RIO NUEVO MULTIPURPOSE FACILITIES BOARD MEETING

Tucson, Arizona July 26, 2012 2:05 p.m.

REPORTED BY:

John Fahrenwald

KATHY FINK & ASSOCIATES

2819 East 22nd Street

Tucson, Arizona 85713

(520) 624-8644

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: All right. We're going to
 call the meeting to order.
 This is the Rio Nuevo meeting for July 26th, 2012.
 We have some very special -- probably our most important

5 guests that have ever appeared at the Rio Nuevo District 6 meeting. We have some girls from the Troop 645 that are 7 going to lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance.

8 (Pledge of Allegiance given)
9 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Thank you very much. Michele,
10 call the roll.

11 MS. BETTINI: Cody Ritchie?

12 MR. RITCHIE: Here.

13 MS. BETTINI: Jannie Cox?

14 MS. COX: Here.

15 MS. BETTINI: Alberto Moore?

16 SECRETARY MOORE: Present.

17 MS. BETTINI: Fletcher McCusker?

18 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Here.

19 MS. BETTINI: Chris Sheafe?

20 MR. SHEAFE: Here.

21 MS. BETTINI: Jeff Hill?

22 MR. HILL: Here.

23 MS. BETTINI: Mark Irvin?

24 MR. IRVIN: Here.

25 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: We have two sets of minutes

Page 3 that are actually fully transcribed. So they're actually 1 2 transcripts rather than minutes, so I think it would be 3 easier to approve than trying to nurture through minutes. 4 So basically here we're going to ask that you approve the 5 transcript as written unless you have any comments questions or concerns about either document. 6 7 MS. COX: So moved. I will say that, Mr. Chairman, I made 8 MR. SHEAFE: 9 the mistake of hitting the print button. 10 MS. COX: And then you left the room. 11 MR. SHEAFE: Yeah. And then after stuffing paper 12 into my printer, I figured out that I don't think I'll do 13 that again. It gave me a chance to peruse through them, so 14 I am well qualified to move for approval. 15 MS. COX: Second. 16 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Any further comment? 17 All those in favor, say aye. 18 (The board voted and motion was passed) 19 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Mark, I think we have the 20 option to totally transcribe and/or take minutes? 21 That's correct, Mr. Chairman. MR. COLLINS: 22 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Okay. Thank you, everyone, 23 for coming. We have a number of things we'd like to talk to you about -- talk amongst ourselves about today. 24 25 Tomorrow is my 63rd birthday. I think I was 53

1 when I joined the board a couple of months ago. We wanted 2 to kind of precede the meeting with a conversation amongst 3 us, particularly, about some priorities.

The Manning House has clearly been a distraction for all of us. It was a response to an urgent situation that many of us saw, but it's now the tail wagging the dog.

So we kind of wanted to regroup and discuss
particularly how we view our priorities, and maybe come to
some consensus -- I think this is the first time all of us
have been physically together since the board has been
reorganized -- and kind of talk about how we go forward,
what we do going forward, what our priorities are, going
forward.

14 Of course, all this tends to be in concert with 15 the City of Tucson. They are our partner. We have an 16 intergovernmental agreement that requires for them to 17 approve anything that we do. We do not have unilateral 18 authority to move ahead on anything. But we do have a pretty good understanding and a little better understanding 19 20 today even than we did last week about what our mandate is, 21 and what legal structure we have to operate as the tax district. 22

There are a couple of handouts that we're going look at here. If you don't have one, there are copies in front. And I thought it would be good to kind of start this

process to go back and look at the 1999 Proposition 400,
 which actually started this District nearly 13 years ago.

When I got here, I had some kind of basic priorities that were, from my background, business oriented. I wanted to know where the resources were; I wanted to know how cash works; I wanted to know what funds we had available if we wanted to engage in a project; I wanted to understand the law.

9 We've been doing a lot of that kind of work over 10 the last couple of months. And I looked, and was pleasantly 11 surprised. The District has more resources than I thought We don't have a lot of resources. We're not going 12 we did. to have the \$250 million that previous District boards have 13 14 had at their disposal, but we might have a fifth of that. 15 We might have something between 25 or 30, \$40 million over 16 the next few years.

17 We think we can improve that. We found some 18 glaring issues with merchant compliance. There are 1100 -right Michelle? -- merchants in the TIF that have to 19 20 self-identify themselves in order for that money to be 21 allocated back to Rio Nuevo. And we know there are 22 compliance issues with that. One store, one audit in July, 23 produced \$2.2 million of additional TIF revenue that had not 24 been properly allocated to us.

The TIF for 2012 we can now tell you was

25

profitable to the tune of a couple million dollars. 1 The 2 last three years in fact have been profitable. Two of our 3 largest debt instruments are retiring, the 2002 TCC COPS 4 \$35 million participation agreements are terminated. We 5 paid the last payment on that last month. And the Fox Theater bonds that were issued in 2005, \$5.8 million, we 6 7 will make the last payment on this year. So the debt's 8 coming down; the TIF revenue's coming up. And that's a good 9 sign.

10 Now that doesn't mean we get to go crazy with 11 that money, but it does give us an opportunity to invest in something. And I think one of the premises that we share on 12 the board -- and maybe we haven't been too good about 13 14 communicating -- is that we view that money as seed money. 15 We're really not in a position to buy, own, or operate much 16 of anything. We already own the Tucson Convention Center, 17 which is going to require a substantial investment to modernize that. 18

19 Short of that, we cannot build a hotel or an 20 arena or any of the projects that might otherwise have been 21 envisioned several years ago. But we do believe we can 22 partner and be a successful joint venturer with many other 23 people and jurisdictions. And if you look at successful 24 TIFs, that's really how they've used their money.

25

San Diego: For every dollar their TIF

invested, they've attracted \$6 of private sector investment.
So when you look back at the \$250 million that we've spent,
not only is that wasted opportunity, but so is it's
leverage. So we really lost a billion dollars of investment
opportunity in downtown Tucson.

6 So we intend to try and resurrect that 7 spirit. And if you look at the original proposition, it 8 actually suggests that this would be a leveraging kind of 9 partnership. It suggests in the ballot in 1999 that the 10 government will spend something in the neighborhood of 60 to 11 \$80 million out of a \$320 million proposal. This is what we 12 all voted for in 1999.

But if you look at it forensically -- in fact, the government spent \$240 million of their own money and didn't attract dollar one of private sector investment. And I think that speaks to the real failure of Rio Nuevo, is to try it do it all themselves without attracting private sector investors.

19 Our -- my objective -- and we can talk about 20 it, I think, at the board level -- is that we use what 21 available money we have today to partner with others: the 22 City, the County, private developers, property owners that 23 surround us, developers that might come to us from other 24 parts of the country knowing that we're a friendly 25 organization to partner with. That's really how I envision

Page 8

1 us going forward.

Now it's clear that we have some priorities. Some of those are set by legislative mandate. If you look at the 2009 legislation, it was very clear in terms of what our restrictions are. And this speaks to the Notice to Proceed issue which we were hopeful we had resolved, but it's still not entirely clear.

8 In that piece of legislation, it instructed 9 the District that they could spend no public monies until a 10 notice to proceed had been issued on the convention center 11 and hotel projects. That was part of the mandate that 12 recreated the board that we all now sit on.

13 Indeed, in October of 2010, this District 14 board, predecessor board, issued a Notice to Proceed on the 15 hotel project. Ultimately, the city council rejected that 16 proposal.

17 So many of our attorneys believe that we, in fact, met the intent and spirit of the law. When we talked 18 about the Manning House last week, we weren't certain that 19 20 we had. And Alberto made a motion to try and gain control of that property, subject to a review of our legal 21 22 authority, which we asked the legislature to confirm for us. 23 We did get a letter from legislative counsel that indicates in their mind that Notice to Proceed was 24 25 And that would inform us that we could move forward proper.

on any other project that met the legal mandate. 1 2 I will tell you that the City of Tucson 3 disagrees with me. The City Attorney disagrees with that. 4 And at least, certainly, one City councilman disagrees with 5 that. So it may be moot in terms of how legislative council views that if our partner disagrees with them. 6 7 So our mandating legislation requires a 8 Notice to Proceed. One of the ways to remedy that is to issue a new one. And that means we find a project, a hotel 9 10 project, we engage in the renovation of the Tucson 11 Convention Center, and we move forward under the original intention of the 2009 legislation. And we're prepared to do 12 that. We're actually optimistic about our ability to do 13 14 that.

In the few weeks that we've been together, there are no fewer than five hotel projects in our proximity that could be delivered in short order enough for us to issue a Notice to Proceed. They're all in private hands. So that would require us to partner with a private sector developer and the City to move those forward.

But think about that for a minute. We've struggled for 13 years on a single hotel. We might be in a position to launch 4 or 5. That's why we're here. That's why any of us are involved in this today. And that will not be using our money; it will be working with private sector 1 developers that do that.

2	That part and parcel was our interest in the
3	Manning House. Not so much the Manning House although
4	many of us are passionate about it history it was its
5	adjacent four acres that were the most attractive to us as
6	developers, because that is yet another potential hotel
7	site. And we have heard from an international hotel
8	operator, since we shined a very bright light on that last
9	week, that they would be very interested in developing a
10	hotel on that property.
11	So I think our priority, speaking for me
12	and then I'll ask the board to share their thoughts is:
13	A) to settle the lawsuit with the City. None
14	of this works until we have a partner now that's willing to
15	engage with us.
16	And I use the word "settle" very carefully.
17	We've made it very clear, we're not walking away from this
18	litigation. That's a two-edged sword. It's a two-party
19	transaction. Both parties are going to have to come
20	together to settle this. We can't settle it by ourselves,
21	nor are we going to dismiss it. So it requires the City and
22	us to have a meeting of the minds.
23	If we can do that, I believe we can move
24	quickly on the Tucson Convention Center projects. And we're
25	prepared to commit some of the resources that we have to do

1 that.

