask questions, too, because we want to make sure that we're, you know, including that. It's a valid point. We put a lot of time and effort into the master plan.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: It would only take a minute

Item number 1.2, I believe the board has spoken as to your desire not to create any additional debt.

Number 2, you can see we invest a lot 4 of dollars.

Slide down into public safety. That'sincreased quite dramatically. Now we're approaching amillion dollars a year of our budget into public safety.

Transportation, you're going to see some changes in the budget, some suggestions from us to terminate the valet service and look at some of the other things we talked about in the planning sessions and commit some dollars to that, namely two of those items, maybe three.

15 Frontera, we've not been able to really impact the
16 affordable housing market, one, because we don't own a lot
17 of land, two, we don't have a lot of money and we haven't
18 really been able to approach the lenders, because you have
19 to have a specific project. So probably the most
20 disappointing thing about our planning six months ago is
21 probably going to be the affordable housing area.

Housing, other than us selling one lot to La

The convention center, we've done a great job on the other hand with that. I think you heard us tease we have a brand-name sponsor coming in for that. That will be entertained in August. That income will more than

Page 18

Page 20

Page 19

for us to -- and Brandi has it -- to pull up the master
 plan and just refresh our memory and to show new
 participants. There are 15 items in the plan. We can
 just kind of click through them in the major categories,
 and then there are a number of them now that tie directly

to the budget.So, Mr. Sheafe, if you want to indulge Corky,

8 we can pull the master plan document up first.

9 Brandi.

25

And this was completed at the end of last year, so we're not -- we're a half a year into our master plan.

Can you expand that?

13 MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Maybe.

Does that look better?

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Okay. I'm going to whip through it. We're not going to have a lot of chance to discuss it in depth, but it's basically a reminder of the work we did collectively a year ago.

Go back up, Brandi, so we pick up the heading.

Item number one, work to increase our funding,
we do have commitment to our lobbyists. As I've been
advised, this is not the year to approach this legislature
on trying to increase our appropriation, so we have
voluntarily elected not to pursue that in the current
legislative session.

1 support the projects that are on this list.

As Chris mentioned, we really like the small grant program, item number six, that we initiated last year. We're asking the board to double that in the new year.

We do indeed have a hotel development item. It mentions Obie, the Ronstadt Center, other possible hotels. Of course, the Moxy has been a pleasant surprise in item number seven.

Number eight, marketing to downtown, we do have some collaborative budgets with Tucson Foodie, with Visit Tucson, with the Downtown Tucson Partnership. The DTP runs the downtown calendar.

Item nine, we've not spent any money nor have we spent any real effort on Corky's idea to really look at the Country Club to Park Place. You'll see an item in the budget to bring back the Project for Public Spaces.

Ten, restaurant and retail development, we wanted to accelerate food and beverage projects. There's some commentary now that maybe we have too many restaurants. We certainly can revisit that, but item 10.4 challenged us to look for new restaurant opportunities to continue to funds outdoor dining, look for retail. We've been generally unsuccessful.

Item number 11 solved itself when the

1 community -- the city decided to leave the base of A2 Mountain as open space.

Increase Rio Nuevo's staff, we're not going to discuss that in the context of the budget, but we are going to have a study session regarding staff and the value of a CEO.

Events downtown, we spend a million dollars a year now in promoting our downtown events. Some people might suggest to you that there might be too many downtown events. Some of them might be in fact disruptive.

The grocery store was exclusive to Trader
Joe's. We have indeed done that. If you've driven by
there lately, that's going up very quickly. We did and
have helped Gibson Market expand and modernize their
property, so we're doing pretty well on the grocery
development side.

Urban planning, I would say we've been generally unsuccessful in facilitating any accelerated developing planning. We continue to talk to the city. Corky is a huge resource when we need him to help run some interference with the city.

So that was 15 items that we discussed a year ago. Some of them have developed some negative energy, I would say, around the number of food and beverage spaces in the downtown core and the continued investment in very

1 significant, because we have many accounts at Bank of

Page 23

2 Oklahoma Trust for deposits, for debt service for where we

3 hold our revenue as it comes in. And, of course, that's

4 all contingent on interest rates, but right now I project 5 that to be \$780,000.

We typically do not receive that. That kind of goes and offsets our monthly debt service, which will be reset August 1st, but -- so total budget income of about \$17 million.

We can go down the operating expenses. Those are pretty much consistent from year to year. There's a couple of things I wanted to point out that we've added that Fletcher may have mentioned.

We see the public relations of \$50,000 again just to get our word out better and people understand more what Rio Nuevo does.

Fletcher mentioned the BPS for \$50,000 to do 8 the study on -- from Country Club out to Park Mall. We're assisting with an audit from one of our merchants and we really want to upgrade our website.

So those are four or five items there that we're going to have in this year's budget.

MR. POSTER: Dan, can you explain the red-blue code?

MR. MEYERS: The red is brands new, stuff we've

Page 22

1 expensive hotels.

MR. SHEAFE: Dan, do you want to pick it up 3 now?

4 **CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** So let's go into the actual 5 budget.

MR. MEYERS: Okay. So keep in mind that thiswas presented --

8 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Let Brandi get it up.9 Here we go.

MR. MEYERS: We went over this two weeks ago at the board meeting. The numbers have trued up some. We'll just kind of go through this, and stop me if you have any questions along the way.

Like we spoke about a few minutes ago, we're assuming the revenue of \$1.6 million per month, which is \$19.2 million annually. We subtract from that the rebates that we pay out as we collect TPT for certain projects, and that should be about \$1.8 million a year. And then we try and put some money aside, because as you see, we go through this budget. We're going to go through it very quickly this year. We want to make sure we keep reserves on hand. So that really gives us a net of \$16.2 million to start with.

We've got a little bit of rental income from the Greyhound Depot. And our interest income is Page 24

1 never done before. Blue is stuff that has changed from2 our last meeting, the last time I presented this.

3 MR. POSTER: Thank you.

MR. MEYERS: Okay. And then the big item wasthe (inaudible). That's what we pay downtown

6 development -- Tucson Partnership that take of our7 beautiful downtown.

So I've got \$1.6 million leaving \$15.4 million to fund our debt service and our commitments. The debt service, we have \$9 million annually for 2019 bonds, the Greyhound bonds are \$260 annually, and we've got to take out a loan for some significant IT changes and

13 improvements down at TCC. That's about 309,000. So our 14 debt service is about \$9.6 million a year, and that leaves 15 about \$5.8 million for our commitments.

Under that you see an extensive list of our commitments. Fletcher mentioned events and sponsorships.

He mentioned downtown security. We've got some parking assistance we'll be laying down this year, and then we've got a few other commitments that are new.

We've got the final installment of the Congress
Street Block commitment. We gave the Fox \$2 million to
get them going and we're down to owing them less than
formally 5,000 now. Empire Pizza is progressing a little slowly.
Again, the Bata Patio, they kind of want to refine their

1 request a little bit. Herbert's Deli, the Annex

2 Commissary, those are all the same entity we've helped3 over the years.

Trader Joe's Fletcher mentioned earlier. That should come to fruition within about a year. Thunder Bacon, we're waiting on getting that commitment completed. The Roger, \$750,000, Cold Beer & Cheeseburgers, we've got a million dollar commitment on that, 500,000 due looks like July and then another 500,000 falls outside of this budget year.

11 We've got several projects that we heard about 12 that we haven't made any firm commitment on yet. It looks like that Punchline pretty much fell apart. Then we've got the Obie Hotel, the Moxy Hotel, the La Buhardilla Block and the Freidman Block. We're working on those. 15 16 We mentioned earlier the \$500,000 for small business grants doubled from last year. The El Presidio 17 Neighborhood looks like it's going to be wrapped up and pretty much paid for in the next couple days. The Indian Trading Post, that thing's moving along nicely and is 20 anticipated to be completed by June 30th of next year. 21

Not really sure what the status of Obon is. We anticipate it being done by June 30th of next year. 24 Zeke's Pizza and Coffee just got wrapped up. Copal, they

25 made a request and we're working through it. We've got a

1 we only have about 5.8 million, so here we're going to
2 have a deficit without any new projects on this list yet
3 of about \$5.7 million.

We knew these were coming at us. You know, they've been planned out and we've been hanging onto our cash until these things actually come to fruition.

7 It appears we're going to have \$10 million in 8 our accounts at the end of June, so subtracting that 9 deficit from the \$10 million, we anticipate having about \$4.3 million to spend on projects for the next year.

MR. SHEAFE: That's a key thing to focus on.

12 And we talked about this several weeks ago. But you see

13 at the very beginning of this, one of the income items is

14 780,000 in interest. That won't be there if we get rid of

15 all the money that we're drawing the interest from. So

16 those two numbers that you're looking at there, starting

17 in June of '25, you've got roughly 10 million, and June of

18 '26, you've got 4.3 million, so we've overspent by the

19 difference.

And that is not suggesting that we change anything in this budget, and there's a number of things in there that will change, but we need to pay attention to the fact that we are living beyond our means right now. And we even upped our income from 1.4 to 1.6 per month. So we've tried to give ourselves all the benefit that we

Page 26

Page 28

couple of Gibsons Food Hall, one of which will just be
 completed and be funded between now and the end of the
 next couple days.

We've got \$150,000 in there for murals that seem to be a rousing success. We've still got some time to assist the Tucson Roadrunners with their lease to keep them in town. We've got some significant investment in the Sosa-Carrillo house plus a match, and we're still waiting to kind of get the details on those, so there may be a little wiggle room in those numbers as far as when they're going to be completed.

We've got a commitment to the Tucson Children's
Museum that again falls outside of the next fiscal year.

And I was just notified that the skate park is going to
fall outside the next fiscal year. We've got a new item
on our budget to assist the Mission Garden.

And we spoke earlier about the Indoor Football
League championship, year two of a three-year commitment.
And we've got a couple of small parking things that we
committed to that will winding down.

Again, our master plan talks about parking in Tucson, so we've got two new items in there that we anticipate doing, and then we've some more parking with an annual contract with Pima County.

So that uses up about 11.5 thousand (sic) and

1 can.

Now, admittedly 100,000 of that every month is 3 going to set up some reserve, but that won't be much of a 4 reserve for the size of what we've got. You'll see the 5 very last line down there, estimated balance. That 6 1.2 million isn't achieved until a year from now goes by 7 and everything else is met.

The two things that I see that are bothersome

9 just from my own personal philosophy is that we're -- we
10 keep -- we said, well, we're going to take a
11 million dollars and put it into non-productive items, but
12 if you go through this budget, we keep adding more things
13 outside that million dollars and we're spending a greater
14 and greater amount of our money on things that don't
15 produce revenue directly in starting new businesses.

And I think, for example, when we go to the
Project for Public Spaces, Corky, it's nice to get a big
study, but if we don't have any money to implement it,
it's almost, you know, inconsequential as to what we can
achieve. So it's going to be very important that we very
strategically think through the year how we're going to
(inaudible) '27 year route based on what we're learning
here, because we can't continue to do what we're doing.
We'll get away with it for this year, but unless we go

25 out -- Fletcher's suggestion has been that we borrow a lot

Min-U-Script® Fink & Associates (7) Pages 25 - 28

Page 27

1 of money, if that were one way to do it. Another way to 2 do it is to bring our expenditures in line with our income 3 and live within our means, but it really comes down to 4 that, too.

So here's our budget. It's our best guess at 6 the moment. Dan's done a terrific job of putting this 7 together. He explained what the red and the blue means. 8 There's been a lot of little changes, but we're about -- I 9 won't be participating, but if we make the commitment on the Moxy, which, by the way, won't hit in this next year, that is going to change that number for the following year, because it will be a pretty good sized hit, and that's in there right now at zero.

**MR. MARQUEZ:** The Moxy's pushed out two years, 14 15 so it wouldn't show up in '26 '27 either.

MR. SHEAFE: Yeah. 16

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Chris, what's your thinking 17 on the reserve? 18

**MR. SHEAFE:** Well, you know, when we needed the 19 20 reserve the last time, we went through 5 million. And that was when we were operating at a much more condensed -- so I think we just keep putting 100,000 aside and keep building that up. And if you were to ask me what should it be built up to, I'd say you shouldn't mess with 25 it until you get at least to 5 million, because if we hit

1 there's any logic to what happened last year, then during

Page 31

2 the course of the year it may go to 1.8, 1.9, 2.0, because

3 these projects that are now -- that we have funded in

black letters in our budget are coming on line and producing sales tax.

MR. SHEAFE: Well, that's why we have to always 6 be thinking about this. But remember we're also bringing projects in and working GPLETs where in effect we're not putting money out, but we're also keeping away that future tax revenue. So we have to be thoughtful about that, and Dan's doing his best to project those things.

12 The reality is we either develop the discipline to live within our budget or we don't. 13

MR. MARQUEZ: The \$780,000 doesn't go away ail at once either. It's reduced by about 55 percent. And also we're not going to go from 10 million down to 4.3 with cash on hand immediately. It's going to take time to get there. So the 780 doesn't go away all together.

**MR. SHEAFE:** One of the things that we have to look is what investment are we making sometimes in relation to how much is that business going to generate.

Edmund's been very effective in pointing that 23 out, where we're being asked to put, you know, 800,000 24 into a business that's going to give us 40,000 a year. 25 That's just out of balance and we need to sometimes look

Page 30

Page 32

1 another, you know, what I call a black Swan event, the way 2 we're using cash, it wouldn't be very difficult to use 3 that up rather quickly.

For example, when we went through COVID, our overhead expenditures were running about 50 percent of what they are now.

**MR. MARQUEZ:** So your end number is-- it's 4.3 million plus we'll have the 1.2 million in reserve, right? 9

MR. SHEAFE: Yes.

**MR. MARQUEZ:** All right. 10

MR. POSTER: What this budget does not project nor can it reasonably do so is that as we bring all of these projects on line, our stated mission is the reason we're spending money on line on these projects that come on line is that they will produce more revenue, so we do not have any mechanism for projecting additional revenue above our 1.6 million monthly revenue --17 18

**MR. SHEAFE:** Well, we do, Corky, because what we did when we projected this was take our monthly income and take it from 1.4 to 1.6, so we're picking up a lot of 21 those new income streams. And maybe next year, if 22 everything were to happen, we would maybe be able to take that to 1.7. But that won't with solve the problem that 24 we're going to get our ourselves into in about a year. 25 **MR. POSTER:** Last month it was 1.7, and if

1 at it and say, you know what, based on the revenue possibilities that the district will get, we probably

3 shouldn't put more than maybe two to three years multiple

4 against the revenue stream. And that assumes that they're successful.

MR. MARQUEZ: Yeah. We're also squeezing ourselves a bit in the costs here, so, Dan, if you scroll up or Brandi a little bit, not to pick on them, but like mission Garden assistance is already in the budget, but it 10 hasn't been presented to us, we haven't seen the economics of it, et cetera, and we're already adding it into our 12 budget, so we are squeezing ourselves a bit in the budget 13 here just for us looking at it.

MR. SHEAFE: Well, when those things come, if we decide not to do that, we'll pull it back out.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: So go to the marketing --16 17 **MS. COX:** I have a comment, please, that PPS, 18 although I think the world of PPS and they might play a great role in helping us make decisions about what to do 20 between Country Club and Park Place Mall or the end of our 21 district, \$50,000, it's -- it just seems \$50,000 is a 22 fraction of what it's going to cost to have PPS do that

23 work for us. MR. MARQUEZ: Also between Country Club and

25 let's call it O'Reilly Chevrolet, we don't own properties.

15

Page 33

The reason why we brought on PPS is because we had four
 different batches of properties that we now own, so this
 is the first I'm hearing of PPS besides, I mean, just in
 the budget conversation.

MR. SHEAFE: From a budget point of view, we have other things in there and this isn't the time to debate they. We'll do them one at a time. But you've got ride share subsidy, 100,000, Park Tucson, 50,000, you know, PPS, 50 000. If you start adding those up and say, you know what, maybe we take a large amount of money maybe a mural project would be instead of 150, 50. There are ways to pull this, but we have to collectively decide we're going to do that. So our job is to present what's happening and what people are talking about and just lay that out so we stimulate these discussions.

The thing that I wanted to push is simply to understand that we're way overspending our income. And we've got enough in there that we can get away with it this year, but we're not going to get away doing what we're doing right now for very much longer.

MR. POSTER: One thing that is really important to me is that we can't budget, you know, what's going to happen this year, what's going to happen next year. Part of our budget needs to look way forward in the future. I drive up and down Broadway a lot and from Craycroft to

1 that's our downtown. So we can pat ourselves on the back

2 for a lot of good things, but, boy, when you compare this

3 to other cities that I've been to, we're really not

4 looking that good in my own personal view. I think that

5 we have to try much harder on bringing more businesses

6 into the district.

And, you know, Fletcher very rightly points out we're reactive, but maybe through Project for Public Places or some other review we can begin expanding how we attract those other businesses other than bars and restaurant to really fill in all the pieces. And I think a real difference in the overall --

MR. POSTER: I agree with that, but that's going to take some planning to figure that out.

MR. SHEAFE: Yeah, quite a bit.

16 **CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** Scroll down to the 17 marketing piece.

18 MR. SHEAFE: Look at some of the successful communities and look at the businesses they've got.

20 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Do you have the marketing 21 budget in there, marketing item?

Yeah, keep going down.

So to Chris's point, and I think it's a worthy conversation, when you have \$10 million available and the dollars that we spend on things that don't generate a

Page 34

Page 36

Page 35

Country Club there must be 50 PICOR available signs on
 various properties along the way. So part of our budget
 needs to go to what's happening four years from now or
 three years from now.

And I know what I'm going to hear is we don't work that way. And I've said many times that that's simply not true. We participated heavily in the Sunshine Mile Overlay District and that has been and will continue to produce revenue for a decade. And so somewhere in our budget we need some long-term investments that are not this pizza shop and this coffee shop but rather what can we do that will open up a whole avenue of future income in areas that are ripe for development.

13 areas that are ripe for development.

14 It just seems to be poor planning to -- you

15 know, to only think what's going to happen this year or

16 next year and not do things this year that we'll benefit

17 five years from now or six years from now or seven years

18 from now. And it turns out those investments are

19 relatively small compared to the payoff down the road.

18 from now. And it turns out those investments are
19 relatively small compared to the payoff down the road.
20 MR. SHEAFE: Well you have to start off with
21 how much money you've got, and then are you going to use
22 it in the most effective way possible. And as I kind of
23 feel like I'm, you know, being objectionable with this,
24 but I keep pointing out we've got a lot of property. You
25 just go up and down Congress and half of it is dark, and

1 building, a bar, a hotel, a retail store, this is the big

2 ticket items, we spend a million dollars a year,

3 10 percent of our disposable income, on promoting and

4 marketing events that attract pedestrians to downtown, and

5 the numbers are staggering.

Brandi probably has them, but, you know, just Tucson Meet Yourself is 150,000 people, El Tour is 20, 8 Arizona Bowl is 10, Dusk is 20. The parade was 20, the 9 jazz festival is 22,000 people. You know, we just did this deal with the U of A that they're delivering in spades on to make us more connected to pre-game and post-game activity, and we've seen the impact of all that.

But this is discretionary. Every one of these dollars is discretionary. Do they fulfill our mission? Sure they do. Is it worth deficit spending to get there? I would argue absolutely, because they produce pedestrian traffic that at some point is going to come back and spend money.

But if you scroll back up, Brandi, and you look at the other things where we spend discretionary money currently before you look at any of the new projects, we're now spending a million dollars a year on safety and security, so there's a million dollars going to marketing, there's a million dollars going to off-duty police and the private security provided by the Downtown Partnership. Do

1 you cut back on that? I would argue absolutely not. You
2 know, this is part of why -- people are not going to come
3 downtown if it's not safe, so there's \$2 million that you
4 could debate doesn't produce any ROI, you know, but
5 they're hugely important to us.

Keep scrolling back up. Look for the things that are -- don't contribute to, you know, projects.

You could argue the parking subsidies don't necessarily produce revenue. These -- these things -- so you've got, you know, at least 2,000,005, maybe \$3 million of discretionary funding in this budget, which is almost the entire deficit. We're going to spend a million and a half dollars remodeling the Sosa-Carrillo House.

MR. MARQUEZ: That deal was different. A million and a half goes towards crediting us towards the purchase price. We actually received that asset for spending that money.

18 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Yeah. I think that, you 19 know -- but, you know, if -- I don't think we're in panic 20 mode. Deficit's a hard word. I think this is all 21 investment spending. I think Corky's right. It's not 22 going to be that much down the road where we're making 23 \$2 million a month.

Shay, go ahead.

MS. JIMENEZ: I was going to email Brandi and

1 end of the year. That saves you having to go through a

2 whole bunch of detail, but Dan has to go through it all

3 and make those judgments. And he's been pretty darn

4 accurate in what he's put out. But it's like a

5 kaleidoscope of changes. You know, it's hard enough to do

6 for that one project. I do it all the time in my own

7 company, but to do it for 40 projects, that gets really

8 complex just getting the communication.