2	One of the things that I am personally
3	interested in looking at is the total rehab of that
4	facility. Not just throwing some money at the bathrooms,
5	but: Is that facility remodelable to the entire extent?
6	If you know what we're doing at McKale
7	it's an arena about the same age, but the University is
8	going to totally modernize that facility by taking the roof
9	off, expanding the seats upwards, and then modernizing the
10	entire arena structure. It's not inconceivable to me that
11	that couldn't be done at the Tucson Convention Center arena
12	for a lot less money than the \$200 million that it would
13	take to build a new one. I'm very interested in the
14	feasibility of that kind of project.
15	Once we have that kind of agreement
16	whatever we're doing I do believe we can launch on one or
17	more hotel projects. And that allows us to issue a Notice
18	to Proceed that then allows us to engage in other projects
19	that we're legislatively allowed to do, both in terms of the
20	primary and secondary component. From my perspective and
21	I've been very public about this in my mind, that's the
22	West Side.
23	You've heard us talk about the East Side and

You've heard us talk about the East Side and the organic development that's happening there, quite successfully, without any of us. But if you go from the

Convention Center west, we have a lot of vacant land and a
 beautiful new bridge now that crosses the river at Cushing
 Street that's about to be nicknamed "The Bridge to Nowhere."
 And we would like to do something about this.

5 And you're going to see us inviting some 6 people into meetings, starting today with the presentation 7 from the Mission Gardens folks, on projects that are west of 8 the freeway. And we as a board begin to embrace those and 9 work with our partner, the City, and other partners that 10 might allow some of what was originally designed in this 11 proposition to move forward.

In that packet is a copy for you of the enabling legislation, a definition of the primary and secondary component, and the original proposition itself, which I believe, you know, we can certainly revisit in terms of the spirit of that original legislation.

So with that -- you said 10 minutes, Albert,
that was pretty good. Chris said 30.

19 So fellow board members, what are your 20 thoughts? We've created a dilemma in the minds of the 21 public by diverting to the Manning House. I think we have 22 to kind of come back and let people know where we're headed. 23 MR. SHEAFE: Let me just say a couple of things 24 that might be of benefit.

First is that when I was first involved with Rio

25

Nuevo a number of years ago, we spent some time on our own checking into other cities to see how they were able to accomplish things. And we found in every case a significant driver behind every benefit that the City was able to achieve.

6 The legislation's fine. Even the funding capacity 7 is fine. But we need somebody that has the energy and the 8 focus and the desire and the drive and the priorities to 9 make things happen, to gather -- even a board -- and get 10 them to make decisions consistently and for the betterment 11 of the community. That's how it was repeated.

12 It was one lawyer over there that kind of stepped 13 up and dedicated his life to it. It was Bill Brandy up in 14 Seattle; he did the whole Denny Regrade area. Portland had 15 actually three drivers because it took a long enough time 16 and it was one succeeded by another one.

I'll just tell you I haven't been on this board very long. And prior to coming on this board, I really didn't know the other board members that well. But I have been absolutely impressed with the amount of dedication that I've seen in just a short period of time.

And Fletcher kind of goes into the outer orbit of this compliment. He has dedicated himself at a level that is -- it's almost exclusively working on it. I'm on the phone with him or getting an email with him. I'm seeing the

progress that's taking place. Alberto's been there, other members of the board have chimed in when they've needed to, and the ball is beginning to move forward.

So I would say the initial feeling that this community ought to have is that we have a great opportunity in front of us. I said this a week ago: We will have to work to make it not successful. We have that many benefits working for us.

9 But now we are being led by a person who has all 10 the right instincts, all the right ability to touch into the 11 areas of resource that we need in the community, and the 12 capacity and the energy to put it together. And that is an 13 enormous resource.

14 City after city that we've talked to had that same 15 description. Well it was really when it came right down to 16 it, Joe. And Joe just kept driving the ball everywhere. 17 Rio Salado in Tempe is very much that way.

18 So I just want to start off, Fletcher, by 19 complimenting the effort that I've seen you make and the 20 direction I've seen you set and the priorities that you have 21 expressed, which has made it very easy for the other board 22 members to feel comfortable with, which are, that we are 23 going to invest dollars, not spend dollars. And those 24 investments will roll in multiples of 6, 8, \$10, per dollar. 25 Done intelligently, that is a formula that

actually works. Urban Land Institute has a number of
 studies on this. There's plenty of case evidence. And I've
 personally seen it all the way from just little examples,
 which went on in Tempe with Rio Salado, to major examples.

And I had the opportunity as a ULI member to go back and meet with Mayor Giuliani and Pataki and sit in a room and have them describe how they turned around Manhattan, after Manhattan was almost falling apart. It's the same formula. It's the same thing. It takes somebody to drive it, and that's essentially what we have going on here.

So the Manning House came up. It is absolutely an 12 opportunity for this community to use the -- our ability to 13 14 solve problems, more than invest dollars, so that we create 15 an arena that's available to the right developer to put in a boutique hotel. I believe that's coming. There's a few 16 17 steps that need to be taken before we get there. And I'm 18 not personally convinced that we need to use much money. We 19 really just need to use our ability to bring the forces 20 together, and that actually excites me.

And there's another major project that I think we can have huge benefit in getting resolution of competing parties all get on one piece of thinking, and next thing you know we've got other problems solved for this community. So maybe I'm a little windy, but that's what I wanted to

Page 16

1 express.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Cody, you missed the wholething.

MR. RITCHIE: I was out of town, officially, and I heard a lot about it while I was gone. I first want to echo what Chris said. Fletcher's dedication to this project -or to this Rio Nuevo District, is unprecedented. His passion -- all of us have passion in what we do. And myself, along with the rest of the board members, I think we're passionate individuals.

In my business -- and I think in all of your businesses -- if you guys are business owners out there, it does take money. You have to spend money to make money. You have to invest in the infrastructure to grow our businesses.

16 That being said, the reason I joined this board is 17 that as a taxpayer and a community member, I noted last 18 month at my first meeting the wasted opportunities were 19 disappointing and depressing. And our community, just for a 20 lack of vision, a lack of knowhow, a lack of business 21 leadership, missed out on a golden opportunity. So we have 22 a second chance here.

Now, personally -- and I expressed this to the chairman and I think he kind of agreed with me -- I'm not really for buying things with Rio Nuevo money. However, I think cart -- like everybody said, it got out in front of the horse -- I think what Fletcher was trying to do and that the board was -- to try to be a referee, so to speak, and try to find a good private home for -- business owner for this project.

6 So that -- everything that you say, Fletcher, I 7 agree with. We're going to agree to disagree. There's 8 going to be some things that I don't agree with all the 9 other board members on a hundred percent of the time.

10 But I'm excited. I think there's some momentum. 11 I think that for the misdeeds -- if there were any in the 12 past -- that we need to keep -- keep the pressure on and make sure that -- what am I looking for? -- the reports or 13 the forensic audits must still be conducted. But that means 14 that we're going to take some baby steps. And I think that 15 16 people looking back 30, 40 years from now, can be proud, 17 hopefully, of what this board's going to accomplish.

18 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: The older members, Jeff, 19 Albert, Jannie, have been through a lot of these 20 transitions, you know. And, Jeff, what's your sense about 21 our priorities? Are we headed in the right direction, or 22 are we askew in any manner?

23 MR. HILL: No. I think that the fundamental 24 concept that we just -- Cody was just talking about -- was 25 trying to determine from the taxpayers where the money went, and next priority was, how much money we've got. Gone a long way to find that out. And then the third priority is to maximize, get the biggest bang for the buck; and it appears to me we're on target.

5

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Jan?

MS. COX: And I would concur. I am enormously encouraged about Rio Nuevo and our potential for the future. I feel like Fletcher is exactly the leader we need at exactly the right time. I have tremendous confidence and trust in him. And I really look forward to moving forward.

11 My hope -- and I hope it's not too much like the 12 Pollyanna that I've been accused of being -- but I would 13 like to think that when the facts come forward through the 14 forensic audits, that we can sit down with the City, and 15 that whoever needs to be accountable is accountable. I 16 fully understand the City does not have \$230 million to give 17 back.

So what we need to do is determine what happened to those dollars, then determine where we are today legally, financially, and agree with the City on our joint priorities -- and hopefully they are joint priorities, and then move that way without looking back that way and talking about who did what to who over so many years.

And I really have a wonderful sense of confidence that that can happen now. I think we have the right people

together, we work together very well, we communicate together very well. I am proud to be a part of this group, and I can't wait to get, perhaps, a boutique hotel on the ground.

5 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: I do think there's some new 6 urgency on behalf of the City. And I think our focus on 7 development has forced them to have to respond, maybe in our 8 timeframe, not theirs.

9 But the president of the senate made it pretty 10 clear we're on the short fuse and if we don't show some sort 11 progress, probably by the session, you know, he'll be one of 12 the first people to move to terminate the TIF. So it may 13 seem like impatience or impertinence, but we also understand 14 we have a clear mandate to do economic development.

And Alberto, you've been a champion of the forensic stuff. You know, I think all of us agree today that that is not going to be let go. The City's got to come to us on those issues. We're going to hear from Susan today on an update with that, and hopefully we're hearing a better spirit of cooperation. I will be astonished and perturbed if that's not the case.

But I think, you know, through Alberto's leadership and all of that, he's held all of us accountable that the number one agenda item is the City's acceptance of an accountability for what's occurred in the past. And not

only will that resolve things for us to go forward, but that will enrich us to the extent that they're willing to settle the lawsuit. So, you know, we're pressing forward on all fronts.

5 I will tell you, as a multitasker, I think we are 6 capable of multiple projects. This does not need to be a 7 singularly-focused agenda. I believe we can work on the TCC 8 and work on the audits and work with hotel developers and 9 work with West Side developers simultaneously. We can 10 divide that up amongst ourselves. But I think we're 11 perfectly capable of managing a multiple agenda.

12

25

I'm going save you for last.

13 Mark?

MR. IRVIN: Well, I just -- first of all, I agree 14 15 with you, Fletcher. I don't think we can do it alone. And 16 I think if we're going to make this District successful, 17 we're going to have to look for partners and opportunities. 18 And I think the City and the County and the State and the 19 University and the Indian Nations and the private sector all 20 need to come and play a part in that. I agree with your 21 numbers on the 1 to 6 ratio; that's kind of what I've seen. 22 I'd say, as far as I'm concerned, you know -- I 23 mean, the forensic audits are one thing, and I think those

24 are great, and we need to look at those and whatever.

But I have always been bothered that it seemed

like that was a really heavy part and a singular part of our focus, and I've always felt we could multitask. And so I'd like to see us settle up our difference with the City -- I don't see how you can partner with somebody if you don't figure out how to settle that -- and then also just get on the same page with them.

Page 21

7 I'd like to see us focus on some TIF-generating 8 projects. You know, I think if we'd have done that on Day 9 One, and actually had done some things that were adding 10 monies back into the District, we would have had a bigger 11 leg up.

And I like the attitude, Fletcher. I think that you've brought to us that let's find out how we can do things, rather than trying to find out why we can't do things. So with that being said, I just appreciate your service and I like kind of where we're going.

17 MR. RITCHIE: Mr. Chairman, one question for you. 18 And I think I have it correct, but with the TIF -- I think the public may not know, correct me if I'm wrong, but if the 19 legislature was to shut us down, the cost of goods in that 20 TIF district, the taxes, everything remains the same. 21 The 22 money's just not kept back down here for our District. Is 23 that not correct, Mark?

24 MR. COLLINS: Yeah, that's my opinion.

25 MR. RITCHIE: Okay. So --

1CHAIRMAN McCUSKER:But moreover, Cody, it has to2continue to retire the debt.

MR. RITCHIE: Right.

4 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: So, you know, they can dismiss 5 all of us, but the District as an entity has multiple years 6 of the debt.

7 MR. COLLINS: The District has obligations that it 8 must fulfill, and the TIF provides -- the TIF funds provide 9 the source of those.

MR. RITCHIE: So common sense would tell you that all of us that are shooting at each other right now, and kind of like in going forward, we need to figure this out, have to have the money stay down here in southern Arizona and downtown Tucson because we have some obligations that we have to fulfill.

16 So I think that the other great thing that --17 about our Chairman here, and all of us on the board, is we 18 are getting input from everybody in the community on --

19

3

MS. COX: That's for sure.

20 MR. RITCHIE: And I think that it's very important 21 that all voices are heard. And I welcome -- if anybody 22 wants to contact me and give me their two cents -- or any of 23 these other board members -- I think that's very important 24 to know.

25 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Albert?

1 SECRETARY MOORE: Well, let me go along with 2 everybody else about Fletcher. I've had the pleasure of 3 working with him, and also with Chris, and I'm very 4 impressed. I think we're very lucky to have both of them. 5 I haven't had the opportunity work with Cody, but I'm 6 looking forward to it.

7 But I want to sort of lay out a couple thoughts I And one is the first regime of this Rio Nuevo group 8 had. 9 that, you know, seems to be taking some heat, were the ones 10 that went in and did the forensic examination and brought 11 forward the concerns of the citizenry -- they did that at their request -- to find out where these monies were spent 12 and to hold the City accountable. And if I do anything 13 14 else, that's all I'm interested -- I want to hold the City 15 accountable.

We've spent a lot of money and it's wasted. And it discourages me when I look at whatever effort that might be right or wrong about the Manning House. But that was a real business decision to look at a property that could be generating real TIF funds into the downtown community.

Now, I understand all the other concerns, and I agree with them as far as the Notice to Proceed and so forth. But when you look back at some of the other investments that the City has made: the Fox Theater, the Rialto, the Depot Garage, and goes on and goes on. And they just wasted money. None of it's going to produce any
 benefit to our community, and yet nobody seems to care.

And that's fascinating because everybody got all concerned about the Manning House, about, gee whiz, how are you doing this, and you have no authority to do anything.

6 But they don't say anything about the City. And I 7 feel that if we're going to do anything and if they're going 8 to be a partner of ours, they've got be held accountable and 9 they have to come to the table willing to cooperate, not 10 just give us a lot of lip service.

But I'm tired of going to them, trying to create a situation in which they should be supported, and then they pull tricks on us, well, you're going to have to sign another term sheet that basically takes them off the hook for everything they've done in the past. I'm not supporting anything like that.

And I want to make sure that people understand, if we're going to hold the City accountable -- at least I am. And I expect that's the reason why we can negotiate; otherwise, if we're neutralized and support their dreams and their interests, we'll be neutralized and will not be able to accomplish anything.

And so that's my concern here. And, you know, I think the previous board did a lot to bring to a focus, and I think the new board is another phase of positive

1 opportunities that we can do. But we need to hold the City
2 accountable.

That's all I have to say.

3

4 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: And we welcome your comments. 5 Please sign up. And we're pretty generous about our time 6 with that. And Cody's right, we're all email junkies. If 7 you want to make a comment, we'll most likely get back to 8 you.

9 Let's see if we can move our agenda on. The next 10 item is an update for you on the CFO recruitment. We can 11 report, I think -- Michele, correct me if I'm wrong -- but I 12 think we've received 16 resumes for that position: some 13 local, some not local. Those have been distributed to the 14 executive committee, which is myself, Alberto, and Jeff.

And while I've never participated in this process formally, typically what I would do is that we would narrow that down to three or four candidates, and then allow the rest of the board to participate in those interviews and come to some sort of consensus.

It's always a challenge in a forum like this because I'm a proponent of some public engagements, some public Q & A on a position of that importance. But a lot of people who apply for a job like this, their employer, in fact, may not know they've applied. So there's a lot of sensitivity around confidentiality. So we may or may not be

1 able to do that given a nature of some of the candidates.

But we're going to press on with that. We just cut that off, I believe, on the 25th, so we're very grateful to those people that have applied. And hopefully by the next meeting, we will be pretty close to a decision in that regard.

7 We're going to take a minute just to update you on 8 a variety tenacious merchant education programs that we've 9 launched. I think you've heard us talk about the issues within the TIF boundaries. We can now confirm for you there 10 11 are 1100, one thousand one hundred merchants that are in 12 this dog bone from the West Side to the East Side. And 13 astonishingly, they have to code themselves their own tax 14 return in order for the Rio Nuevo money to be reallocated 15 back to us.

16 We've asked for the list. We've gotten generally 17 an incomplete list from the State and the City, which 18 already highlights for you some issues. We can drive up and 19 down Broadway and see for ourselves who the merchants are, and then they don't show up on the TIF list. So you know 20 21 you've got a compliance issue with that. And we've yet to 22 really dig into those merchants to identify those that are 23 not compliant.

24 What we're communicating to merchants is: This is 25 good for Tucson. It doesn't cost you anything to put that

eight-letter code in there, and there's no penalty if you 1 don't. 2

3 So the State has now agreed with us to go back 4 three years to recover some of this lost TIF revenue. It 5 could be huge. Given the fact that we just had one merchant with over \$2 million of unallocated money, this is a real 6 opportunity for us to maximize the TIF revenue. 7

8 Michele is running that program for us. So you --9 I think you're going to just give us a couple of comments 10 about where you are and what our plans are with the TIF 11 program.

For those of you that don't know, Michele is the 12 Operations Administrator for the Rio Nuevo. And she --13 14

She's in charge. MR. SHEAFE:

15 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: She's in charge. And she will 16 answer the phone.

17 MS. BETTINI: Yes, I do answer the phone. 18 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: She answers the phone. There'll be no voice mail, you get Michele. 19

20 MS. BETTINI: No, you get me. And my cell phone

21 is listed everywhere too. So I do answer everything.

22 I am Michele Bettini. I am from Rio Nuevo. I am 23 in charge of heading up the TIF right now. I've been working with the City on getting the list of all the 24 merchants. And like Fletcher said, we do have 1100 25

Page 28 merchants. I literally do drive up and down Broadway and on 1 2 the west side of the freeway, match what the City has provided me on their list. And if I find merchants that are 3 4 not on the list, I've emailed the City and asked them if 5 they're in compliance. Like Fletcher has said, the State has agreed if I 6 come up with at least three people that aren't in 7 8 compliance, they will audit the whole merchant system of TIF 9 for the last three years. 10 MS. COX: If you find only three? 11 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: That's all we need. 12 MS. BETTINI: We could probably go back further. 13 It's the test that there are CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: 14 noncompliant merchants. So if you have any friends that 15 aren't coding us, tell them to fess up. 16 MS. BETTINI: Correct. I was telling Fletcher 17 yesterday, just driving up Broadway, I found four merchants, 18 just yesterday alone, driving up Broadway that are not on the merchant list. So me driving one day up Broadway, 19 there's four people, four merchants, that's just one day. 20 So if I continue, obviously we're going to find a lot more 21 because I know the City doesn't have the time to drive up 22 23 and down Broadway.

I've also talked to a couple of successful TIF districts. I've talked to the Department of Revenue in Illinois and what they're informed me is why everybody's so successful in TIF is every TIF district has their own separate form.

So I've talked to the Arizona Department of Revenue. And what we're trying to come up with is if I can provide every single address in the TIF district, they would be willing to make our own forms for our TIF district so we won't have to --

9 MS. COX: Let's just do that. I'll help you do 10 that.

MS. BETTINI: It's just a lot of leg work. So I've started on that and as soon as I can get every address to them in our district -- if it's not an address, I can provide them a parcel -- and once someone starts to build or goes to build on that parcel, they're generate an address, and then it automatically is in that format in that form. So that's what we're shooting at right now.

18 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: And again, for merchants that 19 are concerned, there's no penalty for you. If you haven't 20 properly coded this, we can recapture this money and 21 reallocate it back to the District.