9 **MR. MEYERS:** Can I just say a couple things? 10 One thing is that I think we have to look at it this way, 11 is a lot of these projects in this particular year,

12 upcoming year, are all coming to fruition at once. We've 13 never spent \$11 million on projects. You know, these

14 things have been around for years, a lot of these

15 projects. They keep getting pushed back. And then we

took on some pretty big hits this year with Trader Joe's.I think this is a little bit of an anomaly, but -- and I

18 also think that -- I listened to Chris and I think we need 19 to be cautious in what we're going to be spending from 20 this point on.

And Corky's mention, you know, 1.7 million the last come months, well, I think we need to look at averages, because you guys don't always see the baselines

24 that all of a sudden will -- like, for example, Christmas,

25 we'll bring in \$4 million of revenue, but the baseline's

Page 38

Page 40

Page 39

1 Dan just to ask, but I'll just ask the board while we're2 here publically. Would it be possible to put our projects

3 in sort of a Gantt sheet, you know, the Gantt timeline.

4 Construction budgets use this -- you know, (inaudible) are

5 usually set up in this way where, you know, you have all

6 your trades working over time and some are working

7 concurrently, but you can see the overlap over time as to

8 which projects. You could do that same kind of thing for

9 projects that are coming on line. You know, we could

10 project that out concurrently. It would for me just be a

11 really helpful visual tool to understand which projects we

12 have and then what phase they are, whether they're

13 upcoming, current, you know, just to see that projected 14 from however far many years we go back with a deal to how

15 many far years forward we're projecting out for a deal,

and that would help clarify this cash flow basically

17 situation we have.

MR. SHEAFE: Well, we can always do a sources and uses of cash, which every normal organizations needs to do. You have that a little bit, Shay, in this second document that you get every month, this one. And that's basically what Dan is doing without all the backup detail, but he's saying this is how much we've paid, this is how much we think we're going to pay between now and the end of the year, and here's what we think will go beyond the

so high, we only get a million. So I don't think you canjust look at the last two months.

You know, like I said, we've got 1.623 million 4 for this fiscal year. Now, do I expect it to go up

5 because of new stuff we're bringing on board, absolutely,

6 but I don't see it just going straight to \$2 million or

7 anything like that. You know, I remain very optimistic

8 about this, but I do think we have to be cautious, because

9 if something crazy happens and -- I mean, COVID was a real

10 big deal, but yeah, we blew through 5 million bucks in

11 like six months.

MR. MARQUEZ: This year is an anomaly. I agree with you. I think as this board is becoming more diverse, it's not as simple as us passing the budget as we have in the past. I mean that respectfully. I think any project that has not been presented to us from Mission Garden to parking, ride share, et cetera, I'd remove that from the budget and let it be presented to the boards so we could al make decisions and have discussions, a little more transparency on those. I think that will help us as we look at our final numbers.

And then from a conservative perspective, I would decrease our amount of spending. put a little less money into each deal that we're doing. I mean, sometimes they ask us for X amount of dollars and, again, Chris and

1 I -- Chris mentioned it earlier, it depends on how much 2 sales tax you generate, et cetera, what's our return on 3 investment. So I'd recommend taking out some of the 4 projects that haven't been presented to us yet.

**MR. SHEAFE:** Well, we can do that. And you're 6 going to vote on the budget in a few minutes, so you can 7 propose that. But, you know, that gets into the weeds an 8 awful lot. It would seem that if you really want to 9 capture it, you say, all right, we're going to limit the 10 amount of money not that we put into all non-productive new business investments to a percentage of our total. 12 And we're already paying a million dollars in police protection for downtown, which is really 13 14 legitimately a city problem, but the city isn't willing to do their job, so we're having to cover it. And I don't mind if that's quoted in the paper, because it's not right. We're supporting what needs to be done, Fletcher's absolutely right, but the city is refusing to do their

part. 19 20 So there's a million, so you could say 21 20 percent of our available funds and no more go to projects that do not create new business revenue streams. Now, that allows the people that are making

24 those decisions to keep, you know, giving and taking to 25 keep the total in that realm rather than trying to pick

1 that's a percentage of their total income or what they're

Page 43

Page 44

2 going to invest in some of the basics. So if I could sell that point to the board, I would say make a motion that limits how much we're going

to allocate to these non-productive elements and then let 6 the process wrestle with how they fit the expenses into

the available dollars. That's the only way you're going to get control over it.

**CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** I think you're all 10 forgetting a little bit of history. 12 years ago we 11 didn't have a dollar to invest in anything and we've built 12 this revenue by investing in things that produced incremental revenue. We've scrounged up three million

14 bucks to invest into the Tucson Convention Center and it 15 filled up.

16 But, you know, if you look at what's occurred 17 to us even post COVID, the year 2021, our income was \$9 million. It's now \$19 million and it's representative of the decisions that we've made, which are pretty much stand-alone decisions. And I'm a much bigger risk taker than probably most of the people on this board. We have spent every dollar we've had for the last 12 years and we have doubled our tax base.

Do you start allocating by percentages, do you 24 25 cut back on marketing, do you trim up the police, not now.

Page 42

1 and choose right now, because that's not asking a very

2 fair thing of the board, to try and, you know, drink from

3 a fire hose and make valid decisions. So that would be my 4 comment.

**MR. MARQUEZ:** Correct me if I'm wrong, it's 6 600,000 to off-duty police officers unless your including downtown Tucson -

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Yeah, I'm including the purple shirts. We just added 300 grand, remember, to increase that security. 10

**MR. SHEAFE:** What is happening is we're making 11 a lot of these decisions piecemeal without keeping the 12 total in mind. And that's the exact -- that's how a government always gets in trouble. If you do it for your business, and I know I did this for our business, even big

numbers, I had to be realistic in how much money I knew we had. I needed to be able to write checks and have them 17

actually cash for the people I wrote them to, so I had to

19 figure that out. You do it with your family. You don't

20 just go out and say, well, we're going to buy a car and

21 we're going to do this and, oh, yeah, this is a good idea,

22 we'll donate money over here. You're always lining that

23 up with what the resource is. And most families say, 24 well, we have a certain amount of money that we're going

25 to commit to giving away. And that's usually a number

1 You know, we're at, I think, a real turning point with our

2 downtown. We have unbelievable inbound activity. And,

3 you know, we're being challenged. The Live Nation deal

produced a million dollars a year of new taxes, you know,

5 but it was too big, too controversial, so we find

ourselves weaving through this diplomacy to continue to attract this revenue. While we're talking about debt, I

just want to put it on the table.

MR. SHEAFE: You know, Fletcher, you're making 10 a very good point. And there was a mixture, but we were able to generate a lot of that money. Of course, the start was when we redid the bonds.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: So let me just -- let me 13 14 finish that sentence.

15 **MR. SHEAFE:** It's been very effective. And 16 that's kind of the argument that I would make, that the more dollars we put into businesses, the more we can generate that, you know, revenue stream in order to be able to do other things. It's just that we also need to 20 bring a lot of people downtown and we need to make our 21 city --

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Let me just talk about debt 22 23 for a minute.

For the same \$100,000 a month that we're going 25 to give the Moxy spread out over ten years, you could

11

15

21

25

weigh in.

14 have three minutes.

Page 45

1 borrow \$10 million and you would have that money in three 2 months. And if our paths continue, that \$10 million would 3 attract \$90 million of private sector investment. So debt 4 might not be as risky as you think, because right now 5 you're having to have this conversation as to we don't 6 have enough money to do what we want, so do we change what 7 we want or do we hope that next year is going to be 8 better. I would fall into that latter category. I think 9 it's going to be better.

I don't think we cut anything out of this 10 11 budget. I think some of the things that are in there that we haven't discussed, you know, you leave them in the budget, but they have to be subsequently approved. We 14 haven't approved, you know, subsidizing Uber. It's a line item in our budget. But Edmund's right, that whole proposal should come to the board and be approved as a line item. But, you know, today's the day. We're obligated to send our budget to the state by June 30th. MR. SHEAFE: Well, let me soften the blow a 19 20 little bit, because, Fletcher, you are -- and I would imagine during the year, we're going to have this discussion quite a bit. The budget is simply an outline. The only thing I can tell you that's absolutely correct about the budget is exactly what we have here won't 25 happen. There will be plenty of changes during the year

go ahead and start with the first request from a James Hanley. MR. HAMMOND: I have a Jim Hanley. I'm going 19 20 to assume that's the right one.

MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: They're not specifically

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: They can speak now. This

Brandi will run the com. She will mute all of

MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Okay. So we have three

Just a reminder, the board cannot respond, so

2 for the budget. Do you still want them to speak?

4 is a public hearing. They can speak to the budget or 5 anything that's related to the budget or anything that

6 impacts us economically and it gives them a chance to

us, recognize the speaker and they get their three minutes of glory, and then we'll come back to the budget.

12 people that requested to speak to the board. Your name

13 will be called. We'll promote you to presenter and you'll

16 if the board members can please mute themselves and we'll

(Discussion off the record)

**MR. HAMMOND:** I got a little indicator that 22 23 said -- oh, there we go. Declined. So Jim declined. Do you want to do the next one, Brandi? 24

MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Raquel Mogollon.

Page 46

Page 48

1 and we may even have to adjust it, but we need to approve 2 something. So if the collective interest is to say, yeah, 3 we'll just approve it as is or if you want to make certain 4 adjustments and say we'll approve it that way, but 5 whatever we approve, it's just a budget and I've never 6 seen a budget that actually became totally accurate at the 7 end of the budget period. They all change. So we made 8 our best guesstimate using information, some of it approved, some of it not, to try and create a picture of 10 what the next year looks like understanding that there's going to be plenty of changes. 12 MR. MARQUEZ: I'm okay with approved the budget

13 as it is. CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Let's me -- we're obligated 14 to take some public comment here, so before we get into motions --16

MR. MARQUEZ: I'm not making a motion. I'm 17 just saying as long as those projects come to the board for the full transparency for us to vote on them.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: All right. So we have a 20 21 few people that want to speak to us. Let's move into 22 public comment. We can come back and finish the 23 conversation.

Brandi, I think you have a few people that 24 25 wanted to the speak to us, yes?

MS. MOGOLLON: Hello, Rio Nuevo board members. 2 Hi. I'm Raquel Mogollon. I've spoken with you recently. 3 And I've lived in downtown for over 35 years, raised my 4 daughters here, and I just have witnessed a lot of, you 5 know, local working people creating exciting, productive 6 ventures deserving the kind of support that you guys can offer. I want to express appreciation for those of you that are talking about, you know, with good intent and even the vulnerabilities and historic mistakes of Rio Nuevo. Thank you for sharing that transparently.