We do now know there's about a three-month lag, so the \$2.7 million June -- I think the largest TIF revenue we've ever enjoyed -- we will see that in about three months. So we can really enhance our cash availability, I

1 think, if we can capture some of these noncompliant 2 merchants. 3 And I now find myself -- every time I go to a TIF 4 merchants, I try to find the boss. And I have one of those 5 little forms with me and say, do you know this is how it works? And I'm surprised, most of them do not. 6 7 Michele, thank you very much. 8 MS. BETTINI: Thank you. 9 MR. SHEAFE: I have a question. 10 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Sure. 11 MR. SHEAFE: Do you have a timeframe for the hiring decision on the CFO? 12 13 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: I'd like to by the next 14 meeting. 15 MR. SHEAFE: Okay. 16 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Item No. 7 is the update on 17 the forensic audits. 18 Susan, thank you very much. 19 MS. VOS: Good afternoon, Chairman, members of the 20 I just have a really quick update. I've been board. working -- as far as the West Side, I did receive copies of 21 22 all the contracts from Kelly Gottschalk. We've had those 23 Bates labeled and ready to look at now. As far as the remaining documents, I haven't received anything from the 24 25 City.

I contacted Kelly earlier this week. When we had 1 2 originally spoke a couple weeks ago, she was to get to me --3 we were going see if we can arrange to send a staff person 4 down to the City to help find those documents on microfilm, 5 whatever it took. I hadn't heard from her, so I contacted her this week. They're still working on it, trying to 6 7 determine where everything's at, exactly how many pieces of 8 paper there are for us to obtain. And then she informed me 9 that Sylvia will be contacting me to let me know how we're 10 going to obtain all these documents.

11 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Between meetings, will you 12 send me like a weekly update on status and cooperation with 13 the City?

14 SECRETARY MOORE: Susan, how many people are --15 what does that represent, once they have it brought to you, 16 compared to what you've started with that's in your request, 17 as opposed to what they've given you and what's left?

18 MS. VOS: It's all of the supporting 19 documentation. I've received --

20 SECRETARY MOORE: What does that mean? 21 MS. VOS: That means receipts, invoices, paper 22 applications, what I'm going to be basing my forensic 23 examination on. I've got contracts, but I don't know that I 24 have all of the contracts yet because I still don't have all 25 of the supporting documentation.

Page 32 CHAIRMAN Mccusker: From what I understand Kelly 1 2 said is that most of that, they believe, is on site. And, 3 you know, it's going to be more expeditious if we have 4 someone there, you know, saying, I want to see this, and 5 then they'll get it, and we can make of copy of it. MS. VOS: Right. 6 7 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: You know, I know it's manhours 8 on your side, but I think we've authorized that. 9 MS. VOS: Right. And that is why I offered a 10 staff person of mine to go down there at a lower hourly 11 They can start saving stuff on disks and just try to rate. get all that documentation, try to help the City out. 12 I know they're limited on their staffing as well. They've 13 got, you know, day-to-day jobs they have to do. It's just 14 coordinating that with them. 15 16 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Get me that in writing, and 17 I'll update the mayor. 18 MS. VOS: Okay. 19 Excuse me. One other question. SECRETARY MOORE: Do you think that we could go back to the City once we get 20 all of this to get reimbursed for the expense of going 21 22 through this information? 23 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: That's on my to-do list. 24 SECRETARY MOORE: Oh, okay. 25 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Any questions for Susan?

So there's really no way to discuss the timeframe 1 2 at this point? 3 MS. VOS: No. I'm still -- on the Westside 4 Project, I'm at the mercy of the City. 5 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: And the Depot Garage? MS. VOS: Depot, I've started reviewing the City 6 7 response. And I'm now compiling a list of questions and 8 additional document requests based on that response from the 9 City. They highlighted some documentation that they didn't 10 have previously. So I'm compiling that listing also. When 11 I get through that analysis, I'll be going back to the City for another request for them. 12 13 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Okay. Thank you very much. 14 Any questions for Susan? Okay. We're going to briefly discuss the Manning 15 16 House and where we are after last week. 17 Mark, I'll have to ask you to recuse yourself. 18 Actually, if you'll leave the room during this discussion, 19 we'll come get you. MR. IRVIN: Okay. 20 21 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Mark is a prior listing agent 22 of this property. So our policy, if you have a conflict, we 23 will ask that you physically step out of the room during such conversations. And I can tell you now, we are all 24 going to be visiting the boys' room for some conversations 25

1 because many of us have some sort of conflict.

2 Just a quick update -- and Chris and Alberto and I 3 have spent the lion's part of this week working on this 4 project. You'll remember if you were here, you saw the 5 motion. A motion was made to develop an option to purchase the Manning House for a short period of time so that we 6 7 could control the property and identify if there was a 8 plausible development that could save that mansion and, at 9 the same time, create a tax generating base.

10 The issue of the legal Notice to Proceed was we 11 had part of that motion and we agreed that we would not 12 proceed without legal authority. We do have a legal opinion 13 from legislative counsel that does seem to support that we 14 can move forward on that project.

However, I will tell you that that's probably still cloudy legal ground. It's not an AG's opinion. The City doesn't concur with the opinion. The City is our partner, so there's some risk if we were to proceed entirely based upon legislative counsel.

Now, I do believe legislative counsel is in the best position to judge legislative intent because, after all, they're the ones that wrote the bill. Needless to say, we'll probably still have legal clouds over our ability to move forward on that project.

25 In the meantime, three possible developers have

approached us about partnering with that project. Two of them are not known to us. They are brand new to anyone on this board. One of those is a local developer that's involved in some other projects. And all of them are certainly financially capable of a multimillion dollar project on that site.

7 There are a number of challenges, we've learned in the last week, not the least of which includes a 8 9 hundred-foot-wide easement from the City of Tucson that runs 10 smack dab in the middle of that property; and an 11 archaeological site that is deemed to be untouchable on a substantial portion of that property; and its back end is 12 surrounded by City and State land, and then up to the Circle 13 14 K that you're all familiar with on the street.

So, there's a lot of challenges to put together a deal on that particular site. And I think given where we are, those of us that have been working on it, it's clear that a short-term option would not give anyone the time to work all that out.

And I think we're prepared to move forward by saying, we're happy to help; we can run interference for developers; we can help negotiate with the City; we can help negotiate with the seller and the seller's lenders. But I don't know that, A, we have the authority to invest in this project; and, B, even if we did, that we could do it in a 1 timeframe that would make a difference.

2 An option is an interesting instrument. And it's been widely confused, since, last week, Chris, most of the 3 4 editorial comment which has been, I think, more than the 5 Supreme Court decision on healthcare. But all we were trying to do was to create control over the property. We do 6 7 not want to buy this property. We don't want to own this property. We don't want to develop this property. We don't 8 9 want to develop and operate a hotel.

10 But by controlling the property -- if you look at 11 other successful TIFs -- we're sorry we keep pointing you to other jurisdictions -- but for the most part they control 12 their land. And what they do with that land, then, is 13 14 contribute it into a project that attracts a developer. And 15 that's the primary focus of TIFs, as Chris said, is really 16 to contribute to the infrastructure: the support, the land, 17 the water, the sewer, whatever can help a developer develop a project. 18

19 That is a prime piece of land within our TIF 20 boundaries. We have confirmed that. That could be -- and 21 should be -- a productive, tax-paying entity.

So my position, we do not need to take any action unless somebody wants to propose some sort of action. We did not execute the option from last week because we never really resolved the legal issues, and it was a short-term
1 option motion to begin with.

2	So here we are kind of back at ground zero, ladies			
3	and gentlemen, at the Manning House. It's some risk. We do			
4	now have some interested partners that have indicated to us			
5	their tendency is we preserve the house and build around it.			
6	We did solve the immediate crisis. I think we got			
7	the banks to kind of slow down. We got the seller to not			
8	sell off some of the valuable property. But, you know,			
9	unless any of you are prepared to do or propose anything			
10	differently, I think the only thing we can do is to offer			
11	our assistance to the project.			
12	Yes, no, maybe?			
13	SECRETARY MOORE: I agree with you.			
14	CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Okay. No action is required.			
15	Now, the fun part. We have a presentation today.			
16	If you guys are ready to go, from the Friends of Tucson's			
17	Birthplace. Bill, thank you very much.			
18	For those of you that have been around for 13			
19	years, this project was identified in Proposition 400 as one			
20	of the original projects that we all approved as part of Rio			
21	Nuevo on the far west side of the base of A Mountain.			
22	And, you know, because of some of the			
23	dysfunctionality of the District over the years, a group of			
24	private citizens have taken up this cause. And we've			
25	invited them today to kind of refresh our memories about the			

project and to see if there's anything we can do that would help.

ATTENDEE: Thank you. Good afternoon, Chairman,
board members. On behalf of Friends of Tucson's Birthplace,
I would like to thank you for this time.

6 Our goal today is to give you a brief overview of 7 our good work, our vision, and hopefully a working 8 relationship with the Rio Nuevo board towards the completion 9 of the 4200-year-old Mission Garden, and attraction in the 10 Tucson Origins Heritage Park found in Prop 400, approved by 11 the voters in 1999.

12 Friend of Tucson's Birthplace is a nonprofit --13 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Do you need to catch up to 14 your presentation?

15

ATTENDEE: No.

ATTENDEE: -- is a 501(c)(3) organization founded almost three years ago by a group of concerned Tucson residents. Board members include myself, Bill DuPont, former chair; Roger Pfeuffer, present chair; Katya Peterson, our co-chair; Diana Hadley, our historian; Raul Ramirez, our historian on Kino; and Phil Hall, who is enjoying Santa Fe at this time.

Bill O'Malley, who's there, has been retained as our consultant and project manager. Bill was involved in the original planning process of the Tucson Origins Heritage

Park. He has given so much of his time to see the
 completion of Mission Garden.

3 Simply put, our mission is to preserve, honor, 4 protect, and promote the cultural heritage of Tucson's 5 birthplace at the foot of Sentinel Peak. With an IG in 6 place between the City of Tucson and Pima County, the 7 Friends of Tucson's Birthplace entered into an agreement 8 with them for the development, operations, and maintenance 9 of the park known as Mission Garden.

10 The agreement is for a five year duration with 11 options and a commitment from the Friend of Tucson's 12 Birthplace to raise 250,000 within the first five years. 13 With the generosity of the Tucson community, we have raised 14 over half of our stated goal.

We would like to acknowledge the assistance we have received from the City of Tucson's historical preservation officer, Jonathan Mabry; Tucson Parks and Rec rep, Peg Weber; Pima County's cultural resource and historic preservation officer, Linda Mayro, all who have been instrumental in leveraging grants for the garden.