I am here again today to speak about the proposed incentive of the \$4.8 million for the Moxy Hotel development. Even listening to everything that's been said here, I still do not see this as a good use of our tax funds. Why is the Rio Nuevo board and downtown Tucson I still say giving away money to wealthy developers. As I talk to folks in the downtown that I know, we see that, you know, they've -- these are people even that have already received taxpayer support. 20 So we see right now that our state has a pretty

21 big budget crisis. Last year Arizona faced over a billion dollar budget shortfall, and I don't understand why wealthy developers should benefit from state sales tax 24 revenue while regular Arizonans are having to fight for 25 funding for crucial services that we need.

Min-U-Script® Fink & Associates (12) Pages 45 - 48

Page 47

Page 49

Personally I can say I have a very close 2 friends, for example, who is in terrible distress, extreme 3 distress, struggling around the issues of her disabled 4 son, having all these monies cut and services cut and all 5 the tightening that's going on, which has created a 6 terrible, you know, hardship on her.

I do need to say that, you know, we still have 8 issues of parks being closed without good accommodations **9** for our unhoused people.

And so at least five other hotels have already 10 11 received millions of dollars in property tax breaks, sales 12 tax rebates and other financial support from Rio Nuevo in the last few years. I really feel that enough is enough. 14 I do see this as a giveaway to developers and we should not be doing that any longer. Let's prioritize the local establishments, increase that support to local 17 establishments and the needs of Arizona residents looking for, you know, long-term and creative solutions that make our downtown have more meaningful investments and not --20 we don't want to see this \$4.8 million Moxy Hotel giveaway 21 as I will continue to call it.

Please vote no. I thank you very much for the 22 opportunity to speak with you and look forward to opportunities to discuss more ideas and possible creative 25 solutions for our downtown. Thank you.

1 Hotel Arizona project. It looks like that's coming

- 2 through, so that 37 percent vacancy rate on hospitality is
- 3 just going to grow by 290 rooms by September of 2026.
- That's the figure that I saw in the most recent article.
- This looks like it's going through. I don't understand --
- you know -- this might be good for Scott, but is it going
- to be good for downtown, is it going to be good for the
- city. After all, Hotel Arizona is right next to the
- convention center. And, you know, there's another hotel
- on the south end of -- I don't remember if that's a
- Marriott, but it's a big hotel, so I don't understand the
- wisdom of spending money on another project when you
- 13 already have excess capacity in this. It does not seem to 14 me that you have good prospects for profitability,
- 15 because -- so, you know, let's say that you build that
- 16 hotel. Well, you already have capacity, and so your
- visitors are going to go to the Moxy instead of to the
- Marriott or one of the other hotels in the district. You
- 19 have gained nothing, you know. In fact, you're increasing
- capacity, which is going to drive rates down, hotel, you
- know, rates down. 21

So really that's the reason why I have, you know, for the first time attended your meeting and I appreciate you going through the plan. You know, I 25 haven't -- I haven't looking at your plan before and the

Page 50

MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Thank you, Raquel. 1 And then Jim, it looks like you are here, so if 2 3 you can go ahead and unmute yourself.

**MR. HANLEY:** First I want to say a little bit about myself. I'm the chairman of Arizonans For a New 6 Economy. We are a public banking advocate in Arizona. We're about 15 years old.

I wanted to weigh in on the Moxy proposal. **9** It's really the first issue that's come to my attention from the Rio Nuevo operations and I just -- I'm not questioning, you know, what you do generally, but in this particular instance, I don't see the wisdom of -- as I understand it, the petitioner's requesting \$5 million from 14 the -- from Rio Nuevo for this project. That represents about 16 percent of the -- the total cost of the project. So first we want to look and see, you know, 16 what are the prospects for this business to be profitable 17

if you get behind it and you invest in it. And, you know, I checked today and there's a vacancy rate of about 37 percent statewide. I don't have the figures for the 21 district -- hotel occupancy in the district, but that's a

22 pretty big vacancy rate. And I don't understand if, you 23 know, you already have more capacity than you need why

24 you're going to create more capacity.

25 And I'm also looking at -- I checked on that 1 budget. All that's very interesting. So thank you for 2 having me.

3 MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Thank you, Jim. Okay. We have one more that came in, an Ian 4

5 Stupar.

6 MR. HAMMOND: I do have an Ian. Do you want to 7 try that.

(Discussion off the record?) 8

MR. STUPAR: I just needed to bring up a few 9 10 issues. You know, early in the discussion with budget, you guys speak about how much you're giving to these small 12 businesses and how it's predominantly small businesses.

13 And there are definitely a lot of small businesses on

- 14 there that I agree with and I appreciate that you're doing
- that. I myself am a small business owner and am
- representing a group of small business owners, but 17 historically and on your guy's website, these people that
- you are dubbing small business, in particular certain land
- 19 developers and landlords, maybe in the scope of the world
- 20 are small businesses, but these are huge businesses. And
- 21 in your budget with your shortfalls, it's just very
- 22 disappointing to hear that what you're alluding to that I
- hope doesn't happen that you're going to like cut art 24 programs, like get rid of murals and these things that are
- 25 going to be easier for you to get rid of so people can

Page 52

Page 51

build these giant hotels and these things when those
people already have millions and millions of dollars of
their own or their companies are worth millions and
millions. And historically it does feel like and seem
that Rio Nuevo is very quick to give like one of the big
four downtown property owners, land developers, it's like
they come in, they do a very whatever pitch, and then you
guys are writing a check for millions, and then the true
small business owner that's asking for like 100 grand, 50
grand, it is a huge process and is super rare or it's a

You know, most recently there was the thing that you guys did with Ground Swell and it's like these people that are small businesses that are struggling, like the economy is in a very weird place right now in the word for lots of reasons and, you know, people are getting a 2,500-dollar check. And by no means did anybody I've talked to, all the help is always appreciated, but it's like these people are like you're making true small business owners like feel like rats like needing to go get

21 table scraps, and then these people that are worth
22 millions of dollars that own tons of property and
23 buildings and real estate, and then you're just writing
24 them checks.

And then to hear that like you guys are over

items that might need subsequent approval. There was some
 conversation about maybe some arbitrary, you know, you cut
 everything by X to produce Y. Personally I'm not in favor
 of that.

There's this on and off again conversation
about borrowing some money to provide some capital that we
pay for over the next ten years. It does not appear to me
that I would have the votes to do that, but if there's a
motion, we would certainly entertain it.

So we do have to submit a budget to the state.

MR. MARQUEZ: Mr. Chairman, I move we approve
the budget as written as long as those red items are
coming to the board for open discussion.

MS. COX: Second.

15 **CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** Okay. Discussion to that 16 motion. So that would approve Dan's draft as our official 17 budget. It doesn't mean we're obligated to everything 18 that's in there. If it's a new item, it will come back to 19 the full board.

MR. POSTER: Mr. Chairman, I'm going to go back to beating the drum of the master plan, and I'm going to make a very minor amendment to the motion that Edmund has made.

There are two line items -- well, there are two things in the master plan that are invisible in the budget

Page 54

Page 56

Page 55

budget potentially because you're giving out millions to
 these huge, huge projects when those people have the money
 or there's probably a zillion other resources for them to
 go get whatever money that they need rather than the money
 from Rio Nuevo, but the discretion has already been like
 you're giving it to them.

You know, case in point, something like the Moxy, it's like the Marriott and the landowner of those projects I'm certain has a million other resources to go get that money and, you know, we're going to now be left with a potential deficit because of it.

MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Thank you, Ian.
That is -- let me double-check. That is all of
the requests. That's it.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: And thank you, Brandi, thank you, everybody. We always appreciate your comment.

As I mentioned in my introductory remarks, we are going to put something up on our website that you can comment respectfully, productively. We always welcome public input. We are not career politicians. All of us are voluntary. None of us get paid for doing this, so we share your passion for our downtown.

Okay. Back to the budget. So there seems to be some conversation about approving the budget in its Gestalt, as one item, and we worked through the individual so far. The issue on transportation, our master plantalks about rubber wheel trolley, rapid transit focusing

3 on Broadway, employee shuttles, and I noticed there's a

4 150,000-dollar very targeted support or subsidy for Uber.

5 I would like simply to say for that line item that we do6 Dash Transit as part of that line item.

6 Dash Transit as part of that line item.
7 And the reason I say that is the

And the reason I say that is the mayor and council is very soon debating a fare free issue in terms of the Sun Tran system. I don't think we know, although I think we certainly benefit tremendously from a free fare system on the streetcar and I think we probably benefit from a free fare system on the route eight bus into downtown.

And so I would like to amend that particular line item to leave room for further discussion about looking at all those items that are in our master plan, rapid transit focusing on Broadway, rubber wheel trolley, et cetera. So that's my first item in my motion.

The second item in the motion is you've got a line item for PPS, that's an organization, not a topic, and I would suggest that the affordable housing component of our master plan is also absent. And, again, not to make a mess of the budget, I would suggest that we write PPS -- keeping it the same amount but PPS/Eco Northwest. Eco Northwest is now under contract to Pima County to do

Page 57

an affordable housing plan and I think for a very smallamount of money they could give us some very good advice

3 about how we might be able to invest in affordable housing4 in our Rio Nuevo district.

So the two very small items, I'm suggesting no 6 change in dollars but simply a change in language. Where 7 it says Uber I would put the word transit as well and 8 where it says PPS, I would say Eco Northwest. Thank you.

9 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: And/or those two
10 organizations or we could --

**MR. POSTER:** And/or. I mean, a slash kind of means and/or.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: So the best way to do that

14 if the board approves, item three in our master plan is
15 transportation. It has seven subcomponents which were
16 attached with a line item. You could put 150,000 just in
17 the heading, which would allow you discretionary use of
18 that money through any of item three. And he added we -19 we use PPS because it's an organization, obviously, we're

familiar with, but you could make it more generic and just

say commission a consultant or you can name the organization Corky's interested in.

So both of those, Edmund, were offered as an amendment to your motion to approve.

MR. MARQUEZ: So yes, I'm okay with adding

1 talked before about events that are self-contained and

Page 59

2 they -- they basically bring in vendors, they block

3 streets, the vendors don't generate downtown tax revenues

4 and we don't really benefit from those. I'd just like to

5 look at -- be able to look into those line items and have

6 a discussion about those, not presently, but -- and maybe

7 we just cut that line item by 20 percent, and then we find 8 a way to place the revenues for that.

9 **CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** So that complicates the 10 motion as an amendment. You might entertain that as a 11 separate motion.

MR. MARQUEZ: I don't know if you can do a separate motion. The overall motion --

14 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Yeah, you've moved to 15 approve the budget, so if that motion passes, you would 16 have to agree to accept some amendment then from 17 Mr. Oseran to review the marketing item.

18 MR. MARQUEZ: Yeah. If this helps, Richard, 19 for the big events that are listed in the budget, some of 20 them have already been cut back, but it's not reflecting 21 that in the marketing budget right now. So they've 22 already been adjusted lower.

MR. OSERAN: I won't make it as an amendment to the motion and we can go forward on the vote if there are no other amendments to be made.

Page 58

1 transit and I'm okay with adding consultant. I don't know

2 Echo Northwest. I know PPS. I'll just say consultant, so

3 I'm okay with amending with transit and consultant.

4 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: And who seconded Edmund's 5 motion?

6 **MS. COX:** I did and I accept that as well.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Okay. All right. So we

8 have a motion to approve the budget draft as written with

9 the two exceptions that Corky has moved and the second has10 agreed to those.

Any other comments before I call the vote?

Richard, you're muted.

Richard, you're still muted.

In the left-hand corner you see audio --

**MR. OSERAN:** Thank you.

16 **CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** There you go.

**MR. OSERAN:** I'm challenged with technology.

The event line item, I think that can be looked

19 at closely and we can really talk about those events.

20 Some of those events, as I've raised in the past, don't

21 really benefit downtown merchants, they don't really

22 benefit downtown, and I think we could look and study each

23 event and see which event is really beneficial from a

24 creating sales tax revenues or otherwise culturally

25 important to downtown. Others I think can be cut. I've

Page 60

1 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: All right. We have an 2 amended motion to approve the budget subject to two

3 revisions. Any other comments?4 (No oral response)

5 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Brandi, you can call the

6 roll.

7 **MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN:** Corky Poster.

8 **MR. POSTER:** Aye.

9 MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Jannie Cox.

MS. COX: Aye.

11 MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Shay Jimenez.

MS. JIMENEZ: Aye.

13 MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Richard Oseran.

MR. OSERAN: Aye.

15 **MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN:** Chris Sheafe.

16 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: You're muted, Chris.

MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Chris, you're muted.

18 MR. SHEAFE: Aye.

MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Edmund Marquez.

MR. MARQUEZ: Aye.

21 MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Fletcher McCusker.

**CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** I vote aye. That passes

23 unanimously. Congratulations, we have a budget for next 24 year.

Dan, you know how to post that and submit it to

17

22

Page 61

1 the state and the county.

It is always a work in progress. I think this
is the first year that it's been that deliberate and
debated and comprehensive, so I think the board's doing

5 great work here.

6 So I would need a motion to adjourn the budget 7 hearing.

8 MS. COX: So moved.

9 **CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** Somebody second that, **10** please.

11 MR. MARQUEZ: Second.

12 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: All in favor say aye.
13 (Motion made, seconded and passed unanimously).

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Okay. Now we go back to
our agenda. There's a few items left. The Moxy is next,
Cal's Bake Shop, El Presidio update from Jannie, and we
have three extensions we want to talk about yet today, so
let's move to the Moxy Hotel.

19 I think, Scott, I've seen you online. Thank 20 you.

This is the third time around for Scott. It's obviously a big project. You heard some of the public comments concerned about how big it is.

You're in an interesting position to address the occupancy question. You know, does this affect the

1 important. And without that, it would be hard for a
2 lender, ourselves, third parties, Marriott, et cetera, to
3 support a new hotel. It just wouldn't happen.

I listened to Ian and Raquel and Jim, so thank you for your comments. The first thing I thought of when you spoke was what Fletcher shared at the beginning, which is, you know, none of this moves forward, this incentive, this hotel, this project, you know, without our group jumping through a lot of hoops and, you know, satisfying a lot of requirements. And one of the most important ones is whatever we receive, a third party has to analyze it and prove that the public sector receives more than the applicant.

If that were not the case or if that was the
opposite, I would not want to be a part of this.
Thankfully hotels usually typically pass that test and
they usually pass it with flying colors. And I've brought
it up in the past two presentations, hotels are very
impactful bringing a new fan base, new guests, visitors,
you know, to an area and they stay overnight or multiple
nights and they embrace the surroundings. They spend
money and they learn about the area, you know, and as cool
as Tucson is, you know, they'll come back and hotels play
a very important part in all of that calculus of
revitalizing downtown.

Page 62

Page 64

Page 63

occupancy at your own hotel? I mean -- and other hotels.
 You know, so that would be interesting to come from the
 public directly to you. But we did ask you a whole bunch

4 of questions. We appreciate your follow-up, so if you --

5 I think you -- do you have slides?

MR. STITELER: I don't. Well, yes, I do have a bunch of slides, but I don't -- if they're relevant today, then I'll share them.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Okay. The floor is yours.
 MR. STITELER: Okay. Thank you.

Members of the board, again, thank you all for your time. I think you know that Rudy, my partner, and I have for many years wanted to build a Moxy Hotel at 5th and Congress on a vacant gravel lot. And that is something that we are dedicated to doing and we do need your support.

I'll start with -- I'll try to answer your
question, Fletcher. I'm a big believer that a rising tide
lifts all boats and, you know, activity breeds activity.
And that did happen with the hotels that were built in
downtown. When AC was the first hotel and then another
was announced, there was some concern on our part that
vacancy would rise and that did not happen. It increased
because it brought more people, that the synergies created

25 from one hotel to three, four, five are real. And that's

Much of the conversation in the last two
 presentations was between myself and Corky, myself and
 Richard, so if it's okay, I'll address some of their
 comments. I mean, I'll start with Richard.

I mean, if a top 100 list of fans of the Hotel
Congress was ever put together, I like my chances of being
not that list. I've been going there for almost 30 years
and I've gotten to know you and your family well. And I
love that place. And that's not going to change. It's as
cool if not the coolest thing downtown.

I'm a giant fan of the Rialto block also, I
like old buildings, but Hotel Congress is the center. And
if this is approved, and obviously I hope that it is
approved so we can move and build the hotel and make a
positive difference, without question there will be an
impact while it's under construction. I mean 15 or 18
months of construction on a project of this scale will
impact the surrounding area. There's no question.

But on the other side of that, there will be a new hotel and all the things we've talked about, all the positives that go along with it, and that will create a ripple effect for Hotel Congress and downtown Tucson. I mean, it's just undeniable that that is what happens.

We've talked about tax benefit, which is part of the third party study, both direct and indirect.

On the direct, when this hotel is built, tax comes off of gross revenue. It doesn't come off of whether there's a profit or not. And a hotel this size will create about \$1.3 million of tax directly to the state, city and Rio Nuevo per year for decades.

5 state, city and Rio Nuevo per year for decades.
6 I think Fletcher said it at the beginning of
7 the meeting and one of the board members, I believe it was
8 Shay at the end of last meeting, you know, eloquently -9 and I wasn't aware of this. It was one of the Arizona -10 it was Tim at the Star, Tim Steller, that referenced
11 pre-AC \$200,000 of sales tax in the downtown area and
12 several years later now it's 2.2 or \$2.3 million, and I
13 hope that that grows.

I try to be very logical about things, and if
that grows, when that grows, and it should, that increases
the revenue to Rio Nuevo, which is already proven from
million a year to \$19 million a year. I think that will
keep growing with all of the investments that you've made.
And then all of this discussion, debate in the last two,
three months has been healthy, because you're spending a
lot of time on where that money should go and making sure
that it's spread to a lot of different applicants that
need help from small business, medium to large.
And, Corky, I think we share similar
bijectives, Corky. We certainly care about downtown. I

And I have great partners. We've been together for a long, long time. Christina, Chris, Nick, Regan, Omar. It's a long list of really good people that live in Tucson and love Tucson.

5 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Scott, can you speak to 5 that? Are you going to use the IID or are you using the 7 under -- can you help us understand the process?

8 **MR. STITELER:** Yes. And thanks for listening 9 to me go on about that. I'm passionate about all this 10 stuff.

11 95 percent chance that we end up with an IID. 12 I spoke to our design team, I spoke to the city and that 13 is the highly likely path forward for this approval 14 process. It's what we used for the AC Hotel, so it makes 15 the most sense to me. But this is a unique development site that -- I shared this in a document to the board last week trying to answer Corky's questions on what the entitlement process looks like. And the city 20 plus years ago built on a vacant lot a garage. They put columns in the grounds and those columns were designed and put in places where two buildings would be built. One of those buildings, New MLK, was built, the other building is this building. And it's a unique situation that the city has been very clear with me in the last two weeks that 25 they want to handle with me and with all of the different

Page 66

Page 68

Page 67

think everyone on this call does. I believe that. I hope
 that's the case. We care about good design, we care about
 process. A lot of your questions have been about process
 and my answer has always been that I follow the rules and
 I welcome the process. And the process of getting a new
 build-mid rise approved in an urban sitting and in an
 historical setting is a large process. And that lies
 ahead. And I'm ready for it, but I can't start it without
 an incentive.

And there are headwinds in any downtown revitalization and in particular Tucson. We certainly have tailwinds, but we have a lot of headwinds. We don't have the tailwinds that the foothills enjoy or Scottsdale or Chandler or San Diego, Denver, et cetera, et cetera. I mean, it's a long list and it's the fundamental reason why a TIF was approved, and a TIF that has done a lot of good things.

I have a front row seat along with most of you and certainly Corky and Richard of what downtown looked like 25 years ago, I started 26 years ago, and where it is today and, boy, have we made a lot of progress. And that progress did not happen without TIF. No question despite being called a wealthy developer would I have been able to absorb, get comfortable with and afford the work that myself and my partners have done.

departments from transportation to fire, from planning tohistoric that I will have to work with, listen to, get

3 feedback from and address any of their concerns or

4 requirements. That all lies ahead.

So sitting here today, I do think, Fletcher, it will be through the IID process. And an approval today by no means removes any of the requirements that I just mentioned that I'm going to have to satisfy, but I can't move forward without support from Rio Nuevo.

And timing matters. There is a window, in my opinion, where inflation has settled, contractors do not have the backlog they had before, that they have some headwinds and it is a great opportunity for a project -- a quality project like Moxy to get approved and permitted and under construction and, you know, open in the near future.

MR. MARQUEZ: Scott, so pragmatically I asked
18 ask myself, too, like why another Marriott, why a Moxy
19 downtown. So from what I've dealt with as a Rio Nuevo
20 member even from being the primary connection with U of A
21 and this U of A marketing deal, we don't -- we don't have
22 enough hotels downtown. I know it sounds crazy, but here
23 I am pushing U of A saying I need every away team staying
24 downtown, we need coaches, we need referees, we need
25 mascots, we need cheerleaders staying downtown. And

1 they're like, we'll do it, but we can't get the rooms.

And then when I go to the Tucson Convention 3 Center, which is our primary component, and I say -- I've 4 asked Kate, I've asked Glenn, if I could waive a magic 5 wand and we at Rio Nuevo could do anything for you, what 6 would it be, and they would say open Hotel Arizona. We 7 just -- we're a convention center hotel, which previous to 8 Rio Nuevo investing in the Double Tree, we were the only 9 convention center hotel in the entire country that didn't 10 have a hotel next to it, which basically means you don't do conventions unless they're going to do bus service to 12 the convention center, which is awkward.