Besides the completion of the garden, the plant educational elements that reflect this regional history and many cultures that have thrived on this 4-acre parcel for over 4,000 years are founded in documents that the Rio Nuevo District owns. The Friends of Tucson's Birthplace would 1 request permission to use the following lists of documents 2 to make the site into an economic attraction for the City of 3 Tucson. They are:

Construction documents and other design documents
from Tucson Origins Heritage Park, prepared by the Burns
Wald-Hopkins' team; the TOHP; interpretive materials
prepared by Night and Day Studios; the Tucson Origins
Orientation film; market analysis and operation plans for
Tucson Origins Heritage Park, prepared by ConsultEcon.

10 Bill O'Malley will further discuss the importance 11 of these documents towards meeting the goals of Mission 12 Garden.

At this time, I'd like to let Roger take over and do his PowerPoint presentation. Again, thank you for your time and consideration.

16 ATTENDEE: We just have a little bribe.17 These are mission figs.

18 MS. COX: We were hoping we were going to get19 some.

20 ATTENDEE: So please pass them.

21 ATTENDEE: They've been washed.

ATTENDEE: What we'd like to do in the next less-than-15 minutes now is give you 4,000 years of history and bring you up to date with the Mission Garden. We're going to go through a short -- a very short PowerPoint.

This has basically become our logo -- something put together 1 2 by a local artist Paul Mirocha -- and it shows the Spanish 3 explorers and the native peoples overlooking the Convento 4 Mission and the Mission Garden and the presidio off in the 5 background of Tucson. Next? 6 7 This is the earliest photo that we can find 8 showing this area. The Mission Garden is down in the lower 9 left-hand corner. You can still see the Convento standing, 10 the Carrillo House is there. 11 Next? This shows you -- this little trail along the 12 left-hand side of the photograph is actually Mission Road 13 right at the base of A Mountain. Look how close the Santa 14 15 Cruz River did come to that in the late 1800s, and the 16 trees. 17 Next? 18 This is, again, the Convento and the Carillo House 19 on a closeup in the late 1800s. Next? 20 21 This is a picture that we took in January of 2012 22 inside the Mission Garden. And it shows the preparations 23 for the planting -- our first plantings of the heritage tree orchard. All those stakes in the ground is where trees are 24 25 going to taken from the same place.

	Page 42				
1	Next?				
2	So in the same place in April, we do have the				
3	trees planted. And from the same place in July 13th				
4	Next?				
5	the trees are thriving under the monsoons, so				
6	we haven't lost a single one. There are a 119 Kino Heritage				
7	trees including: pomegranate, fig, quince, apricot, plum,				
8	pear. And we're actually going to harvest our first crop of				
9	pomegranates pretty soon.				
10	Figs were delicious, by the way.				
11	MS. COX: They are.				
12	ATTENDEE: Next.				
13	This is what we call our Stonehenge ramada that we				
14	built to Tohono O'odham specifications. We actually had				
15	some elders come up and say, yep, we did it okay. And the				
16	mesquite logs were fairly significant. They are covered				
17	over by ocotillo and saguaro ribs. And it's basically a				
18	12-by-36 ramada, so a good-sized classroom of kids where the				
19	teachers can stay under there and out of the sun and learn				
20	about the garden.				
21	Next?				
22	This is going to be for Bill. When he comes up.				
23	I do want to give you some folders. These are for you to				
24	keep.				
25	Bill, could you just give those out?				

Inside you'll find some publications. One of them
 is basically what we call a shared vision statement with
 many of the partners. We're looking at the Santa Cruz
 Valley Heritage Alliance. We're looking at the -- we're
 partnering with the Arizona-Sonora Desert Museum. We're
 partnering with the Audubon Society.

7 And you take a look at all of those organizations 8 and their visions and their mission statements, and we 9 really weave together an enormously valuable resource in 10 Southern Arizona. The Santa Cruz River Valley and the 11 prehistory and history of that valley and the impact of tourism -- both cultural heritage tourism and eco-tourism, 12 not to mention the Audubon Society tourism, the bird 13 14 watchers who are coming to Tucson in August -- there's an 15 enormous amount of impact.

16 Can you go two slides ahead? One more. 17 This is from the -- this is recent information, 18 2010. Culture in the heritage travelers average five trips per year versus four trips for nonheritage. They send a 19 total of 994 per trip versus 611 on nonheritage travelers. 20 And -- must have timed out. Tourism accounts for an 21 22 enormous -- that's it -- has a \$2.3 billion impact on 23 Tucson. And we expect to be one of those, so a huge economic impact about tourism. 24 25 And not only do we do the cultural eco-tourism,

but we also are going to be tapping into something which we could call "faith tourism," where this is actually a part of a church heritage. And we have a lot of activities in Tucson that have to do with spiritual kinds of activities, the Day of the Dead, Dia de San Juan, all of those basically take place on the West Side too.

So let me tell you, this is what we've done. And
let me tell you -- let Bill tell you what we're going to do.

9 ATTENDEE: Thanks, Roger. As Bill DuPont 10 mentioned, I used to work for the City of Tucson as the 11 project manager for this project. I worked for the downtown 12 development office and the citizens advisory committee. So 13 I've been working on this project for six or seven years 14 now.

15 Could you go back to that slide of the two
16 buildings? Two before that.

17 This is the rendering of the Convento on the right and chapel on the right, which are part of the mission 18 complex. Construction documents are actually completed for 19 the mission complex, which includes these two buildings; in 20 fact, it was permitted by the City of Tucson in 2007. But 21 22 the City decided not to go ahead with the mission complex at 23 that time. The estimated cost of the mission complex is about 8.3 million. 24

25

Construction of Tucson Origins was started in

about 2007 with the excavation of landfill. I never 1 2 mentioned the landfill over there. But the reason that land 3 is vacant was because most of its landfill, so it takes 4 extensive work to make it developable. But we did work 5 where these buildings are located and the landfill was excavated. In fact, there's an underground 6 heating/cooling/piping system that can be used for the 7 8 project.

9 The Mission Garden itself started construction in 10 2008, with the site work, the guard walls, installation and 11 the irrigation. I'm sure many of you have seen the walls 12 that are there.

13 Unfortunately, construction was stopped in 2009 14 when the project was about half complete and before any of 15 the planting had started. The original cost of Mission 16 Gardens is about 2.4 million.

17 So as Bill mentioned, last year, the Friends have 18 entered into an agreement with the City and with the County 19 to develop and operate Mission Garden.

First phase of planting has been completed, as Roger showed. And that was completed last March. There are 139 plants, 119 Kino Heritage trees, and 20 grapevines. That was all done by work by volunteers who were organized by the Friends. Also accessible paths and pedestrian bridges were built to provide accessible access to the

Page 46

1 garden.

2	Phase II plantings now is the next step in
3	planting Mission Garden. And Friends have formed a plant
4	advisory committee to help select the plants that will be in
5	that area. That will include the native plant demonstration
6	area which shows how food was gathered from the desert, also
7	includes the timeline gardens that illustrate the various
8	cultivation that occurred in the 4,000-year history of the
9	site, also planting trees outside the garden to screen the
10	cars that you can see going by in the background there.
11	The remaining phases of Mission Garden include
12	completion of the timeline gardens, planting a vineyard,
13	crop fields, and constructing an interpretive ramada and
14	support building. Total cost to complete Mission Garden as
15	it was estimated a couple years ago is about 1.3 million.
16	Could you go to that site plan one?
17	Okay. Also during design of Tucson Origins
18	Heritage Park, this area, which is called Tjuk Shon, is an
19	area that does not have landfill but it does have
20	significant archaeology remains. And so that area has been
21	designated for interpreting the various cultures that have
22	existed on the site over the last 4,000 years.
23	To refresh everybody's memory about what Tucson
24	Origins it is, it literally includes the area from Mission
25	Garden all the way up to Cushing, Clearwater. Part of the

1 planning process was to organize this entire site over the 2 landfill, over the archaeology, there was significant 3 utilities here.

And the alignment of the bridge was actually set based on the location of the plaza. This would be the streetcar stop for the Modern Streetcar. This is Gaston's development area from here up to Congress. The Mercado District is over here, and this is Barrio Sin Nombre which has now been renamed Barrio San Augustin.

10 Mission Garden itself is right here, approximately 11 4-acres. This is the mission complex. The plans for these 12 are completed, both were permitted. This permit is still 13 active and that's the one we're still working under. The 14 mission completion, as I mentioned, was permitted. Work 15 hasn't started on that yet.

16 This is the cultural plaza, which included 17 provision for 400 underground parking spaces. You've all 18 heard of the hole in the ground. There was a very valid 19 purpose for that hole over there. We had to excavate the 20 landfill below the buildings, and so it was a good solution 21 on the parking for those parcels.

The remaining areas are open for development. At this time, the planning was done, museums were planning to go over there. But really, all of this planning work has developed a plan for the roadways, the parking, the

utilities, and really, as you mentioned, has made an 1 2 investment on the West Side to allow further development. 3 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: What's south there, below --4 ATTENDEE: Pardon? 5 SECRETARY MOORE: South, below Mission Gardens? This is the Carrillo House. 6 ATTENDEE: 7 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Keep going, Scott. This is called the Sonoran Desert Park, 8 ATTENDEE: 9 not part of Tucson Origins, but the City Parks Department 10 has long-term plans for developing that as a desert park. 11 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: He said that Parks and Rec has 12 some sort of plan. 13 MR. SHEAFE: It can be used for a lot of things. That is all landfill too. So that's 14 ATTENDEE: 15 landfill all -- pretty much, all the way down to 22nd. 16 MS. COX: Bill, the two parcels you say are open 17 for development to the right and the left, what is the approximate size of those parcels? 18 19 ATTENDEE: Yeah. These are about 4 acres so --20 MR. SHEAFE: That is the University Science Center 21 I mean, that's how we always talked about it. site. That 22 is about six and a half acres on the East Side. And on the 23 West Side, you've got two museums, the Museum of Natural 24 History and the archaeological museum would be on the West 25 Side. So you'd have three museums feeding onto that plaza

Page 49 in the ultimate playout of this thing, if it goes the way it 1 2 was originally designed. 3 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Which means the museums are invisible. 4 5 MR. SHEAFE: You can't see them now? This area is called the festival area. 6 ATTENDEE: 7 It was planned and documented as a large outdoor area for 8 events like Dia de San Juan and so on, other large West Side events held there. 9 10 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Can you identify the land 11 ownership of all that for us? Is that is all City of Tucson, or is there County or other jurisdictions? 12 Mission Garden is owned by Pima County. 13 ATTENDEE: 14 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: It was originally owned by the District at some point. 15 16 ATTENDEE: No. It was developed as a -- a 17 homeowner, I think, Diana, didn't they give it to the

18 University?

ATTENDEE: No. It was purchased from the individuals who inherited from Mrs. Dobkins, who lived there for many years. The interesting thing about that site is it never had any landfill on it and all of the archaeology underneath it was completely intact. But it was in private hands until it was sold to Pima County, and I think that was in 2003. 1 ATTENDEE: So that's Tucson Origins. The Friends 2 are working diligently. The ramadas are still under 3 construction. We'll be out there tomorrow morning and 4 Saturday morning. If any of you are looking for a good 5 workout and want some experience with historic building 6 methods, stop out and say hello.

7 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Now was the plan for these to 8 become ticketed tourist attractions, or what was the final 9 for both the Mission and the Convento and the -- were they 10 going to be free to the public, or ticketed to the public? 11 What was the original thing?

ATTENDEE: Well, there was a lot of discussion. The planners and most of the City thought that the mission complex and Mission Garden would be ticketed. There would be a fee for that. It may be waived for Tucson residents.

16 There were projections -- one of the things we 17 mentioned were some operational studies that had 18 projected that there would be over 300,000 visitors to just 19 the mission and Mission Garden, not including these other 20 areas, on a yearly basis. So there would be a substantial 21 number of visitors to the area.

22 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Where would they park?
23 ATTENDEE: Well, that -- the underground parking
24 here. And there's also accommodation for buses coming
25 through here, school buses, tour buses and so on, which will

Page 51 park offsite. And the Modern Streetcar stops right there. 1 2 So that's very convenient for people to access the site. 3 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: And tell us again the 4 economics, just the Mission Gardens was a million dollars 5 and change? 6 ATTENDEE: 1.3 to complete. 7 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: And you're trying to raise a quarter of a million? 8 9 ATTENDEE: A quarter of a million more, yeah. CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: What would it take for you to 10 11 get that project, just the Mission Gardens, to the point 12 where you were proud of it? 13 ATTENDEE: We're already proud of it. CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Bad choice of words. You 14 15 would be finished with the project? ATTENDEE: Well, if -- the two hundred fifty to 16 17 three hundred fifty thousand dollars, you could take that into account, would be another million dollars. And the 18 biggest chunk of that would be the interpretive ramada and 19 support village. That would be about half of that amount. 20 The rest of it would be for the remaining 21 22 utilities. We're operating now on solar power and limited 23 water supply, no electricity. So there's still quite a bit of utility work. 24 25 CHAIRMAN Mccusker: And what's your sense about

1 why that project was scuttled?

2 Well, this was at the time where the ATTENDEE: 3 legislature wanted to focus on a motel and convention 4 center. And so, the project is shut down. 5 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Any questions? MS. COX: This was when? 2007, you said? 6 7 It stopped construction in 2009. ATTENDEE: Ιt was late 2008 it started, and stopped in the early 2009, I 8 9 believe it was. 10 MR. SHEAFE: Could you elaborate on the agreement 11 from the City and County to operate it once it's built? Yeah. Roger could help with that too. 12 ATTENDEE: It's a development and operation agreement for Mission 13 14 Garden. It's a three-party agreement with the Friends, the 15 City, and County. There was a -- Bill mentioned that 16 ATTENDEE: 17 there was a commitment in the agreement to raise a certain 18 amount of money over a five-year period. It was actually \$350,000 that we agreed to raise over a five-year period. 19 20 And so we have raised over half of that in over a year and a 21 half. So we're on track to get that done. 22 ATTENDEE: The agreement basically gives us the 23 authority to develop and operate the Mission Garden. We operate through both a County office and a City office 24 25 getting approval for any changes in the plans, any

alterations to the plans that -- and that's why we're trying to stick to the plans themselves, so we don't have to go through any change orders.

We go out to bid for contracts. We get the best deal that we think we can get. So we just don't have somebody in our pocket to do the work.

7 We have also agreed not to dig any further than 8 18 inches below the surface of the soil, which is the 9 tillable land of the garden. That preserves the archeology. 10 The tree roots can go down into it, but don't dig further 11 than the 18. If we do plan on dig any further, we have an 12 archaeologist on site to make sure that we're not disturbing 13 anything.

14 This is a very carefully -- historically 15 reconstructed garden. People keep asking me, well, can we 16 come and plant some of our -- you know, is this a community 17 garden? No. This is an educational historical park, 18 basically, where people can come to get educated and 19 participate in some of the activities of harvesting.

There's another part of the business plan. What do we do with the crops? We have an enormous amount of fruit trees. We're going to have an enormous amount of fruit. And so we've been talking with the community food bank. We probably will be operating something like a farmers market, so people will have a bunch of different

Page 54

1 experiences.

Much as Jeff Hill contacted me about, I don't know, six months ago, we had 150 Boy Scouts hiking the Deonza Trail (phonetic). The Deonza Trail goes right by the garden, so we had them in and gave them a little education about what's happening.

7 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Has anyone ever talked to the 8 Department of Interior or State about this being a state 9 park or a national park?

10 ATTENDEE: Not yet. But we have visited 11 Tumacacori, obviously, and we've kind of learned some 12 lessons from them. They have some wonderful signage. They 13 have some wonderful displays. It would be interesting, as 14 we develop this and as more and more people become 15 interested, I think that's a distinct possibility. But 16 right now, we're a county park.

MR. SHEAFE: Do you envision the Mission Gardens in operation before anything else really starts; and if so, will it stand alone in its ability to become an interactive center that doesn't become a negative financially for the City or the County, or whoever is involved in trying to support it?

ATTENDEE: That is part of the agreement, that the City and the County do not incur any expenses as a result of the development of this garden. But I think your question 1 is an excellent one because we don't see the Mission Garden 2 as a be all and end all. We see it as, basically, an 3 integral part of the Tucson Origins Heritage Park. But it 4 is not -- it is not intended, nor should it be intended to 5 be a standalone. I think it needs to be -- it needs to have 6 that cultural and historical context.

7 ATTENDEE: What I wanted to add was that we have 8 this program for stewardship of the Kino Heritage fruit 9 trees. And it's interesting that this is living history 10 that is not available in other areas of this country.

Just the information that we received that -- from the archaeological excavations that were conducted in the past ten years on this site, that this is the longest continually farmed area in the entire United States. This is something that we should be promoting as a City and a county and a state. And this is a cultural heritage tourism attraction that is really significant.

18 This is going be the only place, this is going to be a living agricultural museum. And you can walk in, and 19 you can literally eat the same piece of fruit that Father 20 21 Kino ate 400 years ago because these trees have been --22 we've searched all over Sonora, and these trees have been 23 passed down in families. They're hard to find, but it's a 24 very, very exciting prospect to be able to do this kind of historical investigation. 25

Page 56 So one of the things that we've done -- and I 1 2 think that this really ties in for newcomers coming to our 3 community -- we have heritage -- we have these heritage 4 trees being stewarded, and we're going to honor historic 5 families in Tucson. And many of the old families of Tucson have already purchased stewardship of one of the trees. 6 7 And for any of you, if there are any historic families or anybody who wants to become a steward, we invite 8 9 your stewardship. 10 But we're ahead of schedule on fundraising. And 11 this has been a incredibly popular project that has generated a huge amount of interest. And I think that as 12 the first step in the development of Tucson Origins Park, 13 it's very good for the community. 14 15 MR. SHEAFE: Do you have a specific request for 16 the District? 17 ATTENDEE: Be interested in us right now. 18 Help us. ATTENDEE: 19 ATTENDEE: Just take a ticket. Become aware of what we're doing. Visit us. Come and see us. But I think 20 Bill DuPont has a specific thing about request for some of 21 the --22 23 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Michele, I think we found much 24 of this. 25 MS. BETTINI: A couple. Some of it.

Page 57 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Maybe some of -- I have no 1 2 idea where the movie is. Anybody know where the million dollar movie went? 3 4 MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chair, I believe that a lot of 5 that movie is sitting in my office. I have a large box of things that I'm told is that movie. 6 7 ATTENDEE: Do you have any popcorn? 8 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: So we're happy to share those; 9 we've just got to find them. 10 ATTENDEE: That's great. 11 Two things if I could. There's a tremendous investment in the Gutierrez Bridge and that's going to --12 between Congress and the Gutierrez Bridge, that's going to 13 14 spur development. The bridge -- the mission on the south is -- when completed, is going to spur some real interest in 15 16 development between the bridge and there. 17 So I see that as -- if you want to call it 18 leapfrog development, you can, but it's -- I think it's a real, real impetus for development on a West Side of the --19 20 of Cushing Street. 21 MS. COX: Roger, what -- tell me what -- I'm 22 sorry. I don't know what Gutierrez bridge is. 23 That's the Cushing Street Bridge. ATTENDEE: 24 And the last thing I have to say is, our next 25 fundraiser is August 26th, at the Mercado it's a breakfast.