So from what we're seeing, even as we see and 13 14 we support El Tour de Tucson or Dusk, et cetera, and we're asking for hotel deals downtown, the hotels are saying to us, we'll give you a block, but we're not going to give you a big block. And if we do give you a big block we're not going to give you a big discount because we're already full. So, you know, the vacancy rate was mentioned to us earlier. I'll ask. We've got two hotels owner on the Zoom, you and Richard. What's your occupancy rate, what's the vacancy rate, what's your demand, what's the demand that you see downtown for a hotel.

**MR. STITELER:** Yes, I agree that for -- to 24 25 attract the best conventions and larger conventions, we 1 state and until it changes Rio Nuevo, \$200,000 per year 2 starting in a week. And that's great. That's makes me 3 feel good that I'm a part of that. And it wouldn't have

Did I answer your question?

4 happened without the board eight years ago.

**MR. MARQUEZ:** It does. And that actually 6 speaks to Corky's point earlier that our income will continue to grow over time, so thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Scott, help us understand 10 why you need us. A lot of people have challenged us and 11 you, you know, you don't need our money you know, you 12 invested \$100 million of your own money, but, you know, 13 what I've to trying explain as someone experienced in this 14 is that every development stands on its own. The lender 15 is not going to look to the AC Marriott to make a loan on this hotel, and nobody builds a hotel without debt.

I believe part of what we do is help you with your lender. Is that an oversimplification of the value that we bring to your project?

MR. STITELER: No. Our request is 14 percent

21 of the project, slightly over, and the answer, Fletcher, 22 is what we've talked about on this call and why there's a TIF. We are not the foothills. If you go to the 24 foothills in Tucson in January, February, everything --

25 there's people everywhere, the restaurants are full,

Page 70

20

Page 72

Page 71

1 need more hotel rooms in downtown Tucson. I saw a time 2 lapse yesterday of a street in Austin, Texas. I think

- 3 it's Rainey Street. I've been on it. I mean, it's really
- 4 special. And it was a 20-year time lapse and the
- 5 difference is hotels. And they just line both sides of
- 6 the street for six blocks. And that brought apartments.
- 7 And they don't have street level restaurants with, you
- 8 know, available signs like we have all over. You know,
- 9 that's the difference. Everybody wins when there is that 10 type of synergy. And hotels matter.

A healthy hotel is around 80 percent occupancy, 11 12 and that's where we are at AC. That's what a lender wants 13 to see and that's what everyone wants to see. We're not 14 quite 80. Last year was a difficult year. I don't know why, but it was. 2025 has been slightly better. We're a little below budget and margins that are not outstanding but that they make sense. And it wouldn't have happened, 17 Edmund, without Rio Nuevo. 18

19 I did learn something yesterday from Brandi, which is great for Rio Nuevo that I had forgotten about and it's material but relevant to what we're talking about. Starting July 1st from AC Rio Nuevo will start 23 receiving about \$200,000 per year from AC forever.

I mean I know for Rio Nuevo it depends on when 25 it sunsets, whether that's 2035 or extended, that the

1 they're on a wait. And that's the same in a lot of other 2 communities. I'm not -- I mean, the foothills are wonderful, a great part of Tucson.

We just don't have that type of experience whether it's a restaurant or hotel in downtown Tucson. 6 It's why we have a TIF. It's why applicants, hundreds of them, have come to this board for 20 years and asked for and needed support to make their project, their dream, 9 happen.

10 Yeah, it's the gap funding that allows us to --11 you know, Rudy and I to close on a loan. You saw the 12 slides from before. We have almost \$10 million in this 13 project, which is -- you know, we have dug deep to make 14 that happen. And if Rio Nuevo -- if we get today support 15 from Rio Nuevo, we're going to move forward on it. We'll get it built. It will get opened, and then the sales tax and the construction, you know, TPT, for a long time will go to the state and back to the Rio Nuevo.

**MR. POSTER:** Scott, this is Corky. Thanks very 20 much for addressing some of the questions I had. I want 21 to be clear that I have a very -- I have a great deal of 22 confidence in your design team. I appreciate all the 23 information you gave. I was especially attracted to the 24 building section that you showed us, which shows how the 25 height of the building along 5th Avenue reduces so that

Page 73

you don't have the height going straight up 5th Avenue,
 but it sets back a significant amount. And I think that
 really will go a long way toward softening the impact of
 the building on the historic scale of that plaza, let's
 call it a plaza, that space made by your building and by
 the Hotel Congress.

I also need to say that I have a great deal of
confidence in the review process that you're about to go
through. A lot of people complain about the bureaucratic
process and how long it takes to get a permit and how many
people's approval are necessary to get a big project done.
And my experience is every time you go through one of
those reviews whether it's the historic commission or the
planning department or the pre-submittal that you go
through with all the engineering departments, the project
gets better. And part of my question to you was, is this
the project we're going to get or are you going to go
through this long process which makes it better?

I had the privilege of being your design
reviewer on the Corbett's building and I like to think it
got better when I was working with your design team with
specific recommendations. I think it did. Hopefully they
think it did as well and didn't see it just as another guy
meddling in their design.

So the fact that you have reassured us whether

1 upon you when you proposed the last development on that2 site?

MR. STITELER: I don't think I have a choice, 4 Richard. I mean, I have to answer to the city like I have 5 to answer to this board with all the --

6 **MR. OSERAN:** The requirements could differ.

7 **MR. STITELER:** What's that?

MR. OSERAN: The requirements could differ this time around. You don't -- I don't know whether SHPO's involved, I don't know whether the feds are involved, but if those requirements were imposed upon you again, and they may be, I don't know, do you believe you can comply with them?

MR. STITELER: I feel like I'm on the stand 15 and --

MR. OSERAN: I used to be an attorney.
MR. STITELER: That's okay.

Richard, what the city and historic based on 19 feedback from stakeholders, including yourself, you know, 20 impose upon me when we restart this process, of course I 21 have to adhere to them. Not only do I want a good looking 22 building, you know, and I don't want to build anything 23 that looks out of place. My track record stands on its

own for over 20 years on every project I've done downtownwhere I care about how it looks. And I'm not going to be

23 where I care about now it rooks. This I m

Page 74

Page 76

Page 75

it's through the IID or that additional information you
 gave about the city's position, who's going to review this
 and how it's going to get reviewed, that gives me a lot of
 confidence that this will be thoughtful and considered.

5 And over the course of the next nine months of review that6 you're going to go through, it's going to get better.

7 It's going to get better and better. Not to say it didn't8 start off good, but when good things get reviewed and

9 commented upon, they tend to get better.

25

So I appreciate you clarifying that. I think
the IID is a -- I'm guessing you're going to wind up doing
that. Almost all projects within the IID in the last six
years since we wrote it have used it, because it gives
lots and lots of flexibility, but it comes with
responsibility for good quality design. And so that -your commitment to that process gives me a lot more
confidence, so thank you.

MR. STITELER: You're welcome. Thank you.

MR. OSERAN: I have one question, Scott. And I
concur with Corky. I think -- from what I've seen, I
think the design is a significant improvement over the
design that you had proposed the last time you had
proposed a hotel on this property.

But my question is this. Do you believe you can satisfy all the city requirements that were imposed

1 that guy that builds a tan box just to make money.

2 So I don't know if I've answered your question, 3 because I don't know what all those requirements are going

4 to be. I have said clearly that the memorandum of

5 understanding, which I have -- it's not my document, it's6 a document that came from the city, Depot Plaza and New

7 MLK. The director of SHPO wrote a letter saying that that

8 document is no longer in effect. The end of that letter

9 also says that they encourage the city to work with

10 stakeholders and historic, everyone to make sure that --11 that we respect where this building is getting built,

which is across from two of the best buildings in all ofTucson, Rialto and Hotel Congress.

MR. OSERAN: And the train depot.

MR. STITELER: And the train depot. Yeah, okay, you beat me to it, the train depot. I mean, those are three of the coolest buildings in our community. I'll do the best I can and I'll listen to you. We may disagree on things. I'm sure we will, but I'm going to listen. I'm going to pay a lot of attention.

MR. OSERAN: You won't have to listens to me al 22 all. I'm not going to suggest anything. I'm just -- the 23 city process I think will impose restrictions, and I 24 expect they'll be similar to the restrictions that were 25 imposed on the last proposal that you had made on that

Page 77

**MR. STITELER:** I'm sure there will be 3 overlapping restrictions, but I don't know what lies ahead 4 and the city is about to -- and historic are about to let

me know.

1 site.

6

MR. OSERAN: Okay. Thank you.

7 MR. STITELER: You're welcome.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Edmund, can you describe 8

the economics that we've offered Scott. 9

**MR. MARQUEZ:** Yes. In a nutshell, we have 10 11 offered \$4.8 million, a \$100,000 a month starting two years from now as long as permits and approvals are met at that time.

Did I nail that? 14

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Yeah. I think this has 15 really been educational. We normally don't take a month to approve something, but in this process, I think the observers have learned a lot, Scott, about why you can't do this without our help. This is a gravel parking lot that if you don't do something on, nothing's ever going to get built there. 21

22 We have to prove to the people we report to, namely the legislature, that the taxpayer will get more benefit than you do or we can't approve this deal. And we 25 don't owe you any money unless you can deliver a project

1 the one last constraint that could be seen as a giveaway.

Page 79

And I'm just curious if the board has any appetite to

address that in the future.

**MR. MARQUEZ:** Well, this one's a little bit different. So if the business fails, that means the hotel business inside the actual structure failed and that means Marriott would pull the flag and he would have to then sell that business or that property to another owner that

would then carry a different flag. Once it's built out as a hotel, it's built out as a hotel.

11 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Shay, we did aggressively 12 pursue that with a development a few years ago where basically we didn't trust the developer. We had a lot of 14 good reasons not to trust the developer and we wanted to 15 build what's called a claw back into our agreement that 16 provided we would in fact get paid back. The lenders wouldn't touch it.

Right, Scott, the lenders would never approve 19 you if you had any risk that you had to pay back our gap 20 funding.

So we abandoned that, Shay, as a strategy, 21 22 because it literally wouldn't allow the project to go forward.

MS. JIMENEZ: Okay. Thank you for answering 25 that question. I just wanted to --

Page 78

1 that has all the tangent approvals, so there's very

2 littles risk to us here and now.