1	ATTENDEE: Tucson's birthday.			
2	ATTENDEE: Tucson's birthday. We are an Arizona			
3	Legacy project. We've got that awarded by the Legacy			
4	Commission. So we're going to celebrate the birthday by			
5	having a breakfast in the Mercado and tours of the gardens.			
6	If you're interested in getting up early on a Sunday morning			
7	and coming out and having breakfast with us, we'd love to			
8	see you.			
9	MR. SHEAFE: You've raised about 175,000 in			
10	private donations?			
11	ATTENDEE: And grants.			
12	MR. SHEAFE: And grants. And how much of that is			
13	coming from individuals?			
14	ATTENDEE: About a third.			
15	MR. SHEAFE: About a third from individuals and			
16	about so 60, plus or minus, from individuals and the			
17	other 120 from grants.			
18	Are those City and County grants or are those			
19	federal grants?			
20	ATTENDEE: No. They're we haven't received any			
21	federal grants yet. They've been from private funding			
22	organizations. And we're going to be expanding that whole			
23	process of fundraising with granting organizations.			
24	So one of the things that we're really anxious to			
25	assure is that the since this is such a unique project in			

Page 59 the entire nation, it would be a shame if inappropriate 1 2 construction occurred that blocked views of the area that is 3 historic or that created problems for promoting the tourism in the area. 4 5 MR. RITCHIE: What would you consider inappropriate? 6 7 ATTENDEE: Wal-Mart. Another Safeway. You know, something -- this project has the opportunity to really 8 benefit certain types of businesses. And it also could be 9 10 damaged by having the context for the historic beauty of the 11 area removed. MR. SHEAFE: You have the Gary Dickson's concept 12 of the Mercado and what's going on on the north side of 13 14 Cushing? 15 Right, right. ATTENDEE: And then if the complex of the 16 MR. SHEAFE: museums is ever able to be located on the south side, then 17 you have the complex. And right on the other side of that 18 is a possibility of use, one of them that's being discussed 19 right now is the velodrome, which would be an enormously 20 effective thing for the people who are cycling enthusiasts, 21 22 and it happens to be a huge economic generator. Is that a 23 concern of yours? 24 I don't think we've talked about that ATTENDEE: 25 yet.

ATTENDEE: We certainly heard when that came to the public attention. It certainly would bother us if it were there instead of Tucson Origins Heritage Park.

4 MR. SHEAFE: The complex we call the arena 5 property, it's that white property to the south.

ATTENDEE: That's the one to the south. We're hoping -- that is all open space right now. Part of the historical context of this area has to do with equestrian history too. So we're interested in something being there developed that would tie into the historical. The velodrome is a modern thing. But, again, we are not anti --

MR. SHEAFE: The reason I mentioned that, and I know Stephen Martelson [phonetic]. You might want to get in touch with him. If you want his number, I'll give it to you. You might have some coordinating strategic opportunity here.

Fletcher raised the issue about parking and that will provide some additional parking. It's going to be a long time before the subterranean parking is built. And whatever you do there, you're going to need that aspect of those improvements.

It also brings a lot of attention. And the nice thing about a velodrome -- and I'm not trying to sell it -but we are now the bicycling capital of the country. And so it make sense that we'll put one somewhere. And it is

tremendous attractor to you. It also is a great tourist attractor, and it brings an enormous number of people to an area as evidenced by the experience that San Diego has with their velodrome. And so it's -- and they are not particularly intrusive because they're open-air. It's not a huge great big arena. So just -- it probably could be a good idea to have you communicate.

8 ATTENDEE: The land fill starts here and it goes 9 all the way down south to 22nd Street, Starr Pass.

10 ATTENDEE: Chris, you asked a question earlier: 11 Is there anything we would ask of you. We would ask to be 12 as involved in possible in what kinds of plans are being 13 made so that we can know what is happening in that area and 14 maybe make some suggestions about just what Diane was 15 talking about, about making development conducive to and 16 even enhance the historical area.

17

ATTENDEE: Interactive.

MR. SHEAFE: Well, that's what I'm trying to encourage here. And I will tell you, having watched this whole process now since, really, my first days -- not long after the bonds were originally passed, at least the authorization for the TIF -- I remember thinking to myself, it probably is nothing tougher than trying to get a Mission Gardens underway.

25

Because it was tough to describe it, let alone to

get people to invest in. And I've been intrigued with how 1 2 much progress you've made. And I know, Roger, I've know you 3 for years and that is no light amount of effort. You guys 4 have really gone over a money field of opposition and banding this thing together. 5 ATTENDEE: And it's been fun. 6 MR. SHEAFE: I'm glad you're having fun. 7 8 ATTENDEE: Thank you very much. 9 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Thank you. Thanks for the time. Appreciate it. 10 ATTENDEE: 11 There are public documents available on what we just went 12 over too. 13 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: We do need to talk to our 14 lawyers in executive session. But rather than have you suffer through that, we'll go ahead and do call to the 15 16 audience. If you haven't signed up or have been compelled 17 to sign up based on any new controversies we've created, please, you can still do that. 18 19 So without any objection from the board, we'll 20 press on. Keith. Still here? 21 2.2 ATTENDEE: While I'm changing tapes. 23 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: We'll come back to you. 24 MR. RITCHIE: Mr. Chairman? 25 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Sir.

MR. RITCHIE: Can I just make a quick comment. 1 Ι 2 mean, Chris' comment about development was concept only. 3 It's nothing that we've all talked about. But I understand 4 where he's going about sports. But I just want to make sure 5 because I saw a few people kind of raise their eyebrows. And I've learned in this whole process, the cart moves 6 before the horse, oftentimes. So I just want to make that 7 8 one comment.

9 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: I think in my two months, I've 10 heard numerous conversations from people who see that 11 property as available for a variety of development 12 activities, whether it's sports or commercial or retail or 13 volleyball, softball, soccer. I mean, I've heard lots of 14 people with different ideas.

15 So what's interesting to me about that, that is in 16 the Rio Nuevo -- am I correct? -- but it seems to be owned 17 by the City and the County. So it's another situation 18 where, you know, you're going to have to figure it out.

So Keith, I'll come back to you.

20 Mike Holmes?

19

ATTENDEE: Mr. Chairman, honorable board members, I'm Mike Holmes. I'm the strategic planner of Imagine Greater Tucson. And thank you for the opportunity to speak. I wanted to make you all aware of some of the

25 survey data that we've received over the past couple of

years that may help impact some of the decisions that you're making now and will make in the future. It is not our place to really pass judgment on any specific projects that an entity within the County is doing. We prefer to stay at the 30,000-foot level and talk about priorities and large scale thoughts on the way plans go.

7 But what you may not know is that over the past 8 three, four years, we've engaged the community. And we've 9 spoken and engaged with over 10,000 residents throughout the 10 county, so not Tucson-specific, everywhere from Sells to 11 Vail to Marana and south to Green Valley and beyond. And what they've told us, the first set of data we got, they 12 gave us a set of 66 shared values the people of this region 13 hold dear when it comes to the space in which we live. And 14 15 we boiled those down to nine principles.

The second outreach we've made -- and this was this past winter -- was using those shared values as a prism through which to view how we develop and move forward, we asked them how -- what sort of places they wanted to live in. And we came up with four sets of options that came out of that survey.

And what I'll tell you is that two-thirds of the respondents, this is over 6,700 people on the survey alone, two-thirds of the respondents across the county said they wanted vibrant downtowns, walkable city centers, and places where it wasn't just a place to work; where they didn't roll
up the streets at five o'clock, that people lived there and
played there as well.

As you look at what your options are with the Manning House and other properties, I just want you to think of the fact that you've got a lot of people across this county that support the intent of, I think, what you're trying to accomplish.

9 And of those additional values, other than just 10 economic development and vibrant downtowns, you also to have 11 to consider that we loved our cultural uniqueness. And the 12 Manning House and properties -- in fact, what we just heard 13 about here -- certainly, apply to that. They are the things 14 that make Tucson unique and individual.

And on top of that, historic preservation, another shared value across this region.

17 So without commenting specifically on what you're 18 planning to do, what I can say is that what you seem to be 19 moving towards is right in line with we've heard from the 20 people of this county. So having said that, thank you very 21 much. I appreciate your time. And I certainly appreciate 22 your efforts moving forward.

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Ingrid?
ATTENDEE: My name is Ingrid Saber. I'm the
treasurer of the Pima Association of Taxpayers. And

1 earlier, Item No. 5 in the agenda, you spoke about 2 recruiting the CFO. 3 For the benefit of those people who weren't here 4 at the time, did you say that there would be -- that you 5 would cut off the application date on the 25th, yesterday, and that you -- when you get down to four or five people, 6 7 the board will make the selection; is that it? 8 MS. COX: That's correct. 9 ATTENDEE: Okay. When you decided that you needed 10 a CFO, I -- we heard a figure of \$150,000 per year salary; 11 is that correct? CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: We can't debate or discuss 12 13 this with you. So you can make comments. 14 ATTENDEE: Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: And we can clarify them at the end of the session. But the open-meeting laws don't -- it's 16 17 not like a legislative hearing where we can kind of go back-and-forth. 18 19 ATTENDEE: Okay. All right. I see what I should 20 do, then. If I were doing this, I would give people the 21 22 opportunity, after they see what kind of work is required of 23 them, to present their qualifications, and then to bid on a salary and say, I would like to be compensated at \$75,000 a 24 25 year, or whatever, and hoping that that occurred to you when

you were presenting or proposing this position, the taxpayer really doesn't like to hear that somebody may be getting \$150,000 a year to do -- to look at the -- to watch the finances of the -- of your District's board.

5 There's -- the money that is put in your hands, 6 it's put in there rather easily when you think about it. 7 And it's not yours; it's not ours individually. And we have 8 to -- we have to treasure it. We have to treasure the 9 public's treasury. We have to be very, very careful.

10 And we've gotten so used to hearing large sums of 11 money. And the salaries now are so large, they have nothing to do with the private sector where there's competition. 12 Now the money just falls into our hands and we spend it so 13 There should be more consideration as to where it's 14 easily. coming from, why you're getting it, and when it comes to --15 16 and this is a good example -- hiring a CFO, get used to 17 hearing bids and operate that way.

18 And I'll leave it at that. And good luck with 19 your executive session.

20

CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Allen Willenbrock?

ATTENDEE: Chairman and honored members, good to see many of you again. As you may know, I was honored to have Governor Brewer appoint me to the board a few years back, and the board elected me treasure at that time. And it was a very exciting time in my life. So I know, more

than most, the sacrifice that you made to work and family
 and sanity at times. So thank you for your service.

3 I'm here for a couple of reasons. As you know,
4 you're looking for a chief financial officer. I would like
5 to recommend one of the individuals who has applied. His
6 name is Bernie Weigandt. And I have submitted, in writing,
7 a recommendation to that effect.