There's a lot of hoops that you have to go 4 through at your own express to deliver a project that 5 everybody approves, but moreover our economic analysts 6 confirm that the taxpayers benefit from it. This is the 7 nature of our work. It's so narrow now in terms of what 8 we can approve that there's all sorts of people that we 9 report to. And I tend to think this project would meet 10 all those, but the only way we finds out i to approve it and allow you to go forward. 11

12 Shay, your hand's up.

MS. JIMENEZ: I just have one last comment, and 13 14 I don't want to throw any more monkey wrenches in this deal, but I do think that there is something to be said about the care from the community and the cry for, I think, accountability, really is what it comes down to. I 17 think the one failsafe left that we haven't addressed as a board, and I wouldn't want to make any motion at this time 20 until we decide to apply a further restriction to all

21 projects down the road, because I don't want us to seem

22 like we're unnecessarily drilling down on one particular 23 project, but I do think the one remaining failsafe we have

24 left is that we don't require developers to pay the money

25 back if the business fails. That's the one last piece,

Page 80 **CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** Is that in fact the case

with you as well?

3 MR. STITELER: Yes.

MR. MARQUEZ: Scott, are you using the proposed 5 \$4.8 million -- I'm going to guess you're not borrowing 6 that money, you've used \$100,000 effectively like a draw,

so as you're going through construction, you wouldn't pull

it from the, bank you would just receive it from us.

MR. STITELER: Correct. You'll be able to --10 even past permit, Edmund. I mean, put a condition that we 11 have to start construction. Walk by the building as 12 it's getting built knowing exactly where your dollars are 13 going.

14 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Dan, what's the 15 construction sales tax on a 35 million-dollar project?

16 **MR. MEYERS:** Oh, my gosh.

MR. MARQUEZ: Who's the builder, Scott? Who 17 would be the builder?

**MR. STITELER:** Three different contractors we're talking to. It wouldn't be fair to share which 21 one's in the lead.

MR. MARQUEZ: So, Brandi, isn't it correct that as long as the project is within the district, even if the GC is outside the district, we still receive the

25 construction sales tax?

Page 81

MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Correct. And Scott has

2 been on that list all of his developments. He

3 communicates that well and we communicate with the4 contractor.

5 **MR. MARQUEZ:** Thank you.

6 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Dan, do you have a number 7 approximately?

MR. MARQUEZ: We need to see it. We want it to 9 the penny.

MR. MEYERS: Hang on a second.

11 **MR. MEDCOFF:** Normally it's a lawyer who's squirming.

MR. MEYERS: I think it's 1.625, is the number we have to multiply it by.

15 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: And we get that very early.

**16** We get that as the construction's being done.

MR. POSTER: Yeah, before the building ever opens, you get that.

19 **CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** Yeah.

Okay. We've messed around with this all we're going to get, so if somebody wants to entertain a motion.

MR. MARQUEZ: I'll do it. I move we approve up to \$4.8 million paid out \$100,000 a year after a two-year

24 period as long as construction has started and the project

25 has been permitted and has all approvals.

Tim, do you want to say something.

**MR. MEDCOFF:** Yeah. I just want to clarify.

Page 83

Page 84

3 Since this is going to be a GPLET, if the board approves

4 it today, then I'll sends out 60-day notice to the taxing

5 authorities to let them know that the board would like to

6 move forward with the GPLET. We then have to wait 60 days

7 for the taxing authorities to potentially make an

8 objection, if they have one. Meanwhile we can hire our

9 independent third-party consultant to move forward with

10 the economic study to verify the public will benefit more

11 than the developer on this project. That also needs to

12 then be posted for 30 days to the taxing authorities, so

13 roughly it could be 65 plus days before this could come

14 back to a final vote for the full board to approve. The

15 GPLET, the development agreement, of course, subject to no

16 objection from the taxings authorities. I just wanted to

17 raise that since we have some newer board members.

18 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: So this would be considered
19 a contingent approval. A lot of things have to happen for
20 it to be fully be effective.

Dan, was that you or Brandi with your hand up?

MR. MEYERS: Yeah. I think the number is about

3 \$570,000 TPT would come back to us.

**CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** Motion, second on the **25** floor. Any other comment, discussion?

Page 82

1

2

1 MR. MEDCOFF: Did you mean a month or a year?

MR. MARQUEZ: Did I say a year? I meant a

3 month, \$100,000 per month. Sorry. I was thinking ahead 4 of myself.

5 **CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** Subject to the regular 6 stuff lawyers do.

7 MR. MARQUEZ: Yes, subject to our executive

8 board and our attorneys working through the nuances of the 9 deal.

J dear.

12

10 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Yeah, and our third-party

11 opinion.

**MR. MARQUEZ:** And our third-party opinion.

13 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: It's a very good motion.

**14** Somebody second that.

Sorry, Scott, nobody seconded.

MS. COX: I seconded the motion. I accept the amendment.

18 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: There wasn't one. It was 19 just the Chris Sheafe motion.

MS. COX: Okay. I second that motion.

21 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: So you have a motion to

22 approve the plan that's been presented to us economically.

23 It includes nuances that require approvals and it also, of 24 course, requires our third-party opinion.

Any other discussion?

(No oral response)

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Brandi, call the roll.

3 MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Richard Oseran.

4 MR. OSERAN: Aye.

5 **MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN:** Jannie Cox.

6 **MS. COX:** Ave.

7 MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Shay Jimenez.

8 **MS. JIMENEZ:** Aye.

9 MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Corky Poster.

10 **MR. POSTER:** Aye.

11 MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Edmund Marquez.

MR. MARQUEZ: Aye.

MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Chris Sheafe.

MR. MARQUEZ: He's recused.

15 MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Oh, okay.

Fletcher McCusker.

**CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** I vote aye.

That's unanimous, Scott. You've done

everything you ever said you would do with us over thelast 15 years. We would expect nothing less and Godspeed.

MR. STITELER: I will give it my all. Thank you, everyone.

MS. COX: Thank you, Scott.

25 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Okay. Cal's Bake Shop,

17

1

1 this should be a little easier.

Brandi, Huna, did somebody hear from --2

MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: I did not talk to them.

4 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Let's discuss the project.

We have it on a two-week lag, but if you'll bring out the

slides, we can introduce it to the board and the public.

MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Give me just one second please. 8

9 Do you see a Terry in the --

**MR. HAMMOND:** No, I don't have a Terry. 10

MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Okay. Yeah, they didn't 11

12 respond to --

3

16

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: All right. So let's just 13 14 take a peek at it and we'll make sure he's back in the

next meeting. 15

MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: And the agenda speaks to 17

it. The owners of the Bisbee Breakfast Club are now

taking over the former Rocco's across the street, and

they're developing a bake shop, a really cool project, bakery that would be between the Sol Plaza and Zmam's.

This is some renderings of the project and a budget, which

totals -- it looks like 318 grand GAP, so we would be

24 discussing as we think about approving this something that

25 would be 50 percent or less the net number.

Page 85

MS. COX: Yes. Yes, I do. Thank you. And I

Page 87

Page 88

will make this brief. I hope to come back in

Jannie you've got an update?

4 approximately a month and tell you that it's completely

finished, but I thought it was time for the board and the

public to know that we are approaching the final stages of

our construction for Activate El Presidio.

As you know, this is a place making project,

and place making is to take an historic area or an area that needs attention and that you make it active, dynamic

and better connected to the people. And that's what we

feel like we're doing in Activate El Presidio.

It started in 2019. It's a six-year-old

14 project. And I want to thank the board for your patience. There were a whole lot of reasons, COVID included, why it

16 took so very long. And the city, as helpful as they were

during the process of approvals, they like this project.

They wanted to see it happen, but it took a year to get

19 through the entire process with the city, because they

20 kept saying we've never seen anything like this, we've 21 never approved anything like this, we have to take it

22 slowly. And they did. And we appreciate the time and

effort they put into it as well.

But what we're going to bring to this historic 24 25 neighbor downtown is art, color, trees, planters, pots,

Page 86

There's another picture I think, too Brandi, 2 isn't there?

MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: This is all I have. 3

**MR. SHEAFE:** We only received these two. And

5 we don't know quite what the request is. When Terry

talked to us before, he was talking about in the range of 75 or 100,000.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: He's got some furniture and 8

stuff in there. I'm sorry he's not here.

**MR. SHEAFE:** He had some other stuff, so I 10 thought Edmund actually came up with a number. 11

Do you recall that, Edmund? 12

MR. MARQUEZ: It is still variable, but 13

14 Fletcher's correct. I mean, they're showing kitchen

equipment, furniture, et cetera, so we'll see what they

come back with. It's a great property. Right now it's

just vacant sitting between Solot and Zmam's. So kind of

an easy deal, generates sales taxes. The (inaudible)

family, they own Bisbee Breakfast Club, they have Bashful

Bandit Barbecue. They have a ton of Pizza Huts in town.

They're great operators. This is kind of a fun project 21

22 that --

23 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: You'll see that officially 24 at the next meeting.

Item number ten is I think El Presidio. 25

1 shade, lighting, comfortable amenities to attract pedestrians.

Washington Street has been closed to the

4 public. Now we're going to have permanent beautiful

5 dining stations all along Washington that will seat 40

some people. They are beautiful, stable. They add so

much to the neighborhood, to the ability for that small

business to move out into the street. And what a

beautiful place it is going to be when it is finished.

**MR. SHEAFE:** Jannie, we have in the budget 10 zero, in the new budget, under the assumption that you were going to bill out the half a million --

13 MS. COX: And we are.

**MR. SHEAFE:** -- to be paid before the end of 14 15 the month.

**MS. COX:** That is correct. 16

MR. SHEAFE: So have you -- does Dan have those 17 18 numbers?

**MS. COX:** He has those numbers. He will have 20 the invoices. The project went over our budget because part of our project is outside of the district. We had to 22 raise private funds for the part of the project that is 23 outside of our Rio Nuevo borders.

MR. SHEAFE: Well, congratulations for doing 25 that. And I know you mentioned that before, but, Dan, do

Page 89

1 you -- because that's -- are we going to pay that this 2 week and get it into --

**MS. COX:** Yes, we are. Those invoices are all 4 in. We're going to pay it all.

**MR. SHEAFE:** Terrific. Well, congratulations. 6 It's a long, long hard struggle getting that one done.

**MS. COX:** And then the private funds that were raised on top of that budget will finish off the project. But Rio Nuevo's investment will be complete during this fiscal year. 10

11 So we're bringing, art, trees planters, pots. And those pots, they are beautiful and they're all planted with desert flora in them and they're beautiful. And the contractor has even been watering them in this terrible heat. And tomorrow DTP takes over the watering of all these beautiful plants. We have shade and lighting.

16 And the art, we started off with the Saguaro 17 cactus on the Transamerica Building. That was outside of our budget, but we raised outside private funds to fund it. That was from a donor. A very generous woman by the 20 name of Jean McDonald funded that mural, but it adds an incredible amount to this project. 22

23 We also have outside money -- outside the 24 budget money to put a beautiful mural on the Tucson Museum 25 of Art building, the part of the building -- the museum CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: And August 23rd.

1 **MS. COX:** And August 23rd is the actual 250th

birthday of Tucson. We will finally be finished with

Activate El Presidio and there will be a huge block party.

We will be prepared to show off everything Rio Nuevo has

6 funded. And the IDA was a huge help getting this done.

They made a 25,000-dollar gift to the program and together we made it all happen.

The -- we expect it to be finished probably the 10 last week of July, and then at the meeting following that, 11 I will invite you all to come down and take a look. But 12 give it a few more weeks to get seasoned. And I hope --Corky said he likes it. I hope you'll say something, too.

**MR. POSTER:** I was about to, Jannie. 14

15 You know, as a business owner and a property 16 owner in the Rio Nuevo area who derives no financial benefit from this project I might add, I just want to thank Jannie for six years of visionary persistence making this happen in very difficult circumstances. She just wouldn't give up and just kept pushing and pushing and pushing. We've recently had some late breaking commentary 22 that wasn't particularly helpful, but I think Jannie and I got our heads together and decided to finesse that as 24 well.

Anyway, thank you, Jannie, for a great job.

Page 90

25

1 that faces Court Avenue. And that is nearly finished now.

And I want to thank the private builders, one

3 of them was Corky Poster by the way, but the Flores family 4 contributed, DWS Architects contributed, Joe Schneider's

family, I mentioned the Flores family, and the Presidio

Museum.

We also had an anonymous donor, a very generous 8 anonymous donor, who matched all of those outside gifts. That is how we were able to do the extension that goes

north of Washington outside of the Rio Nuevo borders. 10

I would say come and look at it but I would 11 also -- don't come today. It's not quite ready for 12 showing to the --13

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: You have some big dates 14 coming up, July 25th.

**MS. COX:** Big dates coming up. July 25th is 16 the unveiling of four historic murals downtown that were 17 funded by Rio Nuevo and in partnership with the mayor's office, Downtown Tucson Partnership, Visit Tucson and the 20 Presidio Museum. That unveiling -- they will be finished 21 next week and the unveiling is the 25th of July. You'll 22 all be invited. It's going to be a wonderful celebration 23 of Tucson's 250 years and four spectacular murals that 24 will last a very long time reminding everyone who sees

25 them about the rich history of Tucson.

Page 92

1 And I think particularly the Washington Street and Tellez 2 Street efforts -- the whole thing is great, so I really

3 appreciate all of the work that you did. Thank you.

**MS. COX:** Thank you, Corky.

MR. OSERAN: Having lived on Washington Street 5 in the 1970s across from El Rapido Tacos, Tortillas, I'll be interesting in seeing what you've done, Jannie. I'll look forward to that.

MS. COX: Well, Jannie didn't do it. This was 10 a huge effort. There was so much input from the 11 community. They were so involved from the get-go that we owe a big thanks to them. We were doing a lots of things with their backyard and they were very supportive. They 14 had a lot of questions, but we worked through them all.

And there have been some hiccups during 15 16 construction. I think it's one thing to see the plan on paper, but when you walk out your door and you see this 18 new thing in your backyard, it's shocking. And I think 19 that was a little bit surprising to some of the residents 20 in the area, but I'm hoping that they're -- we've talked 21 it through and I think they're feeling better about it now 22 and when it's finished. It's hard to see the concrete

broken up and think it's going to be beautiful in the end,

24 but it is going to be beautiful. And we're all very proud 25 of it.

Min-U-Script® Fink & Associates (23) Pages 89 - 92

Page 91

5

6

Page 93

I want to thank the working group, and Corky is on that working group, and I want to thank the city and our contractor and our architect and all the people who made this happen, because it's finally here and we're all very proud of it. And I hope Tucson will enjoy it for many years.

7 **CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** Thank you. We're ready for 8 your next project.

9 Maybe -- Corky, maybe Broadway-Country Club.

10 **MR. POSTER:** Sure.

MS. COX: I don't know about that.

12 Thank you.

20

13 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Thank you, Jannie.

Okay. We have a couple of little business

15 items. Thee fall into the category of extensions. The

16 first one up I think is Obon. Yes.

Tim, if you want to update us on why we're talking about this one.

**MR. MEDCOFF:** Happy to, Mr. Chairman.

So the Obon project for the newer board members

21 and for the public, this was the restaurant owner/operator

22 that approached Rio Nuevo a while back to help with the

23 construction of a new patio to take advantage of that

24 corner, which is the entranceway into downtown there are

25 at Congress and where the underpass from -- I think it's

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: All right. So we have a

2 motion to grant them an extension of five months. Do or

3 die is what I understood there. If they're not done, we 4 pull that money.

All in favor say aye.

(Motion made, seconded and passed unanimously).

7 **CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** Okay. The Freidman Block's 8 a little more complicated. This is one of our competitive 9 awards. It's a multi million-dollar project. They've had of some parking challenges to say the leased, but they've 1. been very actively trying to solve them. This is Grant

12 Krueger's project of course.

Tim, how much time do they think they need?

MR. MEDCOFF: On this project I think we should

tentertain a year or so. The prior deadline expired back

in March -- approximately March of this year and we were

just reluctant -- reluctant -- we missed that it expired.

We had had multiple updates from Grant on the parking

situation, so I would suggest, if the board's so inclined,

grant the developer an additional year from March, so

it would expire March of 2025 (sic).

MR. SHEAFE: Well, I'll make that a motion that we grant an additional year to comply with the benefits agreement previously approved.

MR. MARQUEZ: Second.

Page 94

1 4th Avenue connects.

The board previously approved that. The

3 owner -- restaurant/owner operator needs a little bit more

4 time to construct the patio project. They've asked for an

5 extension. It's the board's pleasure on how long of an

6 extension to grant. This is a second extension request on

7 this project, so I just wanted to alert you to that issue,

8 and so it's up to the board's kind of wishes as to how

• they wish to proceed.

MR. MARQUEZ: When did we originally approve 11 this?

**MR. MEDCOFF:** Back in 2023. It was approved --

13 **CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** This is the second time 14 they've asked for an extension.

14 they we asked for an extension.

15 MR. MARQUEZ: How long of an extension do they

15 MR. MARQUEZ: How long of an extension do they 16 want?

**MR. MEDCOFF:** They didn't say.

18 **MR. SHEAFE:** Well, we know what their timeline 19 is on that patio, and I would just like to propose that we 20 grant a five-month extension with no other provision of 21 the benefits agreement having been changed.

22 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Make that a motion and

23 we're off to the races.

MR. SHEAFE: That is a motion.

MS. COX: Second.

Page 96

Page 95

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: We have a motion and a
second to extend that to March of '26. All in favor say
aye.

4 (Motion made, seconded and passed unanimously).

5 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Okay. Welcome Diner's not 6 so easy. We basically have to redo the GPLET there. 7 We've approved a GPLET, it's a rebate only GPLET, but Tim

8 has advised us that would have to be posted and basically

9 start anew, so the board basically needs to approve a10 GPLET along the same terms that we originally did a few11 years ago.

Tim, is that --

**MR. SHEAFE:** Can we -- would four months do 14 that? I know you've got 60 days, but you ought to give 15 yourself a little --

16 **CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** Is the deadline to start or 17 to finish?

18 MR. MEDCOFF: So just to clarify, Chris, this 19 isn't an extension issue. The GPLET that was previously 20 in the works was never signed and executed and no notice 21 to the taxing authorities in compliance with the GPLET 22 statues was accomplished, so the Welcome Diner group would 23 like the board to vote to approve a GPLET today in theory.

24 It's conditional. If the vote were to proceed that way

25 today, then I would prepare a 60-day notice to the taxing

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 97

1 authorities related to that GPLET, I'd work with the

- 2 developer and the developer's attorney, Rory Juneman, who
- 3 is on the call, to structure that GPLET subject to the
- 4 full board then considering it roughly 61 plus days from

5 today's date.

6 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Will you script a motion

7 for us?

15

8 MR. JUNEMAN: Mr. Chairman, this is Rory.

9 Tim, could I just ask you a question. That's

10 the GPLET and then the TIF that we had requested; correct?

11 **MR. MEDCOFF:** The rebates. It would be the

12 same deal that was previously on the table back in 2019.

13 It's just now we've formalized that GPLET and then submit

14 it to the taxing authorities for approval.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: There's no way, Rory, to

16 shortcut that. The '60s days has to run its course.

MR. SHEAFE: I would make the motion that we grant the time necessary to comply with the GPLET

10 ordinance notification provisions with all other elements

19 ordinance notification provisions with all other elements

20 of the approval remaining the same.

21 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: So hang on. We actually

22 have to approve a GPLET.

MR. MEDCOFF: Right.

MR. SHEAFE: Okay. So then the motion would be

25 for us to approve the GPLET in accordance with the

1 MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Fletcher McCusker.

Page 99

**CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:** I vote aye.

Rory, thank you. Sorry about the hassle, but we'll get after it right away bay.

MR. JUNEMAN: No problem. Thank you very muchfor working through it with us.

7 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Future agenda items is all 8 I have. We have a lot of stuff going on. We don't need 9 any future agenda items.

The budget's approved. It will be a few months before we reevaluate the master plan. We are going to bring to the board those items in the budget that have not been formally approved.

I just need a motion to adjourn.

MS. COX: So moved.

MR. MARQUEZ: Second.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: All in favor say aye. (Motion made, seconded and passed unanimously). (4:34 p.m.)

Page 98

- 1 previously approved benefits agreement and to grant the
- 2 time necessary for the GPLET provisions to be properly
- 3 executed.
- 4 MR. MARQUEZ: Second.
- 5 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Tim's nodding, so that
- 6 seems to work, so we're going to reapprove the GPLET for
- 7 Welcome Diner. It does have to be posted for 60 days.
- 8 That's the law. If no one objects to it, it comes back to
- 9 us at which time we would formally approve the GPLET. So
- 10 it creates a little stall, Rory, in your plans, but we
- 11 don't have any other choice.
- So this is a GPLET that needs a roll-call vote.
- 13 Brandi, when you're ready.
- 14 MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Richard Oseran.
- 15 **MR. OSERAN:** Aye.
- 16 MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Jannie Cox.
- **MS. COX:** Aye.
- **MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN:** Corky Poster.
- 19 **MR. POSTER:** Aye.
- MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Shay Jimenez.
- MS. JIMENEZ: Aye.
- MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Chris Sheafe.
- MR. SHEAFE: Aye.
- MS. HAGA-BLACKMAN: Edmund Marquez.
- 25 **MR. MARQUEZ:** Aye.