And in my opinion, Bernie's background is perfect for what you're looking for. I've also pledged to Bernie that I would share any knowledge that I have that might assist him in making him more productive. I also have two rather large boxes of financial records that he's foaming at the mouth to get his hands on so he can get up to speed if he's given that opportunity.

15 Another item, I'm going to echo a lot of things 16 that you've said about Fletcher. I with him a month or so 17 ago, and it just seems like he's grabbed the bull by the 18 I made a number of suggestions at the meeting, which horns. included -- I showed him a debt service schedule that I 19 don't think he had seen before. I showed him a listing of 20 21 month-by-month TIF from the Arizona Treasurer's Office. And 22 we also discussed the vendor compliance on the TIF 23 reporting. And all of those things, he's grabbed the bull by the horns and run with all those things, so I'm very 24 25 excited about that.

1 Contrast that perhaps to the issue of continuing 2 disclosure related to your municipal bond offerings over the 3 last couple of years. You know, when you issue municipal 4 bonds you have a duty to disclose, to the regulator, 5 material events, such as a financial audit and other events, 6 just part of good, ongoing disclosure.

7 I brought that to the attention to various board 8 members as well as the auditors over the last couple of 9 years, and I don't believe any action has been taken on 10 that, although Fletcher was aware of the need for that to be 11 done.

Some of the projects that I have completed, prior to my service and during and after, that I'm welcome to share with Mr. Weigandt if he's selected:

15 The continuing disclosure. I've prepared a draft 16 disclosure that I'll provide to Mr. Weigandt. Ultimately 17 it's his decision on what to disclose, but I got a little 18 head start there.

19 The performance audit that was done a year and a 20 half ago or so. The auditors at that time had suggested 21 that the initial loan from the City to the District, the 22 City may have overcharged you with interest in the 23 neighborhood of \$400,000. Well, I was intrigued by that and 24 went back and downloaded some interest rates from the 25 Federal Reserve Board and figured out the cash flows. And on my spreadsheet I think the overcharging is closer to
 1.7 million. And I have spreadsheets that will show that if
 the CFO would find that useful at the time.

Page 70

The -- you have monthly -- your debt service, the TIF revenue goes -- flows to the -- to the bond trustee. And there's a formula, month-by-month, exactly to the penny how much they want of that TIF revenue. And I've got a nice little spreadsheet that has that month-by-month.

9 I have a list of some of the income producing 10 properties you have. Someone's got to make sure you collect 11 that income. I suspect some of that has fallen through the 12 cracks. Some of the property taxes and other debts that you 13 owe have fallen through the cracks, so once again, thank you 14 very much for your service. I know a little bit of what 15 it's like. Thank you.

16 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Thank you.

17 Josefina?

18 ATTENDEE: Cardenas. I do have a last name. It's 19 Cardenas.

20 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: I'm sorry. You've become so 21 familiar to me.

ATTENDEE: I like what you said, that to hold the City accountable. But I think we need to also hold each other accountable, all of us in one community accountable. And if I can request, I am familiar with two maps in the office of Rio Nuevo, about the District of Rio Nuevo and how it extends. How about having it out here so we can see it and we can see where we all live and how this Rio Nuevo can all impact us? Can those maps be moved? I leave it to you.

And I'm imagining how there needs to be a balance. And I'm imagining how there needs to be a balance. I know there's business and developers and very intelligent professional men, but we also have to think about the grassroot, the barrio, and the families.

10 And it's taking, for Rio Nuevo -- I could say a 11 million and one years because that's the way it's been 12 feeling, you know? I just saw a child in the audience -- I 13 don't know if you noticed him -- step out. And I remembered 14 about our children. They were here, and now it's our 15 grandchildren. And where are we now with Rio Nuevo?

And I keep on working because I have a grandchild now. And my children haven't seen what they wanted to see yet, but I want my grandchild to see.

I have this information for you, because in -it's been in '94 and '95 that we have been involved -- and maybe even before that. And it was a notice that was sent out: Dream of a dun [phonetic]. Can you imagine? All that area below A Mountain, the birthplace of Tucson, and we called it, at that time, Dream of a dun [phonetic].

You have received the letters that I've sent,

25

1 Michele? Thank you, Michele.

2 I sent the letters and the resolution from 3 San Xavier that you requested that I leave. So 4 Señor Fletcher, what does it take for Rancho -- to be --5 Rancho Tjuk Shon to be invited to come also? 6 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: You're on the agenda in 7 August. Gracias. 8 ATTENDEE: 9 Also I had another letter for you where -- that he may rest in peace -- Father Charles Paulson. And he writes 10 11 clearly how Rancho Tjuk Shon is a partnership. They can relate with Mission Gardens, the Convento, and what we just 12 And the equestrian, because in Rancho Tjuk Shon it's 13 saw. been deemed that there be a lienso charro. 14

And when you speak about the arena, I say, why are you think so big, so much dinero, when we can bring our men and with lienso and they're hard workers. Maybe we don't have a million, but we work. And that lienso will bring in monies because the Native American community also wanted to use it as their powwow grounds.

And you have mentioned, Señor Mark -- well, Mark, we have a Native American community. So Chairman Nunez is waiting your response of when he will be invited because he's starting to invite us. With this film, there has to be a spiritual component.

I have this research done from Mr. Daniel Preston. 1 2 And he has passed away from quite some years ago. And he says, what happens when a burial ground is disturbed is that 3 4 no growth will ever occur. And it makes me think, Señor 5 Cody, because we can have all the money, all our intelligence, you know, if we don't think about the 6 spiritual significance of this land, what are we doing? 7 8 And he continues by saying, for example, here in Rio Nuevo in the bottom basin, no growth has occurred 9 10 because of ancestors being disturbed. Think about it. 11 Chairman Nunez, in the middle of August, is wanting to invite us with a medicine man to this -- to this 12 hut. And you have seen what happened already with the City, 13 14 and I pray, that with the second chance, we won't make that 15 same mistake again. 16 Gracias. 17 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Thank you. 18 Keith, you ready to go? Keith VanHeyningen? 19 ATTENDEE: Hello. My name is Keith VanHeyningen, 20 and I'm a Tucson resident. And I'm glad there are no more 21 children in the room. 22 MS. COX: There are. 23 ATTENDEE: There is. Oh, okay. I'm modifying 24 right now. 25 Okay. I've got this 1983 Suzuki turbo sitting in

my garage, and I got a few bills to pay. But I don't want 1 2 to pay my bills. Do you guys mind buying me a new Honda Gold Wing? 3 That's how I feel about Rio Nuevo. 4 You're 5 stealing from everybody to build a pipe dream. 6 Now, I didn't get here until 1998. I was in the 7 construction trades. And even back then, we're saying, darn, there's too many people from California coming here. 8 It's going to end up like California. Sure enough, a lot of 9 10 it has. A lot of the dreams. A lot of the spending. A lot 11 of the non-accountability. On that note, let's see, I saw a City 12 representative here. Hmm. She left. Well, I was going to 13 ask for a jet from them. 14 15 That's the quantitative difference on the 16 responsibility, what's going wrong. 17 The biggest problem is trust, transparency, being 18 able to put together a solid plan. Before you get moving on 19 that plan, yeah, you need to talk to the taxpayers, because 20 I talk to a lot of people. And I don't find many that are happy, very many that understand why it seems it's going to 21 22 take another ten years to accomplish something. A lot of us 23 have gotten a lot of gray hairs over this. Some of you are sitting in front of me. 24 25 It comes down to trust. It comes down to the

City. And, yeah, in a lot of ways, scaling back. The
 Mission Gardens, yeah, go ahead. Do it, finish it. TCC,
 finish it.

But I see absolutely no need for the City to be involved in a hotel. We have enough hotels. That's private business. Other than that, it's a nightmare I'd like to wake up from.

8 Have a good one.

9 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: And our final card is from 10 Richard Basye. Did I pronounce that correctly?

11

25

ATTENDEE: Yes, you did.

12 I'm Dick Basye. I'm the president of the Pima 13 Association of Taxpayers. I have a number of issues, but 14 the one I want to bring to you now is I would request that 15 you refer to me an individual or an attorney of yours to go 16 over evidence of public malfeasance.

17 What it involves came to our attention after the 18 Crowe Horwath analysis that was done of Rio Nuevo in which they identified the money involved in this public 19 20 malfeasance with Rio Nuevo money. And it involved the I-10 Deck Park lowering study. According to a newspaper 21 22 reporter, it was a scam. It was a study designed to fail. 23 And that was corroborated by a former mayor of the City of Tucson. And when talking to an attorney with the 24

State Attorney General's office, he said, yes, the public

Page 76 money was used for the purpose of deceiving the public. 1 2 That's public malfeasance. That's enough, I think, for someone here to at least refer me to somebody to 3 4 go over this evidence -- I even have it right here -- and 5 see if it's true and act upon it. That's all I need. Thanks. 6 7 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: Thank you, everyone. We appreciate, as usual, your comments and concerns. 8 9 On a specific request like that where you believe 10 you might -- Mr. Collins is our counselor. He's sitting 11 right here, the suit in front. So he's the lawyer, and you can leave that with him. Mark will give you a card and a 12 13 way to contact him. And I think if someone will move to executive 14 15 session, we'll... MS. COX: So moved. 16 17 SECRETARY MOORE: Second. 18 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: I'll in favor, say aye. 19 (The board voted and the motion passed) 20 CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: All right. We'll do that. 21 And thank you again for all of your comments and hard work. 22 23 (Executive session off the record) 24 (Record resumed at 4:33 p.m.) 25 (Mr. Collins notes Mr. Hill not present)

		Page 77
1	MR. IRVIN: Motion to	adjourn.
2	MR. SHEAFE: Jodie mac	le the motion to excuse
3	me, Jannie. Jannie made the mot	ion to end the meeting. And
4	it was seconded by Alberto.	
5	CHAIRMAN McCUSKER: We	e're adjoured.
6	(Meeting ad	journed 4:34 p.m.)
7	***	٢
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		